

Snyder County in the 21st Century




A Strategic Comprehensive Plan

Adopted

May 29, 2001

**Snyder County
in the 21st Century**



**A Strategic
Comprehensive Plan**

Adopted

May 29, 2001

Prepared for:

Snyder County Board of Commissioners

Prepared by:

Snyder County Planning Commission

*Snyder County Courthouse
9 W. Market Street, P.O. Box 217
Middleburg, Pennsylvania 17842*

***Funding for this project was provided
through the following sources:***

*Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development State Planning
Assistance Grant (SPAG)*

*Snyder County Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)
Snyder County General Fund*

RESOLUTION NO. 2001-15

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF SNYDER IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA APPROVING THE ADOPTION OF THE SNYDER COUNTY STRATEGIC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

WHEREAS, The Snyder County Planning Commission (the Planning Commission) serves as the official planning agency for Snyder County (County); and

WHEREAS, Section 301.4 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (Act of 1968, P.L. 805, No. 247, as reenacted and amended) requires that counties prepare and adopt a comprehensive plan, and that municipal plans be generally consistent with the adopted county comprehensive plan; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners and the Planning Commission organized a planning advisory committee to oversee the preparation of the Strategic Comprehensive Plan and conduct a public involvement process by developing and mailing a community survey to 4,000 randomly chosen county residents, holding community meetings and a countywide forum, conducting key person interviews to gain insights on existing conditions and issues under their purview, and organizing a community advisory committee process to facilitate the development of goals, policies and action strategies to achieve the county's vision for the future; and

WHEREAS, the socioeconomic and housing data, transportation and land use patterns, cultural and environmental resources, and County facilities and services were analyzed throughout the County to create the framework for the plan; and

WHEREAS, the Strategic Comprehensive Plan is a guide to future growth, development, land use, and community character; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has conducted a public meeting pursuant to Section 302 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code as amended; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission distributed copies of the draft Strategic Comprehensive Plan to all municipalities, and school districts within the County, contiguous counties, and to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development for review and comment, and has taken the comments of these entities into consideration in preparing the Comprehensive Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Snyder County, a Seventh Class County under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED:

1. That the Snyder County Board of Commissioners recognizes the Planning Commission of Snyder County as the official planning commission for Snyder County and that such agency promote public interest in, and understanding of, the Comprehensive Plan and the planning; profession; and
2. That the Strategic Comprehensive Plan submitted by the Planning Commission is hereby adopted by the Snyder County Board of Commissioners as the official Comprehensive Plan of Snyder County, rescinding the Snyder County Comprehensive Development Plan adopted in 1974, as well as the following adopted plans and studies:
 - Snyder County Municipal Waste Planning, Recycling, and Waste Reduction Plan-1990
 - Snyder County Land Use Policy-1976
 - Snyder County Procedure and Guide to Capital Programming-1976
 - Snyder County Education Background Study-1973
 - Snyder County Transportation Study-1973

- Snyder County Government and Finances Study-1973
- Snyder County Housing Study-1973
- Snyder County Economic Activity Study-1973

3. That the Snyder County Board of Commissioners will consider the community development goals and objectives presented in the Comprehensive Plan when dealing with planning issues requiring action by the Board; and
4. That the Snyder County Board of Commissioners strongly urges all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Municipalities in Snyder County, as well as state agencies to review and consider the Comprehensive Plan in their planning and decision-making processes; and
5. The Chief Clerk of the County shall distribute copies of this Resolution to the proper officers and other personnel in Snyder County whose further action is necessary to achieve the purpose of this Resolution.

ADOPTED THIS 29TH DAY OF MAY 2001.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF
THE COUNTY OF SNYDER

Steven D. Bilger

Steven D. Bilger, Chairman

Rick L. Bailey

Rick L. Bailey, Vice Chairman

Gregory J. Shambach

Gregory J. Shambach, Secretary

(SEAL)



ATTEST:

Lee E. Knepp

Lee E. Knepp, Chief Clerk

Table of Contents

PART I - INTRODUCTION, GOALS, OBJECTIVES & ACTION STRATEGIES

Introduction I-1

 Historical Overview I-1

 Snyder County Comprehensive Plan Update I-2

Plan Elements - Goals, Objectives and Action Strategies I-5

 Future Land Use Plan I-5

 Government Facilities and Services I-14

 Housing Plan I-27

 Environmental and Cultural Resources Plan I-31

 Transportation Plan I-37

Plan Interrelationships I-41

Plan Review, Approval, and Maintenance I-43

Potential Funding Opportunities I-44

PART II - BACKGROUND TRENDS AND ISSUES

Chapter 1 Socioeconomic Profile 1-1

 Introduction 1-1

 Historic and Existing Population 1-1

 Population Projections 1-2

 Age Distribution and Projected Growth 1-4

 Gender Distribution 1-5

 Ethnic Composition 1-5

 Population Density and Distribution by Land Area 1-6

 Educational Attainment, Expenditures and Enrollments 1-7

 Income and Poverty Levels 1-8

 Household and Group Quarter Characteristics 1-11

 Labor Force Characteristics 1-13

Chapter 2 Housing Analysis 2-1

 Introduction 2-1

 Housing Inventory 2-1

 Occupancy and Tenure Status 2-4

 Housing Types 2-4

 Housing Conditions 2-5

 Housing Value and Rental Costs 2-7

 Housing Affordability 2-7

 Projected Housing Growth 2-9

 Public/Assisted Housing and Elderly Housing 2-10

Table of Contents

Chapter 3	Environmental Sensitive Resources	3-1
	Introduction	3-1
	Steep Slopes and Erosion Hazard Areas	3-1
	Floodplains	3-1
	Scenic Vistas	3-2
	Wetlands	3-2
	Water Quality and Supply	3-3
	Forest Land	3-5
	Soils	3-5
Chapter 4	Land Use Analysis	4-1
	Introduction	4-1
	Overview	4-1
	Existing Conditions	4-1
	Development Trends	4-4
	Land Use Regulations	4-10
	Agricultural and Open Space Land Preservation	4-10
Chapter 5	Transportation Analysis	5-1
	Introduction	5-1
	Existing Roadway Network	5-1
	Transportation Improvement Program	5-3
	Other Modes of Transportation	5-5
Chapter 6	County Facilities and Finances Analysis	6-1
	Introduction	6-1
	Form of Government	6-1
	County Facilities	6-3
	County Finances	6-3
APPENDICES		
Appendix A	Acronyms and Definitions	A-1
Appendix B	References	B-1
Appendix C	Snyder County Community Survey Summary	C-1
Appendix D	Credits	D-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1 Socioeconomic Profile 1-1

Figure 1-1 Snyder County Population Trends, 1960-1990 and 1999 Estimates 1-1

Figure 1-2 Snyder County Population Projections, 1990-2020 1-3

Figure 1-3 Snyder County Age Distribution and Growth Projections, 1990 and 2020 1-5

Figure 1-4 Population Distribution by Urban/Rural Land Areas, 1990 1-7

Figure 1-5 Historic School District Enrollment Trends in Snyder County for School
Years 1990-91 through 1998-99 1-9

Chapter 2 Housing Analysis 2-1

Figure 2-1 Percent Change in Total Housing Units, 1980-1990 2-1

Figure 2-2 Snyder County Residential Assessed Parcels, 1990-99 2-4

Figure 2-3 Age of Housing Structures in Snyder County 2-5

Figure 2-4 Total Occupied Housing Units in 1970-1990, and Projected Housing Units 2000-
2020 2-9

Chapter 4 Land Use Analysis 4-1

Figure 4-1 Snyder County Subdivision Activity, Total Lots Created by Municipality, 1989-
1999 4-5

Chapter 5 Transportation Analysis 5-1

Figure 5-1 Snyder County Five-Year Crash Trends, 1994-1998 5-3

Chapter 6 County Facilities and Finances Analysis 6-1

Figure 6-1 Snyder County Government Organization Chart 6-2

Figure 6-2 1999 Taxation Survey for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and
Pennsylvania 6-5

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1 Socioeconomic Profile 1-1

Table 1-1 Snyder County and Municipal Population Growth, 1980, 1990 and 1999
Estimates 1-2

Table 1-2 Comparative Population Growth Trends for Snyder County, Pennsylvania and
Surrounding Counties, 1960-1990 1-3

Table 1-3 Population Density and Land Area Comparisons, 1980-1990 1-6

Table 1-4 Educational Attainment Comparisons, Percentage of Population 25 Years and
Older for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania 1990 1-8

Table 1-5 Selected Statistics for Snyder County School Districts 1-9

Table 1-6 Unadjusted and Adjusted Median Household Income Comparisons for Snyder
County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980-1990 1-10

Table 1-7 Unadjusted and Adjusted Per Capita Income Comparisons for Snyder County,
Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980-1990 1-11

Table 1-8 Unadjusted and Adjusted Family Income Comparisons for Snyder County,
Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980-1990 1-11

Table 1-9 Snyder County Adjusted Personal Income by Major Industry,
1990 and 1997 1-12

Table 1-10 Percent of Total Persons Below Poverty for Snyder County, Surrounding
Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980 and 1990 1-12

Table 1-11 Snyder County Population by Household and Marital Status, 1980 and 1990 1-13

Table 1-12 Snyder County Full-Time and Part-Time Employment by Major Industry
1980, 1990, and 1997 1-14

Chapter 2 Housing Analysis 2-1

Table 2-1 Total Housing Unit Growth by Municipality, 1980-1990 2-2

Table 2-2 Number of Improved Residential Parcels, Snyder County 1990 to 1999 2-3

Table 2-3 Occupancy and Tenure of Housing Units in Snyder County, 1980-1990 2-5

Table 2-4 Housing Condition Characteristics for Snyder County and Pennsylvania 2-6

Table 2-5 Value Intervals for Specified Owner-Occupied Housing Units, 1990 2-8

Table 2-6 Contract Rent Intervals for Specified Renter-Occupied Units Paying Cash Rent,
1990 2-8

Table 2-7 Housing Affordability Indexes for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and
Pennsylvania, 1990 2-9

Chapter 3 Environmental Resources Analysis 3-1

Table 3-1 Engineering Characteristics of Snyder County’s Geologic Formations 3-4

Table 3-2 Important Farmland Soils in Snyder County 3-7

Table of Contents

Chapter 4	Land Use Analysis	4-1
Table 4-1	Existing Land Use, 2000	4-2
Table 4-2	Subdivision Statistics, Number of Lots Created from Subdivisions, 1989-1999	4-5
Table 4-3	Number of Improved Residential Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999	4-6
Table 4-4	Number of Improved Industrial Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999	4-7
Table 4-5	Number of Improved Commercial Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999	4-8
Table 4-6	Inventory of Land Use Regulations and Planning Activity for Snyder County and Municipalities	4-11
Table 4-7	Snyder County Agricultural Land Easement Purchases, 1992-98	4-13
Chapter 5	Transportation Analysis	5-1
Table 5-1	Reported Crash Statistics for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1998	5-3
Table 5-2	Non-Binding 2001 Transportation Improvement Program for Snyder County, Pennsylvania	5-4
Table 5-3	USTA Ridership Trends, FY 1990/91-1999/00	5-7
Chapter 6	County Facilities and Finances Analysis	6-1
Table 6-1	Snyder County Facilities, 1999	6-4
Table 6-2	Snyder County General Fund Revenues, FY 1997 and 1999	6-6
Table 6-3	Snyder County General Fund Expenditures, FY 1997 and 1999	6-7
Table 6-4	Assessed Valuation Trends for Snyder County, 1995-1999	6-7

LIST OF MAPS

PART I - INTRODUCTION, GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND ACTION STRATEGIES

Future Land Use

PART II - BACKGROUND TRENDS AND ISSUES

Natural Features Map

Geology Map

Land Use / Cover Map

Road Classifications and Deficiency Areas

Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes

Part I - Introduction and Strategic Action Plans

Introduction

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

Snyder County, created as a civil division on March 2, 1855, from the southern portion of Union County, was named for Simon Snyder, a Selinsgrove resident who served as governor of the commonwealth from 1808 to 1817. Prior to 1855, Snyder County’s present land area was included in Northumberland County prior to 1772, and then as a part of Berks and Cumberland counties until 1813.

Snyder County is located in the Middle Susquehanna River Valley in north-central Pennsylvania and adjoins Union County to the north, Northumberland County to the east, Juniata County to the south and Mifflin County to the west (Figure I-1). The County’s location is a major factor in determining the kind of development currently taking place and expected in the future. The County is 30 miles north of Harrisburg, along the western shore of the Susquehanna River and is part of the Central Pennsylvania Appalachian Region. The communities of Sunbury, Williamsport and Lewistown are the major urban centers serving the area. Regional centers of Wilkes-Barre, Scranton and Harrisburg also influence the County. Coupled with its major transportation routes of U.S. Routes 11/15 and 522, Snyder County’s geographic location greatly contributes to its dynamic composition.




Figure I-1

Section 210, Act of August 9, 1955 (P.L. 323), as amended, known as “The County Code,” divides counties into nine classes based on decennial population counts. Snyder County is classified as a Seventh Class County. Seventh Class Counties are those having a population of 20,000 and more, but less than 45,000 inhabitants. It also includes those counties have a population of 35,000 and more, but less than 45,000 inhabitants, which have not elected to be a county of the sixth class.

In addition to living under the Snyder County form of government, all publics of the County also reside in a municipality. The municipal governments of Snyder County consist of six boroughs and 15 townships. These municipal governing bodies make policy decisions, levy taxes, borrow money, authorize expenditures and direct administration of their governments by appointees.

Each of the County’s six boroughs have an elected mayor and council. The mayor is elected to a four-year term, while council members are elected for four-year overlapping terms.

The County’s 15 townships are of the Second Class, which are composed of three supervisors who are elected at large. Two additional supervisors may be elected if approved by referendum. All are elected at large for six-year terms.

Middleburg, the County seat, which was laid out in and originally named Swinefordsettle in 1800 by John Albright Swineford, was incorporated as a borough on September 25, 1860. Middleburg was the second borough to be incorporated into the County. The first borough in Snyder County was Selinsgrove which was founded in 1784 by Simon’s brother John Snyder and incorporated in 1853. Other boroughs include Beavertown, Freeburg, McClure and Shamokin Dam that

were incorporated in 1914, 1920, and 1927 and founded in 1810, 1796, and 1745 by Jacob Lechner, Andred Straud, and George Keen, respectively.

The initial inhabitants of the Snyder County area were of German decent. Noted for their dedication to hard work, the settlers used the fertile soils and the plentiful water supply to make their living off the land. More and more of the area was opened for settlement by new and improved modes of transportation. When paths and canals gave way to rail and highway systems, the economy changed from agriculture to manufacturing, and by 1820 the area had a population of 18,000 strong. Textile and wood products began to take the place of the distilleries and tanneries that used to make up the bulk of the industry; still, Snyder County maintains a vast amount of farmland. Today, with the increased travel facilities, some communities' limits for growth and expansion are being dissolved, and Snyder County is becoming a more cohesive community as the small towns lose their individuality.

**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE**

Introduction

Snyder County's last comprehensive plan was completed in 1974 and is considered outdated. Based on this factor, as well as the County's continued population growth, and land development and transportation improvement trends, the Snyder County Board of Commissioners decided in early 1999 to update its Comprehensive Plan with funding assistance obtained through the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED).

What Is a Comprehensive Plan?

The Snyder County Comprehensive Plan is the official statement of public policy by the Board of Commissioners pertaining to growth and development in the County. It is meant to be used by the Commissioners, County staff, municipal officials and other government agencies, authorities, private citizens, and the business community. The plan is intended as a guide for the legislative decisions and as a reference for needed policy changes. It should serve as the basis for planning improvements and rendering services where the County is responsible.

Although for some time, the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC, Act 247 of 1968, as reenacted and amended) has required that municipal comprehensive plans be generally consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the recent amendments (June 2000) to the MPC has further elaborated on this. The new amendment enhances the consistency requirement between municipal and multi-jurisdictional plans with the County Plan. County comprehensive plans must now be updated every ten years and local plans must be reviewed every ten years. Consistency with the County Plan has now been reinforced in terms of its impact on certain funding sources from the state including providing priority to those municipalities which are consistent with the County Plan.

The MPC requires a comprehensive plan to consider many factors such as location, character and timing of future development. Essentially, a comprehensive plan provides a blueprint for future housing, transportation, community facilities and utilities, and land use. The Snyder County Strategic Comprehensive Plan was prepared in accordance with the MPC, which includes the recent amendments pursuant to Acts 67 and 68.

How Is a Comprehensive Plan Prepared?

A comprehensive plan document consists of three integral components: 1) background studies; 2) the community's goals and objectives; and 3) policy action plans for land use, housing, transportation and community facilities. According to Section 301.2 of the MPC, "In preparing the comprehensive plan, the planning agency shall make careful surveys, studies and analyses of housing, demographic and economic characteristics and trends; amount, type, and general location and interrelationships of different categories of land use; general location and extent of transportation and community facilities; natural features affecting development; natural, historic, and cultural resources; and the prospects for future growth in the municipality."

Public Involvement

The Snyder County comprehensive planning effort was strongly based on public involvement techniques and programs. One of the initial techniques used was Commissioners' appointment of an 18-member comprehensive plan Planning Advisory Committee (PAC). The PAC members represented various facets of the Snyder County community including representatives from the Planning Commission, business community, municipal government, Chamber of Commerce, medical services and the farming community. This group met every one to two months over a two year period to review work products, monitor progress and provide overall direction on the Comprehensive Plan development. Other public involvement techniques and programs employed at various stages of the planning process included the following:

- ▶ Direct mail Quality of Life Survey to 10 percent of the residents in the County;

- ▶ key person interviews;
- ▶ four regional community forums;
- ▶ Countywide public meetings;
- ▶ newsletters; and
- ▶ Community Advisory Committee meetings (CAC).

Planning Process

The Comprehensive Plan was completed under a two-phased process. Phase I (i.e., background studies) provided a "Where are we now?" and "Where are we going?" approach, whereby elements of population, housing, land use, natural features, transportation, and county government facilities and finances were analyzed to develop baseline trends and issues. Moreover, several of the above referenced public involvement techniques were used to formulate additional background trends and issues data.

Phase II served to answer the questions of "Where do we want to be?" and "How do we get there?". Based on the outcomes of the Phase I process, activities in Phase II included the development of alternative land use features and consensus on a preferred vision for the County. As a result, a series of goals, objectives and action strategies were developed for the areas of transportation, land use, housing, environmental resources, and government facilities and services.

During the Phase II process, the County Commissioners implemented three Community Advisory Committees (CAC) to develop action and implementation strategies for the following planning element areas—transportation, land use, housing, environmental resources, and government facilities and services. Each CAC held five two-hour meetings in less than a two-month period. The results of their efforts culminated the County's strategic comprehensive planning process.

Finally, the County's Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance was updated to implement various Action Strategies contained within the Comprehensive Plan, as well as to accommodate changes in the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) and comply with other applicable laws and regulations.

Plan Elements - Goals, Objectives and Action Strategies

INTENT

Snyder County is a predominantly rural, agricultural community that is increasingly being influenced by its transportation systems. These transportation systems provide the County with a high level of accessibility to major urban centers, such as Harrisburg and Williamsport, and points beyond. As a result, the County's eastern tier municipalities have experienced a tremendous amount of growth and development stemming outward from U.S. Routes 11/15 and 522. These growth pressures in turn are impacting the outlying rural municipalities through conventional development practices, which pose a series of impacts to local communities.

This Future Land Use Plan provides a general framework for managing future growth and development in Snyder County. Snyder County understands that its growth, if managed properly, will have positive benefits for its present and future residents. This growth management concept will be directed by the following Goals and Objectives, which among other things require development to be coordinated and well planned.

The components of this Future Land Use Plan are as follows:

- ▶ Goal, Objectives and Action Strategies
- ▶ Future Land Use Plan (Map)
- ▶ Future Land Use Plan Summary

FUTURE LAND USE PLAN (MAP)

The purpose of the Future Land Use Plan is to develop a general spatial framework for development that will be implemented through the Snyder County Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance, local comprehensive plans and ordinances. Recent amendments (June 2000) to the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) gives

greater emphasis to consistency between county and municipal comprehensive plans, as well as between local plans and zoning regulations. This means the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan should contain an overriding concept of goals and objective statements that can be related to local plans. The goals and objective statements contained herein establish the County's desired actions for the future. Goals provide a basic direction to guide the treatment of an issue while objectives are statements of commitment to achieve a desired end result.

The Future Land Use Plan applies the concept of "growth areas" to the County's overall existing and future development patterns. A growth area is a tool that can be used to implement this Comprehensive Plan. Specifically, a growth area is a spatial delineation of a particular area where development at higher densities is encouraged and infrastructure to serve such development is provided for or planned. This benefits municipalities because it attempts to focus municipal resources to ensure that as the market creates the demand for development, the necessary infrastructure will be implemented. Through private and public cooperation, Snyder County's future growth needs are met by providing for development in locations where it is appropriate and limiting growth pressures where it is less desired.

The Future Land Use Plan map was developed using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology. The County's objective for this Land Use Plan was to direct new development to areas having the supportive infrastructure (i.e., sewer and water) thereby, protecting the County's outlying rural and natural resource areas.

The Future Land Use Plan map depicts four general land use categories—Town Centers, Village Centers, Rural Areas, and Unique

Natural Landscapes and Resources. A detailed discussion of these four land use categories is provided in the following narratives.

Town Centers

The Town Centers land use category delineates those portions of Snyder County that are served by both public sewer and public water services and are most appropriate for accommodating higher density development. The Town Center area was developed by buffering the existing service areas by 1,000 feet to accommodate future development for uses such as residential, commercial, industrial and institutional. The Future Land Use Summary Table located at the conclusion of this chapter provides a detailed overview of the Town Center and recommendations for its revitalization and development.

The majority of the County's Town Centers are concentrated in the Selinsgrove and Shamokin Dam Borough areas, which are predominantly serviced by the Eastern Snyder County Regional Authority (ESCRA). The remaining Town Center areas are concentrated along the U.S. Route 522 and PA Route 35 and 104 corridors and are serviced by various entities.

Village Centers

The Village Centers land use category broadly defines those portions of Snyder County that have a limited (i.e., providing either public water or sewer service) public infrastructure systems. Similar to the Town Centers, the Village Centers were defined by buffering the existing service areas by 1,000 feet to accommodate future development.

In addition to accommodating a variety of residential uses, the Village Centers may serve

as small-scale commercial service and retail nodes for surrounding Rural Areas. It is recommended that future development be encouraged to strengthen the commercial retail and service uses desired by rural residents to fulfill basic, daily needs, rather than uses that are more properly located in the Town Center areas of the County.

The Future Land Use Summary Table provides a detailed overview of the Village Center and recommendations for its development.

Rural Areas

The Rural Areas land use category comprises the majority of Snyder County's land area and primarily consists of traditional family farm operations, open spaces, forest lands, low density residential uses, commercial agricultural operations, natural resource production operations and small-scale industrial uses. The purpose of the Rural Development Area is to delineate those portions of the County whereby a rural living environment is the desired and most appropriate use. This, in part, helps preserve the County's existing agricultural-based community and resources, as well as to protect and sustain the Plain Sect population's way of life.

The Plain Sect, Amish and Mennonite, have largely remained dependent upon their agricultural way-of-life and communal society, therefore, necessitating the need to retain their land holdings for future generations. Land acquisition in the vicinity of existing Amish or Mennonite communities, (e.g., Chapman Township) is a high priority in escalating farm values. Development in these areas of the County is minimal despite the improvement in roads and other modern conveniences. The subdivision of family farms among the male children is common

when additional land is not available. Brian Lande emphasizes these points in his 1998 work entitled, *Cultural Change and Survival in Amish Society*—“Many older Amish hand down their farms to their children. This keeps many Amish men from going into debt and leaving the Order and furthers the growth of Amish society.”¹

To limit the potential of high density growth, the Rural Area is not intended to be serviced by public sewer systems. However, the County promotes each municipality to update and implement their Act 537 plans to appropriately address malfunctioning on-lot sewage disposal systems.

The Future Land Use Summary Table provides a detailed overview of this category and recommendations for its preservation and development.

Unique Natural Landscapes and Resources

The purpose of this land use category is to identify those areas containing unique natural landscapes and resources and to identify areas posing severe constraints on land development. These areas include steep sloped areas (i.e., >25 percent), 100-year floodplains, wetlands, surface water resources, scenic vistas and public lands. The Future Land Use Summary Table provides a detailed overview of this land use category.

GOAL: To provide a sound, Countywide framework to guide local land use patterns through municipal cooperation and public outreach.

¹ Lande, Brian. 1998. *Cultural Change in Amish Society*. Online. www.windycreek.com/Brian/amish-cultural-dynamics.html.

Action and Implementation Strategies:

Action and Implementation Strategies have been developed to fulfill the goal and objectives developed for this planning element. Action and Implementation Strategies serve as recommendations for enhancing Snyder County’s planning activities and provides guidance for the overall growth and development of its municipalities. Each strategy contains an Action Statement (AS) and a Recommendation(s) for implementation. Each strategy is given a priority level (i.e, H=High, M=Medium, and L=Low), and identifies the County Department(s) and cooperating public/private entity(ies) responsible for implementing a specific recommendation (i.e., L=Local Municipalities, S=State Agencies, P=Private; or SD=School Districts). Each Action Strategy also provides a suggested time frame for its implementation. Finally, each strategy is referenced to the categorized list of potential funding sources contained in Part One of this Comprehensive Plan.

Centralized Planning Objective: By enabling Snyder County to provide enhanced planning and development services to both the private and public sectors.

AS: Develop a County-based public outreach program to enhance communications and interaction with local officials and citizens.

Recommendation(s):

- ▶ As recommended in the Government Facilities and Services Plan, the County should implement a Geographic Information System (GIS) program to provide a platform for Countywide planning services and technical assistance for local communities. This GIS program

should be used to facilitate the County's public outreach and technical assistance objectives. The County's "Local Government GIS Assistance Program" may be modeled after similar programs established in Union and Mifflin Counties.

- ▶ The County should implement a "Community Planning and Development Services Program" to provide the leadership and education necessary to assist local communities in planning for their future. Through this program, the County may provide technical assistance, such as:

- Prepare a program to promote awareness of the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan. This program should include an overview of the Plan's goals and objectives and the growth management concepts promoted herein.
- Review local plans and ordinances to ensure consistency with the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan.
- Educate the public and private sector on the benefits of Smart Growth; particularly, in the context of land use, economics, infrastructure, and fiscal impacts. In addition to the Governor's Center, the Smart Growth Network (www.smartgrowth.org/index2.html) is an excellent resource for obtaining information to conduct such education activities.

- ▶ The County should support the various community-based organizations such as Leadership Susquehanna Valley and the County's Township Supervisors' Association (TSA).

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED, PSU

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Agricultural and Open Space Preservation

Objective: By encouraging and assisting local governments to implement effective land use regulations to control the impacts of growth on the County's rural and environmentally sensitive areas.

AS: Encourage local municipalities to implement land use regulation techniques that effectively preserve Snyder County's productive agricultural areas and open space resources.

Recommendation: The County should perform a technical review of existing municipal zoning ordinances to ensure they include provisions for effective agricultural and open space preservation. This action should be conducted in cooperation with local surveyors and developers. An exhaustive list of such techniques are provided in the Center for Local Government Service's publication entitled, "Land Use In Pennsylvania: Practice and Tools, An Inventory." This publication and its accompanying land use reports may be obtained through the Center at 1-888-223-6837.

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Planning

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED, P

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

AS: Provide increased incentives to enhance the Snyder County's Agricultural Land Preservation program.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Through its annual Budgeting Program, the County should increase its matching contribution to the state agricultural easement program.
- ▶ To promote awareness, the Snyder County Agricultural Land Preservation Board should develop an education program and literature targeted to the County's farming community.
- ▶ Future agricultural preservation efforts should be focused towards the portions of the County containing prime farmland soils (See Future Land Use Map).

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, Ag. Land Preservation Board

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PA Dept. of Ag.

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Land Development Objective: By establishing a Countywide system of growth areas to protect and preserve important natural resources, direct growth and development to appropriate locations, and facilitate coordinated planning at all levels of government.

AS: Encourage the general implementation of the land use pattern depicted on the Future Land Use Map.

Recommendations:

- ▶ First, consider developing planning regions within the County consisting

of municipalities having similar growth issues and development trends.

- ▶ Second, the municipalities within these regions should be encouraged to develop multi-municipal comprehensive plans and ordinances consistent with this Comprehensive Plan and in accordance with the Municipalities Planning Code. Multi-municipal planning processes are facilitated through the Intergovernmental Cooperative Planning and Implementation Agreement mechanism provided by the Municipalities Planning Code. Some of the major incentives to these agreements are as follows:

- Establishes a process whereby participating municipalities may achieve general consistency between the multi-municipal comprehensive plan and zoning ordinances, subdivision and land development ordinances, and capital improvement plans.
- Establishes a process whereby participating municipalities are provided the opportunity to review and provide approval for developments posing significant impacts on the region.
- Establishes a comprehensive plan implementation process for participating municipalities, such as the provision of public infrastructure services, affordable housing and the purchase of real property within the multi-municipal planning area.

- Priority is given to participating municipalities for state funding opportunities.
- Provides for the sharing of tax revenues and fees between participating municipalities.
- Enables participating municipalities to implement a multi-municipal transfer of development rights program(s).
- Provides increased incentives for joint municipal zoning. If implemented, then each municipality is no longer required to provide for every use (i.e., uses are established on a regional basis).
- Municipalities entered into the agreement shall have additional powers to provide for sharing of tax revenues and fees and adopt a multi-municipal transfer of development rights (TDR) program².

- ▶ As part of the Community Planning and Development Services Program recommendation, the County should then assist each Regional Planning Commission in implementing their respective comprehensive plans.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED, P
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

AS: Develop and maintain an inventory of Snyder County's Community Facilities and Services using Geographic Information Systems technologies.

Recommendation: As recommended in the Transportation Plan, a Countywide community facilities and infrastructure plan should be developed and adopted as an amendment to this Comprehensive Plan. The results of this plan should, among other facilities, provide a detailed (i.e., collection and conveyance systems) GIS mapped inventory of all public water and sewer service areas. A preliminary inventory of the County's public and water sewer service areas was recently conducted by the Planning Commission and is depicted on the Future Land Use Map. Specifically, these areas are included in the Town Centers and Village Centers land use categories.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, P
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

AS: Further investigate the interrelationship between local development patterns and the County's major transportation corridors.

Recommendation: Transportation Corridor Plans should be developed for the U.S. Routes 11/15 and 522, and State Route 35 and 104 corridors. A transportation corridor plan is both a description and a vision of what the corridor is, what it should be and what it may be in the future. More specifically, a corridor analysis plan seeks to maintain corridor mobility, increase motorist safety, and establishes priorities for preserving and enhancing corridor amenities. The plan assists in capital improvement planning, and reduces the need for extensive capital improvements through better and more

² TDR is a zoning tool whereby development rights established for a given piece of land may be separated from the title of that property and are transferred in fee simple to an established receiving area.

efficient use of the existing corridor. Moreover, the plan provides opportunities for regional cooperation and multi-municipal planning. The results of the plan can be used for traffic management issues, to establish access management policies before development creates traffic management problems, and to manage existing traffic conditions.

Priority Level: M

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PADOT, P

Time Frame: 2001-2004

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Sustainable Agriculture Objectives:

- ▶ **By supporting agricultural operations that are capable of maintaining their productivity and usefulness indefinitely.**
- ▶ **By promoting agricultural operations that are resource-conserving, socially supportive, commercially competitive and environmentally sound.**

AS: Strengthen Snyder County's agricultural sector through the exploration of alternative farming methods.

Recommendations:

- ▶ In cooperation with the Penn State Cooperative Extension Office, consider conducting local forums with members of the farming community to consider their interest in pursuing modern sustainable and traditional farming methods. This forum session(s) should also explore opportunities for preserving the County's agricultural base and serve as a catalyst for continued

strengthening of the County's agricultural base.

- ▶ Consider opportunities for the development of commercial agricultural operations.

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PSU, PA Dept. of Agriculture, Local members of the farming community, Conservation District

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

AS: Strengthen Snyder County's role as the County's leader in planning education.

Recommendation: Consider developing a County-based planning course designed to familiarize and educate the public on the various land use planning and regulation applications common to Snyder County and surrounding jurisdictions. This planning course may be modeled after the "Master Planner Course" currently offered through the Lancaster County Planning Commission. For more information visit the website at <http://www.co.lancaster.pa.us/Master/masterplan.htm> or contact the Commission at 717-299-8333.

Priority Level: M

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, Other pertinent professionals

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Natural Resource Production Objective: By encouraging and supporting the proper management and extraction of Snyder County's natural resources for a healthy, diversified and sound economy.

AS: Encourage the continued management and extraction of Snyder County's natural resources as a viable land use activity.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Advise local officials on the recent amendments to the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code; specifically, the provisions contained in Section 603 regarding the regulation of forestry, agricultural and mining activities.
- ▶ Work with natural resource production operations to identify future resource extraction opportunities and ensure these land areas are consistent with local land use and environmental regulations.
- ▶ Natural resource production areas should be protected from encroachment by land uses that would be incompatible with their continued operation or with future expansion.

















Priority Level: H


Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, Conservation District

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PADEP

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources


-  Potential Greenway
-  Town Centers (Areas with Sewer and Water Service)
-  Village Centers with Water Service
-  Village Centers with Sewer Service
-  Rural Areas
-  Proposed US 15 Corridor
-  Unique Natural Landscapes and Resources
-  Wetlands
-  100 Year Floodplains
-  Prime Farm Soils
-  Slopes Greater than 25%
-  State Forest
-  State Game Lands
- Scenic Views**
-  Major Scenic View
-  Minor Scenic View
-  Potential Scenic View




**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

FUTURE LAND USE



BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files).
Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area;
Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.



Future Land Use Plan Summary

	Town Centers	Village Centers	Rural Areas	Unique Natural Landscapes and Resources
Objective	Strengthen Town Centers to serve as the County’s primary economic centers supported by a high concentration of commercial and industrial activity, institutions, cultural amenities and a mixture of affordable housing opportunities.	Strengthen Village Centers to serve as mixed use communities accommodating a variety of residential uses and small-scale commercial retail and service activities that support the Rural Areas.	Preserve the open, rural character of Snyder County by strengthening traditional agriculture uses and accommodating commercial agriculture and small-scale industrial activities.	Restrict development in areas with significant natural resources, including stream corridors, woodlands, wetlands, groundwater recharge areas, steep slopes (>25%), and prime agricultural soils.
Recommended Land Uses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing (<i>single family detached, townhouses, duplexes, and apartments</i>) Light to Heavy Industry Warehousing, Whole/Retail Stores, Commercial Retail Facilities Commercial Service Facilities Professional Offices Community Facilities Educational Facilities Transportation Facilities Recreation Facilities Parks/Open Space Sale of Agricultural Products 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing (<i>single family detached dwellings, duplexes, apartments, and mobile home parks</i>) Neighborhood Commercial Uses Light Industry Professional Offices Community Facilities Educational Facilities Transportation Facilities Recreation Facilities Parks/Open Space Agricultural Sales and Services Sale of Agricultural Products 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing (<i>single family detached dwellings</i>) Commercial Agricultural Uses Traditional Agricultural Uses Agricultural Sales and Services Recreation/Open Space Uses Natural Resource Production Uses Small scale-industry, businesses, stores, offices and community facilities, which by their nature require a rural location or are designed to mainly serve the surrounding rural area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Single family detached housing in steep slope (<25%) areas. Parks and Open Space Woodlands Pastureland Agriculture
Recommended Densities	Density ranges (per acre) with public utilities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Single Family Detached (4-6) Duplexes (6-12) Townhouses (8-15) Apartments (8-50) Note: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No separate density standard for non-residential uses Maximum density of 1 dwelling unit per acre with on-lot utilities 	Density ranges (per acre) with public utilities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Single Family Detached (4-6) Duplexes (6-15) Apartments (8-15) Planned Residential Developments (4-12) Open Space/Cluster Development (Densities same as above) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum density of one (1) dwelling unit per acre. Sliding Scale (Density is based on the size of the lot) Open Space/Cluster Development (Densities same as above) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximum Density of one (1) dwelling unit per three (3) acres for slopes >=15% Maximum Density of one (1) dwelling unit per five (5) acres for slopes >=18% Maximum Density of one (1) dwelling unit per ten (10) acres for slopes >=20%
Recommended Sewer and Water Systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public sewer and public water systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public sewer and public water systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-Lot Disposal Systems (OLDS) Sewage Management Programs Small Flow or Community Package Treatment Facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-Lot Disposal Systems (OLDS) Sewage Management Programs
Recommended Land Use Practices and Tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PA DCED’s Inventory of Land Use Practices and Tools (1-888-2CENTER) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PA DCED’s Inventory of Land Use Practices and Tools (1-888-2CENTER) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PA DCED’s Inventory of Land Use Practices and Tools (1-888-2CENTER) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PA DCED’s Inventory of Land Use Practices and Tools (1-888-2CENTER)

INTENT

The Government Facilities and Services Plan is intended to provide recommendations for improvement to Snyder County’s facilities and operations. Snyder County needs to continue to improve services and outreach to its citizens, the business community and local governments. This can be achieved by providing information services, accessible facilities, and creating continuous outreach and dialogue with the public and private sectors.

GOAL: To achieve a high level of inter-governmental coordination and public-private cooperation.

COUNTY OPERATIONS OBJECTIVES

Outreach Objective: Support effective planning at all levels of government through outreach, improved services, and educational programs.

AS: Develop a Countywide Municipal Outreach Program.

Recommendation: The Plan recommends the Outreach Program be developed incrementally over time starting with simple processes and educational opportunities and increasing in complexity as the County garners municipal interest, creates educational/training partnerships and provides staff support. An assessment of staff requirements and the time to implement any of the following components will be integral to the timing of new outreach opportunities. Components of the outreach program may include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following ideas (components are listed in order of complexity from the simplest to implement to the most complex):

1. *Outreach through special events or meetings:*
 - ▶ Hold regular county planning commission meetings at various locations throughout the County. The advantages of “taking the meetings on the road” are it encourages attendance by local officials, planning commission members, and citizens; provides the opportunity to highlight the role and function of the County Planning Commission; and creates a forum for the discussion of local issues of Countywide importance.
 - ▶ Develop a schedule to hold regular County Board of Commissioner’s meetings at various locations throughout the County and during evening hours. Advantages are the same as the planning commission meetings (see above). The preparation and publishing of specific agendas would help the local communities make the most of the outreach opportunity.
 - ▶ Schedule County Board of Commissioners attendance at select municipal meetings. The Board would have a place on the local agenda and would be prepared to discuss topics agreed upon in advance of the meeting.
 - ▶ Hold semi-annual or quarterly subregional meetings (may be a function of the planning department, planning commissioners and/or Board of County Commissioners). The objective of these meetings would be to share county projects of local and countywide importance, obtain feedback and opportunity to meet and speak with other officials.

Government Facilities and Services Plan

- ▶ Schedule ad hoc countywide meetings or special issues forums. These special events are held on an as needed basis and are focused on a specific issue or on the need for the Commissioner's or the Planning Commission to obtain a higher level of municipal input or education to either make a decision or implement a new idea or program. Various partners (e.g, SEDACOG, universities, local or state agencies, or business and industry) may be part of an educational team for the event.
 - ▶ Organize and hold an annual countywide convention including sessions on issues of current interest, displays, and roundtable discussions. The advantage of the convention is that it offers the County the opportunity to showcase County programs, "best local planning practices", and implementation activities on the Comprehensive Plan; to share new ideas and promote effective planning; and to discuss new issues and/or concerns. The planning of a Countywide Convention assumes the involvement of many agencies and other partners in the County.
 - ▶ Create a Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC) with representation from local staff and government officials. The LGAC meets monthly to discuss projects, issues and make assignments for reporting at the next meeting.
2. *Outreach through written materials and/or media relations.*
- ▶ Distribute the Snyder County Planning Commission Annual Report to all municipalities.
 - ▶ Encourage local municipalities to join and become active in the Pennsylvania Planning Association, a Chapter of the American Planning Association.
 - ▶ Continue to routinely submit planning activities and event news to the local news media (including print, television – public access, and radio). Specifically highlighting actions taken to promote effective planning, outreach opportunities to local municipalities and measures taken to implement the County Comprehensive Plan. Seeking the advice of a media relations professional would assist the County in the development of newsworthy messages and talking points for county planners and commissioners.
 - ▶ Develop and conduct an inventory to uncover local resources and talents that might help to further the interests of effective planning and identify potential partners to assist in the implementation of outreach opportunities.
 - ▶ Develop a library and compendium of planning materials available to local governments for copying or lending. The library would include items such as existing and model ordinances; new planning concepts and ideas; Planning Advisory Services Reports, publications from the American Planning Association and Urban Land Institute, etc. Several options may be considered – development of the library within the Planning Department or development as a part of the County Library System or University Library System (open to local communities) or partnership with SEDA-COG.

Government Facilities and Services Plan

- ▶ Develop a Countywide Newsletter of the Snyder County Planning Commission and/or Board of Commissioners. The newsletter should highlight projects in status reports on implementation of the Comprehensive Plan, grant opportunities, “best planning practices”, and educational articles. The newsletter process may be started as a joint venture with SEDA-COG or as a byline in a SEDA-COG publication. Distribution would be to municipal planning commission members and officials.

- ▶ Produce and distribute educational flyers and brochures on planning practices and/or ideas, county services and contacts, and meeting dates and times. Materials may be reproducible from other sources, provided in bulk from state agencies, or original works of Snyder County. The materials may include promotion of ideas found in other sections of the Comprehensive Plan including the Land Use Plan and the Infrastructure Plan (e.g., delineation of primary and secondary growth boundaries).

3. *Outreach through electronic and digital format. It is assumed this outreach venue would not be available until the County Information System, Geographical Information System and Website are functioning (See the Countywide Information Systems Development and the Countywide Geographic Systems Development Action Strategies contained herein).*

- ▶ Advertise, summarize and highlight outreach meetings and events on the Snyder County Website, when developed or through email messages to municipalities.

- ▶ Describe County services and projects and email contacts.
- ▶ Provide digital access to public domain information.
- ▶ Share countywide GIS data (subject to the development of GIS policy).

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning

Cooperating Entity(ies): DCED, PSATS, PSAB

Time Frame: 2001-2002 for initial implementation and Ongoing for program sustainment

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

Information System Development Objectives:

- ▶ **Advance the level and the use of technology in county government operations and local initiatives.**
- ▶ **Develop a baseline database to improve routine operations, plan proactively, and monitor progress.**
- ▶ **Develop an information management and support system to ensure the information systems become a part of routine operations.**

AS: Develop an Information System (IS) Strategic Development Plan – This plan should target the inter-County Department System first with the development of links to other local and regional agencies second.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Creation of a Snyder County Information System Long-Range Planning Committee to include representatives from each County Department. The function of the Committee is to review and steer the

Government Facilities and Services Plan

IS Development Plan Process and to continue to monitor the on-going development of the system.

- ▶ Acquisition of technical support from a consultant and/or new information system manager/director.
- ▶ Consider consultant alternative advantages (e.g., equipped with latest knowledge / experience, offers quick start-up and response; offers multiple approaches) and disadvantages (e.g., not as familiar with routine operations, not on site at all times, leaves or is retained when project is complete).
- ▶ Consider IS manager/director alternative advantages (e.g., familiar with in-house operations, always accessible, dedicated full time to the project, continues management function) and disadvantages (e.g., cost of new employee with appropriate level of experience and difficulty in finding a full-time Information Technology expert).
- ▶ Consider the combination of consultant team and information system manager (see advantages and disadvantages above).
- ▶ Completion of a Six-Step Planning Process, as follows:

Phase 1: Inventory by Department.

- Inventory historic data (one-time or continuous)
- Inventory current data collection (format – digital or paper & frequency).
- Identify additional data needs / wants currently uncollected.
- Identify data similarities and differences by Department.

Phase 2: Vision

- Interview key persons by Department (with department staff and managers).
- Identify short-term data goals.
- Identify long-term data goals.
- Identify goals for use and flow of data.

Phase 3: Needs Assessment

- Identify hardware needs.
- Identify software needs.
- Identify space needs.
- Identify other infrastructure needs.

Phase 4: Deployment/Policy/Management Plan.

- Recommend a program of deployment to meet the needs and goals for the short- and long-terms.
- Develop policy on confidentiality.
- Develop policy on public domain.
- Develop policy on access & costs of access.
- Determine a plan for administration/management and placement in the County's organizational structure.
- Develop a policy for the use and flow of information between departments.

Phase 5: Implementation Schedule

- Prioritize Phase 4 recommendations.
- Determine costs for hardware, software, staff, and training and cost recovery.
- Prepare benefits analysis (quantifiable and non-quantifiable).
- Determine funding.
- Assign start and completion dates for short-term recommendations and start dates by quarter and year for the long-term recommendations.
- Assign responsibility for completion.

Government Facilities and Services Plan

Phase 6: Initiate Deployment/Implementation of Plan.

- On-going information systems management.
- Develop the management system as part of the Information Systems Plan.
- Assume as the County becomes more automated additional services will be required of the IS Manager with the potential to transition to an IS Department, particularly as the County begins to share information with local municipalities and outside resources.
- Sharing of information with the local level.
- Utilize fiberoptics and technology which becomes available to increase the connectivity between the County and local municipalities (see Infrastructure Plan).
- Develop a county website. To determine the information to be included on the site, inventory local officials to determine their needs for county-level information and services and review similar website of other 6th and 7th Class Counties (e.g., Union, Perry, Juniata, Adams, Bedford, etc.)

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning, with support form other county offices
Cooperating Entity(ies): Local Utility Providers, SEDA-COG
Time Frame: 2001-2003
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

Geographical Information Systems Development Objectives: Establish a fully functional Countywide Geographical Information System (GIS) to link baseline data and mapping for various governmental functions and operations.

AS: GIS Strategic Development Plan. The Plan recommends the development of a

geographical information system for county and municipal level data and mapping.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Recognition of the potential to coordinate some of the GIS development activities with the Enhanced 911 project being completed by SEDA-COG and consultant engineers. The current assets include: aerial photography has been completed and planimetric -base map layer has been discussed.
- ▶ Recognition of the need to create a plan for the development of a GIS to develop countywide data for county and municipal use. The planning process is similar to the process in developing the IS. It is assumed data collected in the IS process will be transferable to the GIS process. The following phases should be integrated into the planning process to ensure needs are met and avoid duplication of services.

Phase 1: Needs Assessment

- Understand function of each department and use of mapping.
- Develop a listing of needed data layers.
- Describe the priorities and application of data including current and future use and products.
- Determine any mapping needs based on mandated requirements at the local, county and state levels.

Phase 2: Requirements Analysis

- Identify software options/functionality.
- Identify hardware options/functionality.

- Identify organizational requirements (i.e., personnel, training, role of GIS, relationships).

Phase 3: Implementation Strategies: immediate, short-term, long-term.

- Prepare recommendation on GIS applications.
- Prepare recommendation on GIS data.
- Prepare recommendation on systems integration.
- Prepare GIS development schedule, including acquisition /setup, operational procedures, evaluation / revision and expansion.
- Prepare costs estimates for personnel, hardware/software, data, cost recovery.
- Prepare benefits analysis both quantifiable and non-quantifiable.
- On-going geographic information systems management.
- Develop the management system as part of the Geographic Information Systems Plan.
- Assume as the county becomes more automated additional services will be required of a GIS Manager with the potential to transition as a part of an IS Department or compatible Department in county government, particularly as the county begins to share information with local municipalities and outside resources.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning, with support from other county offices
Cooperating Entity(ies): SEDACOG
Time Frame: 2001-2003
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

COUNTY FACILITIES OBJECTIVE

Facilities Objective: provide adequate physical facilities to support program functions, information systems development, and space needs for all Snyder County operations.

AS: Develop a County Government Facilities Plan.

Recommendation: A two-tier approach is recommended: short-term strategy (3 to 5 years) and long-term strategy (beyond 5 years). The recommendation recognizes that the master facilities plan must link the development of County programs with the space requirement needed for the efficient and expeditious delivery of these services. Factors that will impact current and future facility needs include, but are not limited to:

- ▶ Expansion of existing or development of new programs (e.g., county outreach programs, inmate rehabilitation programs, rent/rebate programs, legal assistance programs, and computerized jury selection processes); particularly if over the course of the next planning period the County becomes a sixth class county.
- ▶ Space for staff and equipment to meet the needs of new and/or expanded programs (see Information System Strategic Development Plan and Geographic Information System Strategic Development Plan discussed herein).
- ▶ Articulation of services / programs which must stay within the Courthouse or within close proximity to the courthouse compared to those operations which may be located at more remote locations or sites.

Government Facilities and Services Plan

1. *Short Term Strategy: Development of a short-term strategy (12 to 18-month implementation period). The expectations are this recommendation will meet the County's facilities needs for the next three to five years. Components of the strategy are as follows:*
- ▶ Reallocate space within the Courthouse based on the movement of the 911 Center. The new facility includes plans to bring a T-1 line (fiber optic cable for high speed transmission - JNET) from the facility to the courthouse and adds six new tower sites countywide. This advancement in connectivity will allow the county to move all staff to the new facility, enhance communications, plus provide a rerouting option in the case of an emergency with the primary system. Space reallocation may include:
 - ▶ Move the District Attorney to unallocated space (pending funding) on the third floor (1700 square feet of third floor office space available) of the courthouse.
 - ▶ Give consideration to moving the Public Defenders' Office into the old 911 Center (to be vacated in the basement of the courthouse).
 - ▶ Give consideration to space vacated by the Public Defender's Office to provide additional space to the Judge.
 - ▶ Consider the vacated space from the District Attorney to be allocated for the Probation Office.
 - ▶ Complete an environmental study on the vacant AMP building to determine if it will be a useable site for County facilities. The results are expected by the end of the year 2000. The building has 48,000 square feet; the County plans on utilizing 30,000 square feet. Uses being considered include the Housing Authority and County Career Links (training programs).
 - ▶ Consider the space eventually vacated by the Housing Authority for expansion of other services, such as Domestic Relations.
 - ▶ Complete the current architectural study on the County Jail – facility improvements will be dependent on the results of the study and the available funding stream.
 - ▶ If possible, mitigate the following unresolved needs for the short-term:
 - Provide optical scanning for the Register's / Recorder's Office.
 - Provide space for public access, mapper, records storage and printer/ computers for the Assessment Office.
 - Provide additional space for Children and Youth Services, in addition to soundproofing, safety improvements and environmental improvements (a long-term remedy will be required for the majority of these improvements).
 - Provide additional space and public access for Tax Claim Office.
 - Work with the Union Snyder Transportation Alliance on office space and maintenance facility needs (ridership continues to increase placing more demands on the Alliance).

Government Facilities and Services Plan

- Continue to look for a new site for the Recycling Center.
- Provide additional space for the Sewage Enforcement Office and the Area Agency on Aging.

The following is a proposed short-term facility concept strategy that is subject to change based on funding and/or further assessment:

Short-term Facility Concept Strategy

Facility	Uses		
Unallocated Space on Third Floor	District Attorney's Office	Probation Office	
Old 911 Center	Public Defender's Office	Judge's Office	
AMP Building (if environmentally sound)	Career Links	Housing Authority	Domestic Relations

2. *Development of a long-term remedy (beyond five years). The Plan recognizes the short-term strategy is not a remedy for long-term space needs but is a cost-effective method for meeting as many current needs as possible. The short-term strategy will not meet all current and future facility needs. Therefore, the Plan recommends a comprehensive facilities assessment and master plan be completed within the next five years to determine the full extent of county facilities needs and the funding mechanisms available to incrementally meet these needs. The following components are recommended for consideration:*

- ▶ Complete annual, internal departmental strategic plans to determine the department's program and facility needs for the next three years (a consistent format should be

developed for the strategic planning process – currently Children and Youth Services completes an annual process and the Area Agency on Aging has completed a four-year assessment). Based on the annual evaluations, monitor the space allocations and facility needs to determine when departments will reach capacity and/or programs will require additional facilities.

- ▶ Initiate the master facilities assessment and study prior to the majority of the departments exceeding existing facility capacities. The master facilities plan should consider:
 - ▶ Assessment of the conditions and features of existing physical facilities and plant (listing of assets and deficiencies).
 - ▶ An evaluation of departmental programs and facility needs, including the physical location of departments relative to other services and the courthouse.
 - ▶ Assessment of the existing facilities' ability to meet these needs.
 - ▶ Recommendations regarding the use and/or expansion of existing facilities, purchase and/or construction of new facilities.
 - ▶ Presentation of alternative solutions and the cost-benefit analysis and tax implication of each.
 - ▶ Recommendations regarding the funding of each solution and the pay-back period.
 - ▶ Inclusion of a public participation program.

- ▶ Selection of the chosen solution and outline of an implementation schedule.

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners with support from pertinent County offices

Cooperating Entity(ies): n/a

Time Frame: 2001-2007

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

COMMUNITY SERVICES OBJECTIVES

Two objectives relate to the development of a countywide community services and facilities plan:

- ▶ **Develop a study outline(s) and methodology(ies) to gain a better understanding of the existing levels of community services within the County, including emergency services (police, fire, and ambulance), health services, recreational services, senior services, and children and youth services.**
- ▶ **Focus the study on (1) identifying under-served and needs areas, (2) developing a strategic action plan for countywide community service initiatives and projects, (3) recommending local-level initiatives and opportunities for inter-municipal cooperation, and (4) identifying grant and funding priorities.**

AS: Prepare a Community Facilities and Services Plan.

Recommendation: The picture of community services and facilities is not clear for Snyder County. This functional element was not part of the plan of study for the plan; however, it is recognized as a critical element in the

comprehensive planning process and a part of the required studies enabled by the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC). The Plan as such recommends the County complete this element of the Comprehensive Plan as a special study to be incorporated as a chapter of the Plan.

The Community Services and Facilities Plan, more than any other element in the Comprehensive Plan, provides the opportunity to build on and initiate new ventures and partnerships in inter-municipal, intergovernmental, and inter-agency cooperation and collaboration. The Plan should give high priority to uncovering and fostering these opportunities.

The following listing of community services and facilities obstacles and assets (completed as a part of the planning process) provides a beginning point for the development of the chapter.

Consideration of the following *Obstacles/Needs* - the following considerations represent community service / facility obstacles or needs:

- ▶ Loss in volunteers for emergency services due to mandated service restrictions, educational/training requirements, and fundraising activities.
- ▶ Hospitals nearby but no hospitals in Snyder County (travel time is a variable depending on one's location in the County).
- ▶ No pediatricians in the County.
- ▶ Transportation an issue for public transportation dependent individuals.
- ▶ No dentist or eye care professionals in the County who will accept access cards (medical assistance).
- ▶ Sufficient nursing home care currently; however, the picture is constantly changing and the County

Government Facilities and Services Plan

does not have a system in place to monitor these changes or to assess where needs are occurring countywide.

- ▶ Area Agency on Aging currently has a freeze on services due greater demand for the services. The County has a need to find relief; possibly through the development of a nonprofit foundation.
- ▶ Unclear picture regarding what organizations are providing mental health and drug and alcohol services locally.

Consideration of the following *Assets* – the following considerations represent community service and facility assets:

- ▶ The Enhanced 911 project will be providing a street addressing database for emergency service providers.
- ▶ The 911 Center has information regarding the service areas of the fourteen fire departments, five ambulance companies (associated with the fire departments) and the eight quick response services (associated with the fire departments) in the county.
 - ▶ Beaver Springs fire / ambulance
 - ▶ Beavertown fire/ ambulance
 - ▶ Freeburg fire / quick response
 - ▶ McClure fire / quick response
 - ▶ Middleburg fire / ambulance
 - ▶ Port Trevorton fire / quick response
 - ▶ Hummels Wharf fire / quick response
 - ▶ Selinsgrove fire / quick response / ambulance
 - ▶ Shamokin Dam fire / quick response
 - ▶ Mt. Pleasant Mills fire / quick response
 - ▶ Penns Creek fire / ambulance
 - ▶ Kreamer fire / quick response
 - ▶ Kratzerville fire / quick response
 - ▶ Bannerville fire

- ▶ The picture of police services is varied: regional police, State Police and local police. Local police departments include: Beavertown Borough, McClure Borough, Middleburg Borough, Selinsgrove Borough, Shamokin Dam Borough, Spring Township.
- ▶ The County has access to health services including Evangelical Hospital, Sunbury Hospital, Geisinger Hospital, and Lewistown Hospital. These are all located outside of the County but offer residents high quality services.
 - ▶ Senior Services – Area Agency on Aging recently completed a four-year needs assessment. Senior centers in Beaver Springs, Penns Creek and Selinsgrove provide services to seniors within these areas.
 - ▶ Action Health (a nonprofit consortium with Evangelical Hospital) completes community health studies.
 - ▶ Recreation assets include West Snyder Recreation Association’s Recreation Center Study.
 - ▶ PACWIS will be providing (by 2001) an automated data system for children & youth, which will compile data statewide. Children and Youth Services do an annual plan to assess needs for service.
 - ▶ The Union/Snyder Office for Human Resources is a valuable data resource for the county.
 - ▶ Public schools systems also are a valuable data resource.
 - ▶ The attitudinal survey results indicated public support for the following programs: housing (i.e., elderly, affordable, rehabilitation); countywide parks and recreation facilities and programs, including active recreation; tourism; local government cooperation; and countywide library system.

- ▶ The following county economic development ideas: job market diversification, local government tax breaks to new businesses, development of new industrial facilities, assistance to existing businesses to retain/expand manufacturing & retail/wholesale trade, retention of young people, and development of waterways and waterfront districts.

Completion of the Community Facilities and Services Plan through a similar planning process utilized for the other elements of the Comprehensive Plan and for the full range of community services (i.e., emergency; recreation; educational, including daycare and headstart; social, health care, library, and human resource services). This plan should consider the following:

- ▶ An inventory of services.
- ▶ Description of Services (data collection, including interviews, surveys, mapping and profiles).
- ▶ Assessment of Service Needs.
- ▶ Vision for Future Services, reflected through statements of goals and objectives.
- ▶ Strategic Action Plan to meet the vision and objectives.
- ▶ Implementation Schedule to complete the actions.
- ▶ Public participation components, including the use of community advisory committees by service type.

Funding Objective: Assist the public and private sectors in identifying and applying for various state and federal funding opportunities.

AS: Develop a county-based funding program.

Recommendation: The funding objective centers around grantsmanship and the

development of a countywide grants program. This program is more important than ever to Pennsylvania municipalities and counties, especially with the passage of new amendments to the PAMPC which for the first time tie grants and state funding programs to consistency requirements between county and local level plans.

Respond to current obstacles in seeking grants, including:

- ▶ Staffing – requires additional staff to identify, pursue, administer and manage grants.
- ▶ Data problems – no uniform way of keeping data (confidentiality – laws requiring the sharing of data).
- ▶ Maintenance – expectation when the grant money ends and government must finance the new program without grants.
- ▶ Need to know the “ins and outs” of the program.
- ▶ Respond to current assets in utilizing grants, including:
 - ▶ The availability of money that would otherwise be unavailable.
 - ▶ The opportunity to do projects which otherwise may not be considered.
 - ▶ The opportunity to leverage projects through a variety of funding sources.
 - ▶ Once eligibility accepted, other opportunities may become available from same or other sources.
 - ▶ Once the grant process is learned, other opportunities easier to access.
 - ▶ Recognize that grant opportunities may be available to many departments and levels of government. Grant requirements and application procedures will vary depending on the program and function of the department.
- ▶ Identify common needs in County Government and between departments / functions.

Government Facilities and Services Plan

- ▶ Build service programs and seek grants to fit the program rather than finding grants and building programs to fit the grant.
 - ▶ Understand a grants program will require the resources of more than one person (i.e., the person who is familiar with the program in which grant funding is needed and a person who is familiar with the various aspects of grantsmanship.)
 - ▶ Recognize a need for a new position in County government – Grants Coordinator (options include full-time, part-time, consultant or agency partner/shared position). The person occupying this position should possess the following set of skills:
 - Basic understanding of where to look for resources.
 - Knowing how to provide technical assistance to departments and local governments.
 - Understanding the grants process; that is, handling grants from the beginning to the end.
 - Being creative in the evaluation of what will work, available options and/or new ideas for finding funding for a particular program.
 - Having an existing network with other grantwriters and/or programs.
 - Having strong skills in basic math, bookkeeping, accounting and management.
 - Having a high level of administrative skills.
 - Being able to perform multiple functions for a variety of grants.
 - Having good writing skills.
- Having the ability to relate to the public and possessing good communication skills for relating the complexities of grants and/other funding sources.
2. *Development of a long-term remedy (beyond five years). The Plan recognizes the short-term strategy is not a remedy for long-term space needs but is a cost-effective method for meeting as many current needs as possible. The short-term strategy will not meet all current and future facility needs. Therefore, the Plan recommends a comprehensive facilities assessment and master plan be completed within the next five years to determine the full extent of county facilities needs and the funding mechanisms available to incrementally meet these needs. The following components are recommended for consideration:*
- ▶ Complete annual, internal departmental strategic plans to determine the department’s program and facility needs for the next three years (a consistent format should be developed for the strategic planning process—currently Children and Youth Services completes an annual process and the Area Agency on Aging has completed a four-year assessment). Based on the annual evaluations, monitor the space allocations and facility needs to determine when departments will reach capacity and/or programs will require additional facilities.
 - ▶ Initiate the master facilities assessment and study prior to the majority of the departments exceeding existing facility capacities. The master facilities plan should consider:

- Assessment of the conditions and features of existing physical facilities and plant (listing of assets and deficiencies).
- An evaluation of departmental programs and facility needs, including the physical location of departments relative to other services and the courthouse.
- Assessment of the existing facilities' ability to meet these needs.
- Recommendations regarding the use and/or expansion of existing facilities, purchase and/or construction of new facilities.
- Presentation of alternative solutions and the cost-benefit analysis and tax implication of each.
- Recommendations regarding the funding of each solution and the pay-back period.
- Inclusion of a public participation program.
- Selection of the chosen solution and outline of an implementation schedule.

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning, with support from other county offices

Cooperating Entity(ies): Public sector

Time Frame: 2001-2003

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

INTENT

The Housing Plan is to provide a framework for realizing the vision for providing the housing needs of all residents in Snyder County. These needs are expressed in terms of quality, affordability, location and amenities. Furthermore, this plan also recognizes that a variety of housing types must be available to all residents.

GOAL: To create a residential environment and housing pattern that strengthens Snyder County’s sense of community, fosters residential stability and character, promotes economic investment, and enhances the overall quality of life.

Objectives:

- **By preserving the character and integrity of Snyder County’s boroughs and villages, including the historic districts of Troxelville and Middleburg.**
- **By promoting, preserving, and enhancing the county’s existing supply of housing to discourage impacts of sprawl.**
- **By encouraging the development of owner-occupied and rental housing consistent with the location and availability of existing infrastructure.**
- **By assessing the need for housing and related care for special populations and developing a program(s) for meeting those needs.**
- **By supporting and promoting efforts of the Snyder County Housing Authority or other entities to expand affordable housing**

opportunities for all citizens, regardless of age, race, income, or ability.

- **By assuring existing and future residential neighborhoods are designed and located to provide protection from stormwater and flooding impacts.**
- **By rehabilitating and sustaining Snyder County’s older housing units for continued use.**

Action and Implementation Strategies:

Action and Implementation Strategies have been developed to fulfill the goals and objectives developed for this section. Action and Implementation Strategies serve as recommendations for enhancing Snyder County’s housing supply and programs. Each strategy contains an Action Statement (AS) and a Recommendation(s) for implementation. Each strategy is given a priority level (i.e, H=High, M=Medium, and L=Low), and identifies the County Department(s) and cooperating public/private entity(ies) responsible for implementing a specific Action Strategy. (i.e., L=Local Municipalities, S=State Agencies, P=Private; or SD=School Districts). Each Action Strategy also provides a time frame in which it should occur. Finally, each strategy is referenced to the categorized list of potential funding sources contained in this Comprehensive Plan.

AS: Encourage sustainable development practices throughout Snyder County to facilitate the provision of adequate and affordable housing opportunities.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Amend the Snyder County Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance to revise the provisions for Specialized Subdivisions and Land Development (Section 7) and Lot Requirements (Section 5.3).

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED, P

Time Frame: 2001

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

- ▶ Where applicable, encourage local officials to amend their respective land use regulations to implement the “Incentives for Affordable Housing” as contained in the DCED publication entitled, “Reducing Land Use Barriers to Affordable Housing: Planning Series #10. To obtain a free copy of publication contact DCED at 1-888-2-CENTER or download from www.dced.state.pa.us.

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning, Housing Authority

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, P

Time Frame: 2001

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

- ▶ Consider conducting a series of education seminars to orient local developers, realtors and officials on the benefits of sustainable land development and the provision of affordable housing.

Priority Level: M

County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning, Housing Authority, Commissioners

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED,

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Orient and assist first-time home buyers in obtaining the knowledge and resources necessary to secure home ownership.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Implement a first time home buyers program using HOME, Act 137 funds and other resources such as the (Barbara) Hafer HomeBuyer Program. The goal of this program would be to increase home ownership opportunities throughout Snyder County.

Priority Level: H

County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning, Housing Authority

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DCED, PHFA

Time Frame: 2001-2002

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Amend the Snyder County Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance to provide for effective stormwater management controls and provisions for enhanced site design.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Overall, the County’s stormwater management provisions (Section 5.83) are deficient and should be revised and improved. For example, the ordinance should provide for the following:
 - Design criteria for stormwater management facilities
 - Enhanced runoff calculation methodologies

- Erosion and sedimentation requirements
- Guidelines for fees and expenses relating to stormwater management activities, such as drainage plan reviews, site inspections and other additional costs
- Performance guarantees
- Maintenance responsibilities

Priority Level: L
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning, Engineer and Housing Authority
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, P, Dept. of Labor and Industry
Time Frame: 2002-2005
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Explore the feasibility of developing a senior housing facility in Snyder County close to existing facilities and services.

▶ Enforcement and Penalties

- ▶ The PA Department of Environmental Protection’s latest criteria for stormwater management, including water quality, peak discharge, groundwater discharge, channel protection and large-event controls should be included in the Ordinance.

Recommendation: Consider opportunities to develop a nursing and retirement facility in eastern Snyder County and in close proximity to existing service and market areas.

- ▶ Once Act 167 studies have been prepared for the county’s watersheds, the County’s, as well as local, stormwater management controls should be amended to specifically address any additional control criteria required by these studies.

Priority Level: M
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning and Housing Authority
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, Dept. of Health
Time Frame: 2001-2008
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Consider implementing a Countywide program to inventory and map the County’s housing stock to determine their location, construction age, type and tenure. This information then may be used to further and more accurately evaluate the County’s housing needs on a municipal basis.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning, Conservation District, Engineer
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, DEP
Time Frame: 2001
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Consider preparing and implementing countywide building code standards to upgrade substandard housing units and to remove non-repairable structures.

Recommendation: Enact a standardized national code such as BOCA (Building Officials and Code Administrators).

Recommendation: The County’s standardized addressing and GIS mapping program has developed an initial inventory of the County’s housing units. Building upon this initial inventory, the County could employ part-time staff and/or interns to collect and attribute each housing unit’s GIS record. Furthermore, this data should be used to supplement the County’s enhanced 911 emergency communications system and associated databases.

Priority Level: L

County Dept./Agency(ies): Snyder County Housing Authority, Planning, Commissioners, Emergency Services

Cooperating Entity(ies): Real Estate Agencies, Local Government, Home Owners, Susquehanna University

Time Frame: 2003-2010

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

INTENT

The residents of Snyder County realize their existing quality of life is highly dependent upon the protection and preservation of its environmentally sensitive and culturally significant features. To this extent, it is the intent of this Plan to facilitate and encourage the identification and protection of these features. Furthermore, the Goals and Objectives of this Plan serve to promote the awareness and significance of preserving these features for current and future populations.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES GOAL: To protect the physical environment and enhance the character, quality and livability of Snyder County by preserving its natural assets.

Environmental Resources Objectives:

- ▶ **By implementing effective land use controls to preserve and minimize adverse impacts to the natural environment.**
- ▶ **By updating the Snyder County Sewage Facilities Plan to effectively address malfunctioning on-lot sewage disposal systems.**
- ▶ **By maintaining and enhancing Snyder County’s surface water and groundwater resources through the preparation and implementation of an effective countywide stormwater management planning process.**
- ▶ **By educating and encouraging public and private involvement in implementing best management practices.**
- ▶ **By developing a Countywide Geographic Information System**

(GIS) database of natural resource features that can be disseminated to the public and private sectors.

- ▶ **By preparing a study to inventory Snyder County’s existing parks, recreation, and open space facilities and assess their future demands.**

Action and Implementation Strategies:

Action and Implementation Strategies have been developed to fulfill the goals and objectives developed for this planning element. Action and Implementation Strategies serve as recommendations for enhancing Snyder County’s environmental and cultural resources. Each strategy contains an Action Statement (AS) and Recommendation(s) for implementation. Each Action Strategy is given a priority level (i.e, H=High, M=Medium, and L=Low), and identifies the entities responsible for implementation (i.e., C=Snyder County, L=Local Municipalities, S=State Agencies, P=Private; or SD=School Districts). Each Action Strategy also provides a time frame in which it should occur. Finally, each strategy is referenced to the categorized list of potential funding sources contained in this Comprehensive Plan.

AS: Require development applicants to document the effected environment on which their proposals would have significant implications.

Recommendation: Amend the County’s (or municipal) subdivision and land development ordinance to require preliminary and final plans to include the following information:

- ▶ A description or generalized mapping of natural site conditions with an emphasis on significant environmental features that would be impacted by the

Environmental and Cultural Resources Plan

proposed development or retained upon completion of the project. The mapped information shall address the following, where applicable:

- Gradients expressed in percent slope.
 - Impervious and pervious surfaces.
 - Use of natural ground surface features and drainage patterns for the purpose of managing stormwater runoff.
 - Existing and proposed transportation facilities
 - Public water and sewer supply.
 - Areas that will remain in a natural or undisturbed state upon completion of the project.
 - Endangered or threatened plant and animal species and species of special concern.
 - 100-year floodplain boundary.
- ▶ A description of mitigation efforts that will occur as part of the proposed development.
- ▶ An impact assessment that discusses the anticipated effect of the proposed development on the environment.
- ▶ Measures that are proposed by the applicant to mitigate the impacts of the proposed development on the environment.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies):
Time Frame: 2001 and Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Snyder County should be pro-active in the area of infrastructure planning including the update of the Snyder County Act 537 Sewage Facilities Plan.

Recommendation: In collaboration with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and local wastewater authorities, consider updating the County's sewage facilities plan in accordance with the Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act (Act 537).

Priority Level: M
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): Local Governments, PADEP
Time Frame: 2002-2005
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Support local efforts to improve existing public wastewater treatment facilities.

Recommendation: Collaborate with municipal officials to ensure public wastewater treatment facility improvements are performed in context with the Future Land Use Plan. For example, urban and suburban levels of development should be located where public wastewater treatment facilities can adequately accept additional growth, either at present or through limited expansion and upgrading, consistent with the Future Land Use Plan. Furthermore, the County should assist local municipalities to actively seek grants and other funding sources to provide adequate public wastewater service to existing urban and suburban areas and proposed growth areas.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): Local Governments and Authorities, PADEP
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

Environmental and Cultural Resources Plan

AS: Provide technical support to municipalities to implement the recommendations contained within their respective Act 537 Plans.

Recommendation: In cooperation with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, the County should consider preparing brochures concerning on-lot sewage system maintenance and other sewage disposal issues. In addition, this may include holding seminars for municipal officials, citizens, realtors, developers, and other constituents regarding sewage facilities planning and plan implementation, as well as a review of the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority (PENNVEST) guidelines. Moreover, the County should distribute copies of the Pennsylvania Association of Township Supervisor's publication entitled, "Local Government Planning Procedures and Management Practices for Improving On-lot Sewage Programs." A copy of this guide may be obtained through the URL www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/local_gov/Sewage/Sewage.htm.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): PADEP
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: In accordance with the Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act (Act 167), implement a Countywide initiative to prepare stormwater management plans for each of the County's seven state designated stormwater management watersheds [Middle Creek, Penns Creek, Mahantango Creek (West), Susquehanna River, Jacks Creek, Honey Creek, and Juniata River].

Recommendations:

- ▶ The County should consider preparing stormwater management plans for the watersheds impacted by the Future Land Use Plan. As established by Act 167, Counties are required to prepare stormwater management plans for each watershed located within its boundaries. To assist in this process, the PADEP develops grant agreements with the County to pay for 75 percent of the costs associated with preparing each of the seven plans.
- ▶ Capitalize on the state's "Growing Greener Environmental Stewardship and Watershed Protection Act" program. This may be best accomplished by adding a County staff person for grant procurement duties.

Priority Level: L
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): PADEP
Time Frame: 2001-2006
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Educate and promote the use of Best Management Practices in the private and public sectors.

Recommendations:

- ▶ The County should consider the various community-based environmental projects conducted under the Pennsylvania Association of Conservation Districts' (PACD) "Mini-Project Grant Program." The PACD in cooperation with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency administers two grant programs that provide up to \$1,000 for small projects

Environmental and Cultural Resources Plan

that benefit the environment. The Chesapeake Bay Program Mini-Project Program is targeted to activities within Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay watershed, and the Clean Water Act Section 319 Nonpoint Source Pollution Mini-Project Program is open to all applicants in Pennsylvania. For more information and examples of locally implemented mini-projects, visit www.pacd.org/products/mini_programs.htm.

- ▶ The County should improve its planning library by obtaining a copy of *The Pennsylvania Handbook of Best Management Practices for Developing Areas*. This publication is a tool created to assist developers, engineers, municipal officials, conservation district personnel and others involved with the planning, design, review and approval or building development projects. It describes practices and principles that are aesthetically pleasing and space efficient, while protecting water quality and improving wildlife habitat. Copies may be obtained by visiting www.pacd.org/products/bmp/bmp_handbook.htm or contacting the PACD at 4999 Jonestown Rd., Harrisburg PA 17109, 717-545-8878.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Conservation District
Cooperating Entity(ies):
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: As recommended in the Government Facilities and Services Plan, the County

should implement a GIS/MIS program to supplement current and future planning and development activities.

Recommendation: Once implemented, the County should develop a countywide natural resources spatial database to include such features as surface waters, wetlands, floodplains, geologic formations, soils, and public lands. Many of these features and other non-natural feature GIS data sets are available as free downloads from the Pennsylvania Spatial Data Access web site (www.pasda.psu.edu/flash.shtml).

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners, Planning and other pertinent agencies
Cooperating Entity(ies):
Time Frame: 2001-2003
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Work to provide a diverse set of passive and active (e.g, indoor and outdoor) recreational facilities that are suitable for all age groups and abilities. Also, maintain an inventory of existing private sector and other jurisdictional parkland and open space facilities for use in future park analyses.

Recommendations

- ▶ Consider preparing and adopting a Countywide Comprehensive Recreation, Park, and Open Space Plan to aid in identifying recreation issues, needs, policies, and capital investment priorities. This plan would serve as a guide for the acquisition, development, rehabilitation, and protection of resources, and provision of recreation opportunities and services to the citizens of Snyder County. Once adopted the county should create and support a Parks and Recreation Department to oversee the

plan's implementation. This may also include developing a countywide greenways study to aid in the preservation of green space areas along the Susquehanna River.

- ▶ Consider preparing a Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) for Snyder County. The Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory conducts inventories and collects data to identify and describe the Commonwealth's rarest and most significant ecological features. These features include plant and animal species of special concern, rare and exemplary natural communities, and outstanding geologic features. Site-specific information describing these features is stored in an integrated data management system consisting of map, manual, and computer files. The PNDI information system is continually refined and updated to include recently discovered locations and to describe environmental changes affecting known sites. The goal is to build, maintain, and provide accurate and accessible ecological information needed for conservation, development planning, and natural resource management. This information will enhance the County's ability to identify potential impacts from future development plans.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Conservation District
Cooperating Entity(ies):
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

CULTURAL RESOURCES GOAL: To enhance Snyder County's quality of life through the identification and preservation of its cultural resources.

Cultural Resources Objective:

- ▶ **By promoting the identification, protection, and recognition of scenic settings and culturally significant resources.**

AS: Prepare a countywide Historical Sites Survey.

Recommendation: Consider developing an inventory and assessment of the County's cultural and historic resources resulting in a master plan containing a series of goals and planning recommendations for the enhancement and continued preservation of these resources. The County should work in cooperation with local historical organizations, as well as the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission.

Priority Level: M
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): Historical Society
Time Frame: 2001-2004
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Encourage public and private investment and preservation opportunities for the education and enjoyment of the county's cultural resources.

Recommendation: Assist the Snyder County Historical Society and the various grass-roots historical organizations in applying for the various grants, tax credits and other assistance programs offered through the National Park Service; particularly, the Certified Local Government Program. For more information go to www.cr.nps.gov/places.htm.

Environmental and Cultural Resources Plan

Priority Level: M
County Dept./Agency(ies): Commissioners
Cooperating Entity(ies): Private Sector
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

AS: Encourage compatible development within and adjacent to significant cultural features and landscapes.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Where applicable, assist local municipalities to amend their zoning ordinances for incorporating Historic District Overlay and other cultural resource protection measures. Develop model historical overlay regulations for their consideration. The county's GIS system should also be used to assist local municipalities in locating historically significant resources.

- ▶ During subdivision and land development reviews, the County should document any proposed actions that may impact National Historic properties or properties deemed eligible for the National Register by the Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission.

Priority Level: H
County Dept./Agency(ies): Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): Local Governments, Developers
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Resources

INTENT

The intent of this Plan is to provide Snyder County with a basic framework to meet the existing and future transportation needs of its citizens, and serve as a useful guide to program future transportation improvement projects.

GOAL: To provide an efficient and well maintained transportation system to maximize the accessibility and safe movement of people and goods through cooperative transportation planning with local governments, SEDA-COG, and the Commonwealth.

Action and Implementation Strategies:

Action and Implementation Strategies have been developed to fulfill the goals and objectives developed for this section. Action and Implementation Strategies serve as recommendations for enhancing Snyder County's transportation facilities and services. Each strategy contains an Action Statement (AS) and Recommendation(s) for implementation. Each strategy is given a priority level (i.e. H=High, M=Medium, and L=Low), and identifies the County departments/agencies and public/private cooperating entities responsible for implementation (i.e., L=Local Municipalities, S=State Agencies, P=Private, SD=School District) *the responsibilities for the County are broken down by Department (i.e. CC=County Commissioners, P=Planning Department, ES=Emergency Services)*. Each Action Strategy also provides a time frame in which it should occur. Finally, each strategy is referenced to the categorized list of potential funding sources contained in Appendix A of this Comprehensive Plan.

Highway and Bridges Objective: By providing safe and accessible highway and bridge systems to support the efficient movement of people and goods throughout the County and SEDA-COG region.

AS: Annually identify, prioritize, and implement transportation system improvements, as well as enhance existing conditions.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Encourage the establishment of a transportation committee, comprised of a representative from PennDOT, Local Officials, and the County, to identify projects and improvements to be included in PennDOT's twelve year program.
- ▶ Keep the public/citizens informed of the process and recommendations for support and involvement.

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Public Transit Objective: By coordinating public transit and facilities planning to ensure accessibility to existing and new development.

AS: Efforts should be made to improve public transit service between Selinsgrove, Sunbury, and Lewisburg.

Recommendation: Conduct a survey to establish the need for Public Transportation within the County or region.

Priority Level: M
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, USTA
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P, Penn Valley Airport Authority
Time Frame: 2001-2004
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Aviation Objective: By improving, expanding, and marketing the Penn Valley Airport's facilities and services to support future economic growth.

AS: Promote the Penn Valley Airport as a benefit to economic development opportunities.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Partner with the Central Susquehanna Valley Chamber of Commerce to recruit businesses that would utilize airport facilities.
- ▶ Continue to encourage municipal/community support in the operation of the Airport Authority.
- ▶ Encourage the County to take an active role in support, promotion, and development of the Airport.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, USTA
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P, Penn Valley Airport Authority
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Rail Objective: By supporting and encouraging the continued use of Snyder County's rail freight services.

AS: Continue to support those activities and/or entities as necessary to strengthen Snyder County and the region's rail freight services.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Work with SEDA-COG's Joint Rail Authority, and the Central Susquehanna Valley Chamber of Commerce to recruit rail uses to the area.
- ▶ Preventative maintenance needs to be addressed, railroad crossings need to be better marked or signalized.
- ▶ Enhance communications with Norfolk Southern rail officials to foster a cooperative relationship in sustaining the Bridge Line Route and Sunbury Line rail systems.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, USTA
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P, Penn Valley Airport Authority
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Liveable Communities Objective: By promoting the concept of livable communities through the development of pedestrian walkways and bikeways that interconnect residential areas with employment, transportation, business, and recreation centers.

AS: Provide non-vehicular facilities, where possible, to link residential areas to scenic and natural areas, schools, businesses, and other community facilities; non-vehicular facilities include pedestrian walkways, trails, and bikeways.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Assist the Snyder County Planning Department with the development of bike routes within the County.
- ▶ Explore various funding sources such as Keystone Community Grants, and CDBG monies to develop sidewalks or trails.
- ▶ Encourage municipalities to adopt regulations that require sidewalks and provide design standards.

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning, USTA

Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P

Time Frame: Ongoing

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Land Development Objective: By developing a study to gain a better understanding of the existing levels of community facilities and public utilities and services within Snyder County, including public sewer and water utilities, telecommunications, electric power, and natural gas facilities.

AS: As required by Section 301 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC), Snyder County should prepare a planning element for community facilities and utilities and amend this Comprehensive Plan to include it.

Recommendations:

- ▶ The plan element should evaluate existing facilities and services as specified by the MPC, and identify deficiencies to be corrected or changes that will need to be made to accommodate future growth. Following this evaluation, a

community facilities and utilities plan will be developed to focus on setting forth recommendations having strategic significance on the County's ability to accommodate future growth and development opportunities.

- ▶ Prepare and submit a (DCED) Single Application for Assistance for the Land Use Planning and Technical Assistance Program (LUPTAP) to prepare this study.
- ▶ Consider the feasibility of offsetting costs by soliciting monetary support from local business and utility providers, such as PP&L, Pennsylvania Gas and Water and AT&T. Local businesses should have a vested interest in this comprehensive planning effort because it is a countywide blueprint for growth and development.

Priority Level: H

Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning

Cooperating Entity(ies): DCED

Time Frame: 2000-2001

Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Transportation Planning Objective: By continuing to provide a countywide transportation planning program to enhance and sustain the County and region's efficient movement of people, goods and services.

AS: Continue to work with local officials and SEDA-COG in identifying and programming local and regional transportation system improvements.

Recommendations:

- ▶ Establish a formalized County coordinated transportation planning

program that involves all of Snyder County's municipalities, SEDA-COG, local legislators and PennDOT District 3-0. Such a program could be modeled after Union County or Mifflin County's transportation planning programs.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG
Time Frame: 2001-2005
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Commissioners, Planning
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, P
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

- ▶ Work with local officials to evaluate the Plain Sect's transportation issues and concerns, particularly in terms of mobility along State Route 104 and other roadways located in the County's southeastern region.

Priority Level: H
Responsible Dept./Agencies: Planning, Conservation District
Cooperating Entity(ies): L, PennDOT, SEDA-COG, PSU Cooperative Extension, P
Time Frame: Ongoing
Funding Sources: See Part One, Potential Funding Sources

- ▶ Prepare corridor studies of U.S. Route 522 from Middleburg to Selinsgrove and State Route 35 from Mount Pleasant Mills to Selinsgrove. These studies would look at improving traffic capacities, levels of service, recommend overall improvements including road realignment and widening where necessary, and review land uses along this corridor.

Plan Interrelationships

INTRODUCTION

Section 301.(a)(4.1) of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) requires a comprehensive plan to include “a statement of the interrelationships among the various plan components, which may include an estimate of the environmental, energy conservation, fiscal, economic development and social consequences on the municipality.”

Based on Snyder County’s Comprehensive Plan’s goals and objectives, the primary interrelationships addressed herein include:

- ▶ the relationship of the preferred land use pattern to the environmental resources of the County;
- ▶ the general way that energy conservation concepts are reflected through the County’s growth management strategy; and
- ▶ the provision of a sustainable development pattern reflected in the channeling of higher density developments to areas with access to a full range of public services and facilities.

The recommendations presented in this Comprehensive Plan are intended to pose favorable consequences on the County and its environment, energy and fiscal resources, economic health and quality of life.

Environmental Consequences of the Proposed Land Use Pattern and Related Infrastructure

This Comprehensive Plan attempts to minimize the impacts of future development on the County’s sensitive environmental resources by encouraging a sustainable development pattern. Essentially, this plan encourages the maximum use of existing

facilities and services, and provide for the protection of the natural environment.

Energy Consequences of the Proposed Land Use Pattern and Related Infrastructure

This Comprehensive Plan recommends a future land use pattern that, when implemented, will achieve a considerable level of energy conservation. Rather than focus on the unnecessary development of new facilities and services, this Plan encourages the revitalization and sustainment of the County’s existing infrastructure. This will ultimately reduce the amount of energy and fiscal resources consumed, thereby providing a sustainable development pattern.

Fiscal and Economic Consequences of the Proposed Land Use Pattern and Related Infrastructure

By promoting sustainable development, Snyder County’s municipalities will fiscally benefit from a balanced land use pattern. The Future Land Use pattern provides an opportunity to strengthen both the County and municipalities’ tax bases by managing the rate of residential growth, strengthening industrial growth and preserving the existing agricultural and open space areas. Timothy W. Kelsey, author of the publication entitled *Fiscal Impacts of Different Land Uses: The Pennsylvania Experience*, states “The way land is used in your community affects your taxes and the quality of your life. Land uses influence the size of your local government, the types of services it offers, the types of equipment it must purchase, and the taxes and tax rates it must levy (1).

Social Consequences of the Proposed Land Use Pattern and Related Infrastructure

The recommendations contained within this Comprehensive Plan will enhance and sustain the quality of life currently enjoyed by the residents of Snyder County. For example, the preservation of the County's agricultural, open spaces and sensitive environmental resources will allow the continued enjoyment of the area's recreational opportunities, scenic landscapes, and sensitive flora and fauna. In addition, recommendations for improving the County's circulation patterns will benefit all residents and visitors.

Plan Review, Maintenance and Approval

INTRODUCTION

Section 302 of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) sets forth the procedures that are to be followed to provide for review and adoption of the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan. This section specifies that the County provide copies of the proposed plan to its municipalities, school districts and contiguous counties prior to the public hearing on the Plan. These agencies then have 45 days to provide review and comment on the proposed Plan.

Adoption of the Plan begins with the Snyder County Planning Commission. Under Section 302(a), the Planning Commission is required to hold at least one public meeting prior to forwarding the plan to the Snyder County Board of Commissioners. Subsequently, the Board of Commissioners are required to hold at least one public hearing on the plan and shall consider comments of municipalities, school districts, counties, public meeting comments and recommendations of the Planning Commission. Approval shall be by a resolution adopted by a majority of the Board of Commissioners.

Recent amendments to the MPC (Section 302(d) require county comprehensive plans to be updated every 10 years, as contrasted with municipal and multi-municipal comprehensive plans, which must be reviewed every 10 years [Section 301(c)]. However, the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan will only be useful if it is regularly used and updated. For this to occur, it is recommended that the Board of Commissioners and Planning Commission perform the following actions:

- ▶ Annually evaluate the Comprehensive Plan and, if necessary, make modifications to the plan to ensure it remains useful in terms of guiding the decisions made regarding the future

growth and preservation of the County.

- ▶ The Planning Commission should submit an annual written report to the Board of Commissioners summarizing its conclusions on the evaluation of the Comprehensive Plan, the past year's major activities, the upcoming year's major projected activities, and crucial issues that will or may impact the County, as well as its municipalities and contiguous counties.

In addition to the above recommendations, it is highly recommended that Snyder County officials carefully study the recent amendments to the Municipalities Planning Code. Among the various changes, is the general consistency requirement. Specifically, the MPC amendments establish the goal of general consistency between municipal and multimunicipal plans and county comprehensive plans. In addition, municipal zoning ordinances must be generally consistent with and generally implement the municipal, multimunicipal or county comprehensive plan.

Moreover, Section 301.4 requires county planning commissions to provide advisory guidelines to municipalities that promote general consistency with the county comprehensive plan and uniformity in ordinance terminology.

Potential Funding Sources

INTRODUCTION

The development and implementation of a Capital Improvements Program will require support from a variety of funding sources. These sources can help to fund a variety of projects ranging from community planning, development and conservation to transportation. A directory of potential funding is provided in Table PF-1.

In addition to the funding sources contained in Table PF-1, the PA Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Customer Service Center (CSC) publishes an annual Funding Source Directory containing information on DCED's programs and services. This directory serves as the entry point for the DCED Single Application for Assistance. Both the Funding Source Directory and Single Application for Assistance can be obtained by pointing your Internet browser to http://www.dced.state.pa.us/PA_Exec/DCE/D/business/application.htm.

The Rural Information Center (RIC) has compiled and published a directory entitled, *Federal Funding Sources for Rural Areas: Fiscal Year 2001*. The RIC is a joint project of the USDA Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service and the National Agricultural Library (NAL). RIC provides information and referral services to local government officials, community organizations, health professionals and organizations, cooperatives, libraries, businesses, and rural citizens working to maintain the vitality of America's rural areas. To obtain a copy *Federal Funding Sources for Rural Areas: Fiscal Year 2001*, go to www.nal.usda.gov/ric/ricpubs/funding/federalfund/fed00ful.pdf.

Currently, Pennsylvania has six major programs supporting parks, greenways and trails, which are relevant to the county. They

are administered by the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR), Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP), and the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT):

- ▶ Keystone Planning, Implementation and Technical Assistance Program (DCNR)
- ▶ Keystone Acquisition and Development Program (DCNR)
- ▶ Keystone Land Trust Program (DCNR)
- ▶ The Recreational Trails program (DCNR)
- ▶ Growing Greener Grant Program (PADEP)
- ▶ Transportation Enhancements Program (PennDOT)

However, funding sources change with bills passed, budgets adopted, and programs initiated by state and federal governments. For example, based on recommendations made by the 21st Century Environment Commission, Governor Tom Ridge is proposing to change the way over \$1.3 billion will be spent in the next five years to put Pennsylvania on the path to Growing Greener in the 21st Century (www.dep.state.pa.us/growgreen).

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
Community Planning, Development, and Conservation Funding Sources		
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	Offers grants for a wide variety of activities, provided the applicant proves by survey or census that the project will benefit 51% low and moderate income persons or handicapped persons or eliminate "blighted" conditions in officially designated areas. Funds can be used for water and sewage improvements, storm drainage, handicapped accessibility, housing rehabilitation, parks and recreation, street and sidewalk improvements, code enforcement, community planning, and historic rehabilitation.	U.S. HUD funds, implemented by DCED www.dced.state.pa.us/ www.hud.gov/
CDBG Section 108	Program offers loan guarantees to municipalities to allow financing of large loans for major physical projects.	Same as CDBG
Community Facilities Loan Program (Federal)	Offers low-interest loans to construct, enlarge or improve essential community facilities for public use in rural areas and towns with population less than 50,000. Also offers guarantees of loans by private lenders.	U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service (formerly Farmers Home Administration) www.rurdev.usda.gov/
Historic Preservation Tax Credits	Offers Federal income tax credits for a percentage of the qualified capital costs to rehabilitate a certified historic building, provided the exterior is restored. The program is generally limited to income-producing properties.	National Park Service www.nps.gov/
Historic Preservation - Certified Local Government Grants	Provides modest-sized matching grants to provide technical assistance to municipalities that have official historic districts and meet other criteria to be "certified".	Federal program administered by PHMC www.phmc.state.pa.us/
Historic Preservation Survey and Planning Grants	Matching grants for historic surveys, historic preservation planning and National Register nominations. Available to municipalities and non-profit organizations. Cannot be used for construction.	PHMC
Housing Programs - mainly including Federal HOME Program (Home Investment Partnerships Program)	Provides grants, low-interest loans and loan guarantees to for-profit and non-profit developers for the construction or rehabilitation of housing for low and/or moderate income persons. Funds are provided to local community-based housing development organizations to develop housing. Funds are also provided through private lenders to assist with down payment and closing costs for low income and disabled persons to purchase a home for their own occupancy.	PA Housing Finance Agency and DCED www.phfa.state.pa.us www.dced.state.pa.us
Industrial Sites Reuse Program	This program provides grant and low interest loan financing to perform environmental site assessment and remediation work at former industrial sites. A 25 percent match is required.	DCED www.dced.state.pa.us
Infrastructure Development Program	This program provides grant and low interest loans to finance public and private infrastructure improvements needed in order for a business to locate or expand at a specific site. It also provides financing for infrastructure costs required to redevelop industrial and commercial sites that have been idle for over six months.	DCED www.dced.state.pa.us

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
Keystone Acquisition and Development Grant Program - Community Grants	Provide funding for the purchase of land for park, recreation, or conservation purposes and the rehabilitation and development of park and recreation areas and facilities, including greenways and trails. Municipalities COGs and some authorities are the only eligible applicants.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Acquisition and Development Grant Program - Rails-to-Trails Grants	Provide for acquisition of abandoned railroad right-of-way and adjacent land, and to develop them for recreational trail use. Open to municipalities and non-profit organizations.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Acquisition and Development Grant Program - Rivers Conservation Grants	Available to both municipalities and appropriate organizations for acquisition and development projects recommended in an approved Rivers Conservation plan (such as those created under the PITA Program; see below). To be eligible for acquisition or development funding, the Rivers Conservation Plan must be listed in the Pennsylvania Rivers Registry.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Historic Preservation Funds	Provides 50% matching grants to fund analysis, acquisition or rehabilitation of historic sites. The site must be on the National Register of Historic Places, or officially determined to be eligible for listing. The site must be accessible to the public after funding. The grants can be made to public agencies or non-profit organizations.	PHMC www.phmcstate.pa.us/
Keystone Land Trust Program	Provides grants to non-profit land trusts, conservancies, and organizations for acquisition and planning of open space and critical natural areas that face imminent loss. Although these funds are targeted to protecting critical habitat with threatened species, many of these lands also provide key open space, greenway, bikeway, trail and heritage corridor opportunities and connections in greenway systems. Lands must be open to public use and acquisition must be coordinated with the communities or counties in which the property is located. Funds require a 50-percent match.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Planning, Implementation and Technical Assistance (PITA) Program - Community Grants	Provides 50% matching grants to municipalities to fund overall planning for park and recreation, master plans for individual parks, acquisition of parkland and nature preserves, countywide natural area inventories, and rehabilitation and improvements to public recreation areas. Grants up to \$20,000, without a local match, are available for material and design costs in small municipalities.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Planning, Implementation and Technical Assistance (PITA) Program - Rails-to-Trails Grants	Available for feasibility studies, master site plans, acquisition and improvement of former railroad lines for recreation trails. A 50% local match is required. Open to municipalities, authorities and non-profits.	DCNR Southcentral Regional Office www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Keystone Planning, Implementation and Technical Assistance (PITA) Program - Rivers Conservation Grants	Available to municipalities and appropriate non-profit organizations for conducting watershed and river corridor studies and plans, many of which include greenway and trail elements. A 50% local match is required.	DCNR Southcentral Regional Office www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Land Use Planning and Technical Assistance Program	Provides financial assistance to municipalities for developing and strengthening community planning and management capabilities.	DCED www.dced.state.pa.us/

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
PENNVEST	Offers low interest loans for construction and improvement of drinking water and wastewater systems.	PA Infrastructure Investment Authority and DEP Bureau of Water Supply Management www.dep.state.pa.us/
Recreational Trails Program (Symms National Recreational Trails Act)	Grants are available to federal and state agencies, municipal government, organizations, and even private individuals. Money may be used for a variety of purposes, including work on trails to mitigate or minimize the impact on the natural environment, provide urban trail linkages, and develop trail-side and trail-head facilities. A 50% local match is required.	DCNR Southcentral Regional Office www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Growing Greener Grant Program	The new Growing Greener Program signed into law by Gov. Tom Ridge will invest nearly \$650 million over the next five years to preserve farmland and protect open space; eliminate the maintenance backlog in State Parks; clean up abandoned mines and restore watersheds; and provide new and upgraded water and sewer systems.	DEP http://www.dep.state.pa.us/growgreen/default.htm
Stream Improvement Program	Provides design and construction assistance to eliminate imminent threats to flooding and streambank erosion.	DEP Bureau of Waterways Engineering. www.dep.state.pa.us/
Urban Forestry Grants	Provides grants for tree planting projects. Is also a Federal "America the Beautiful" grant program for tree planting.	DCNR www.dcnr.state.pa.us/
Office of Justice Programs	Operation Weed and Seed, a Department of Justice community-based initiative, is an innovative and comprehensive multi-agency approach to law enforcement, crime prevention, and community revitalization. Weed and Seed is a strategy to prevent, control, and reduce violent crime, drug abuse, and gang activity in targeted high-crime neighborhoods of all sizes nationwide.	U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/reports/98Guides/rural/
Volunteer Fire Assistance Program(Formerly Rural Community Fire Protection)	This program authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to provide technical, financial and related assistance to rural fire departments for training and equipping firefighters. The program is aimed at assisting rural communities with populations of 10,000 or less to establish new fire departments and to upgrade fire suppression capabilities of existing departments.	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. http://www.fs.fed.us/fire/planning/vfa/
Transportation Funding Sources		
Impact Fees	Acts 203 and 209 of 1990 provide legal justification for the assessment of impact fees. The County and municipalities could give some consideration to implementing such a system to supplement state and other local sources; although the initial costs of establishing impact fees will likely prove too expensive for the individual municipalities.	PennDOT www.dot.state.pa.us/

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
Impact Fees (cont'd.)	<p>The laws authorize the use of impact fees for costs incurred for improvements designated in the municipalities' transportation capital improvement program attributable to new development, including the acquisition of land and rights of way; engineering, legal and planning costs; and all other costs directly related to road improvements within the service area or areas, including debt service.</p> <p>Municipalities are expressly prohibited under the impact fee law from using impact fees for: (1) the construction, acquisition or expansion of municipal facilities that have not been identified in the Township's Transportation Capital Improvement Program; (2) the repair, operation or maintenance of existing or new capital improvements; (3) the upgrade, update, expansion or replacement of existing capital improvements to serve existing developments to meet stricter safety, efficiency, environmental or regulatory standards that are not attributable to new development; and, (4) the preparation and development of land use assumptions and the Capital Improvements Plan.</p> <p>As a prerequisite to proceeding with plans for an impact fee ordinance, a municipality must have adopted a Township or County Comprehensive Plan, a subdivision and land development ordinance, and a zoning ordinance. In addition, municipalities must meet a number of specific requirements before adopting an impact fee ordinance, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appoint an impact fee advisory committee • Develop future land use assumptions • Conduct a roadway sufficiency analysis • Develop a Capital Improvements Plan • Prepare an Impact Fee Ordinance <p><i>Official Map</i> - Municipalities could prepare an official Map in accordance with Article IV of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code as amended. The Official Map would be used to delineate areas for future land acquisition or easements for future roadway and infrastructure needs.</p>	
Highway Transfer or Road Turnback Program	<p>Under this program, PennDOT will bring a road up to current specifications and then dedicate it to the participating municipality. Annual maintenance fees are also included by PennDOT. In most instances, the municipalities may get a new roadway and funding for maintenance.</p>	<p>PennDOT www.dot.state.pa.us/</p>

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
Local Share of Liquid Fuels Tax	This provides for a permanent allocation of part of the liquid fuels taxes collected by the state for municipalities. Liquid fuels allocations may be used for any road-related activity including maintenance, repair, construction, or reconstruction of public roads or streets. In any given year at least a portion of the money could be used for transportation facility projects.	PennDOT www.dot.state.pa.us/
SAMI: Safety and Mobility Improvements Program -	This program is aimed at improving highway safety and reducing congestion. The source of the funding is the Center for Program Development and Management at PennDOT.	PennDOT www.dot.state.pa.us/
Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century (TEA-21)	Provides money for highway, highway safety, transit and other surface transportation programs through Fiscal Year 2003. TEA-21 builds on the initiatives established during Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). Significant features of TEA-21 are assurance of a guaranteed level of Federal funding for surface transportation; extension of the DBE Program; strengthening of safety programs; and continuation of the program structure established under ISTEA. These elements include: scenic beautification along highways, historic preservation, restoration of historic transportation facilities (such as canals), preservation of rail corridors (particularly for bicycle/walking routes), control and removal of outdoor advertising, archeological research, and mitigation of water pollution due to highway runoff. All projects must have a direct relationship to transportation.	USDOT/FHWA funds administered by PennDOT. Typically prioritized through regional or county transportation planning organizations
Transportation Partnerships	Under Act 47 of 1985, as amended, it provided for the formation of "partnerships" between municipalities and, in most cases, local developers and businesses. A formal partnership requires the designation of a transportation development district in which all improvements will take place and in which assessments may be charged. Municipalities should consider participation in this program as a means of obtaining funding for roadway improvements.	PennDOT www.dot.state.pa.us/

**Table PF-1
Directory of Potential Funding Sources**

Program	Program Description	Administering Agency/Internet Address
Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot Program	The Transportation and Community and System Preservation Pilot program is a comprehensive initiative of research and grants to investigate the relationships between transportation and community and system preservation and private sector-based initiatives. States, local governments, and metropolitan planning organizations are eligible for discretionary grants to plan and implement strategies that improve the efficiency of the transportation system; reduce environmental impacts of transportation; reduce the need for costly future public infrastructure investments; ensure efficient access to jobs, services, and centers of trade; and examine private sector development patterns and investments that support these goals. A total of \$120 million is authorized for this program for FY's 1999-2003.	USDOT/FHWA tcsp-fhwa.volpe.dot.gov/

Sources: Publications and Internet sites of various agencies, in addition to January 1997 issue of *Pennsylvanian* magazine, and the 1997 Pennsylvania Planning Association Statewide Conference.

Abbreviations: DCED - Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development
 DCNR - Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
 DEP - Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
 FHWA - Federal Highway Administration
 HUD - U.S. Dept. Of Housing and Urban Development
 NRCS - U.S. Natural Resource Conservation Service
 PennDOT - Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
 USDOT - U.S. Department of Transportation

Part II - Background Trends and Issues

Chapter 1 - Socioeconomic Profile

INTRODUCTION

In order to make sound planning decisions and develop appropriate planning policies involving the physical, social, and economic development of the county, and the allocation of municipal resources, it is important to review and analyze the county's population. A quantitative analysis of population trends and a qualitative analysis of population characteristics are needed to make reasonable projections for future population growth and needs. Land area requirements for future residential, recreational, commercial, industrial, and other needs are directly related to the requirements of the population to be served. Projected population demands for service will also determine the number and scope of future schools, transportation facilities and other public infrastructure.


HISTORIC AND EXISTING POPULATION

As illustrated in Figure 1-1, Snyder County has experienced steady increases in population from 1960 to 1990. During this period, the population increased by approximately 42 percent, with an average annual percentage increase of 9.1 percent. Furthermore, the county's population has continued to increase throughout the majority of the 1990's, with 1999 estimates reported at 37,875.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Snyder County's population increases from 1990 to 1999 are mostly attributed to natural increases, although in-migration and institutional population also are factors.

A review of population trends from 1980 to 1990, and 1990 to 1999, for Snyder County and its municipalities are provided in Table 1-1. As shown, Snyder County and its municipalities, except Freeburg Borough, experienced increases in population from

Figure 1-1
Snyder County Population Trends
1960-1990 and 1999 Estimates
Source: U.S. Census Bureau



1980 to 1990. In fact, nine municipalities, mostly eastern tier, grew by more than 10 percent. The exception was West Beaver Township, which grew by 16.4 percent.

Although the 1999 population estimates shows a continued growth trend for Snyder County and most of its municipalities, four of Snyder County's six boroughs experienced modest population decreases. These decreases may be attributed to their limited ability, in terms of land area, to accommodate additional growth. In addition, Franklin and Union Townships also experienced decreases in population during the period 1990 to 1999.

Snyder County ranked the 33rd highest in terms of numeric change in population among Pennsylvania's 67 counties for the period 1990 to 1999. In terms of percentage change, the County ranked 34th out of the 67 counties.

**Table 1-1
Snyder County and Municipal Population Growth,
1980, 1990, and 1999 Estimates**

Municipality	Population						
	1980	1990	1999 Estimates	Numeric Change 1980-90	Numeric Change 1990-99	Percent Change 1980-90	Percent Change 1990-99
Snyder County	33,584	36,680	37,875	3,096	1,195	9.2	3.3
Adams Township	773	833	858	60	25	7.8	3.0
Beaver Township	470	516	540	46	24	9.8	4.7
Beavertown Borough	853	877	869	24	(8)	2.8	(0.9)
Centre Township	1,651	1,986	2,123	335	137	20.3	6.9
Chapman Township	1,264	1,442	1,507	178	65	14.1	4.5
Franklin Township	2,105	2,158	2,075	53	(83)	2.5	(3.8)
Freeburg Borough	643	640	612	-3	(28)	(0.5)	(4.4)
Jackson Township	1,028	1,383	1,389	355	6	34.5	0.4
McClure Borough	1,024	1,070	1,026	46	(44)	4.5	(4.1)
Middleburg Borough	1,357	1,422	1,511	65	89	4.8	6.3
Middlecreek Township	1,567	1,791	1,962	224	171	14.3	9.5
Monroe Township	3,502	3,881	4,262	379	381	10.8	9.8
Penn Township	3,042	3,208	3,358	166	150	5.5	4.7
Perry Township	1,721	1,873	1,954	152	81	8.8	4.3
Selinsgrove Borough	5,227	5,384	5,403	157	19	3.0	0.4
Shamokin Dam Borough	1,622	1,690	1,635	68	(55)	4.2	(3.3)
Spring Township	1,435	1,575	1,622	140	47	9.8	3.0
Union Township	1,291	1,466	1,465	175	(1)	13.6	(0.1)
Washington Township	1,218	1,420	1,565	202	145	16.6	10.2
West Beaver Township	942	1,096	1,114	154	18	16.4	1.6
West Perry Township	849	969	1,025	120	56	14.1	5.8

Source: Pennsylvania State Data Center, 1980-1990 Municipal Population and U.S. Census Bureau July 1, 1999 Population Estimates.

A comparison of population trends of Snyder County with those of surrounding counties and Pennsylvania provides some perspective on the growth experienced by the county and region. As shown in Table 1-2, Snyder County was second only to Union County in terms of population change from 1980 to 1990. In fact, during this period both Mifflin and Northumberland Counties experienced modest decreases in population growth. Furthermore, Snyder County experienced the greatest average annual percentage increase in population for the period 1960 to 1990; just edging Union County's average annual growth rate of 9.0 percent.

POPULATION PROJECTIONS

Future population growth in Snyder County will be dependent upon natural increases and net migration levels. In 1996, the county's birth rate (11.9 percent) exceeded the death rate (7.9 percent), while net migration (people moving in minus people moving out) from 1990 to 1995 was 221 persons. Future migration rates will depend largely on future economic growth in the county and region. It is important to realize that no projection can accurately forecast all of the factors that might

Table 1-2
Comparative Population Growth Trends for Snyder County, Pennsylvania, and
Surrounding Counties, 1960-1990

Jurisdiction	Population					Avg. Decinneal Percent Change 1960-1990	Numeric Change 1990-1999	Percent Change 1990-1999
	1960	1970	1980	1990	1999 Estimates			
Snyder County	25,922	29,269	33,584	36,680	37,875	9.1%	1195	3.3
Juniata County	15,874	16,712	19,188	20,625	22,204	6.8%	1579	7.7
Mifflin County	44,348	45,268	46,908	46,197	46,793	1.0%	596	1.3
Northumberland County	104,138	99,190	100,381	96,771	96,193	-1.8%	-578	-0.6
Union County	25,646	28,603	32,870	36,176	40,546	9.0%	4370	12.1
Pennsylvania	11,319,366	11,794,005	11,864,751	11,882,613	11,994,016	1.2%	111403	0.9
Snyder County Percent of Pennsylvania	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.2	--	--	--

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1960-1990.


cause a particular rate of growth. However, a projection becomes a building block that can be used to forecast future land use and service needs.

Population projections developed by the Pennsylvania State Data Center (PSDC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) were used to compare the county's predicted growth levels. Projections prepared by the PSDC

are based primarily on demographic trends (i.e., mortality, fertility, and migration rates), which have been incorporated into the Demographic Cohort Model. In contrast, the PADEP integrates historical population data with a multiple distribution model system to calculate population projections for the commonwealth's State Water Plan. Figure 1-2 illustrates Snyder County's projected population growth from 1990 to 2020. As shown, the PSDC's projections are slightly

Figure 1-2
Snyder County Population Projections, 1990-2020

Sources: PA State Data Center and PA Department of Environmental Protection



higher than the PADEP's. However, both projections indicate the county's population will steadily increase through 2020. Specifically, the PSDC and PADEP data reveals an annual average percentage increase over the period 1990 to 2020 of 0.9 percent and 0.6 percent, respectively.

AGE DISTRIBUTION AND PROJECTED GROWTH

A key factor that can affect population growth and determine the type of services required is the distribution of the total population according to the age of the residents. Different age groups have different public service needs that should be specifically considered. The age group ranging from 18 to 44 years of age is the range of persons eligible for marriage and most frequently engaged in new household formation. This is also the prime childbearing age group; any decline or imbalance in the number of residents within this age group will affect the birth rate. The age group ranging from 25 to 44 years is the basic segment of the population that comprises the local labor force and the group most frequently engaged in home buying or building. This group is also highly mobile and active in community functions.

The various age groups over 45 years old also form an important segment of the population. The mature labor force, 45 to 65, tends to be more settled and at the height of their earning power. People 65 years and older are generally characterized by limited purchasing power, an increased demand for health and public transit services, and special recreation requirements.

Age cohort distribution and growth projections shown in Figure 1-3 reveal that the highest population growth for the period 1990 to 2020 will be experienced by people age 50 and over. The greatest increase will be

experienced by the 55-59 age cohort. People currently in their 50s represent the "baby boom" generation. Maturation of the baby boom generation means a smaller housing market for the next 20 years. After 2010, the baby boomers will enter into their 60s, which will contribute to the county's increasing elderly population. As a result, the county may experience an increased demand for elderly housing, services, and facilities over the next 20 years.


Projected population growth for people currently in their 20s and 30s will be much smaller than when baby boomers were at this age. Therefore, growth in the labor force and demand for housing will be less than experienced in previous decades.

In comparing 1999-2000 population changes, all counties, except for Union, experienced declines in the age groups ranging from 0-45 years. All surrounding counties experienced similar trends as Snyder County for the age groups over 50 years.

Consistent with national and state trends, Snyder County and its surrounding counties experienced increases in their populations' median ages. The 1990 median age for Snyder County is 32.6, which is an increase of 3.1 years over 1980's median age. Snyder County's 1990 median age was comparable to Union County's median age of 32.5 and is lower than Juniata's (34.6), Mifflin's (35.7), Northumberland's (37.8), and Pennsylvania's (35.0) median ages. Although lower than most surrounding counties', Snyder County's median age is relatively high, which can be attributed to the large percentage of persons in the productive and mature age groups.

Figure 1-3
Snyder County Age Distribution and Growth Projections, 1990 and 2020

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990, and PA State Data Center Projections, 2000-2020



GENDER DISTRIBUTION

According to U.S. Department of Health, Pennsylvania’s life expectancy rate for females (78.66 years) is greater than that of males (71.91 years) (USDOH, 1989-91). Therefore, there tends to be a greater number of females than males in Pennsylvania. The same holds true for Snyder County, where in 1990 the number of females (18,771) outnumbered males (17,909) at a ratio of .95, which is derived by dividing the total number of females into the total number of males. In 1980, the male to female ratio was .98, which indicates that the increase in females was greater than males during this period. Similar male to female ratios were experienced by surrounding counties in 1990, except for Union County.

ETHNIC COMPOSITION

Snyder County’s population is predominantly Caucasian with 99.3 percent of the county’s

1990 population being of this racial category. Since 1980, the percentage of the Caucasian population decreased by only 0.5 percent. From 1980 to 1990, the county’s Caucasian population increased by 3,096 persons, or by approximately 9.0 percent.

The county’s African-American population, which comprises 0.4 percent of the total population, increased to 146 persons, or by 17.7 percent, from 1980 to 1990. The Hispanic population also grew during this period. Their population increased by approximately 46 percent from 101 in 1980 to 147 in 1990. The growth of these minority groups and their current concentration emphasize the importance of housing opportunities and fair housing issues.

Snyder County’s racial composition trends are consistent with surrounding counties, where the predominant racial category is Caucasian. With the exception of Union County, the percentage of Caucasians in the surrounding

Chapter 1 - Socioeconomic Profile

counties exceeded 99.0 percent. Union County's Caucasian population in 1990 comprised 96.4 percent of the population.

The African-American population comprises the second largest racial category in the surrounding counties, but only experienced increases in Union and Juniata Counties from 1980 to 1990. According to 1990 Census data, Union County has the highest percentage (2.7 percent) of African-Americans in terms of total population.

Increases in Hispanic populations were experienced by all surrounding counties, except for Juniata. From 1980 to 1990, Union County experienced the greatest percentage increase (160.4 percent) in Hispanics. In addition, Union County has the highest percentage (1.8 percent) of Hispanics in terms of total population.

A special interest ethnic group located in Snyder County is the "Plain Sect." The Plain Sect is a general term used to describe the Anabaptist denominations who are largely of German descent. The Anabaptists are currently comprised of three main denominations, which are the Amish, Mennonites and Church of the Brethren.

The focus of the Anabaptist movement includes adult baptism, plain dress, a strong agrarian work ethic, and resistance to modern technology.

POPULATION DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION BY LAND AREA

Population density is the total population of Snyder County in proportion to the total land area of the county. As shown in Table 1-3, Snyder County contains 331.2 square miles and was inhabited by 36,680 persons in 1990, producing a population density of 110.7 persons per square mile. This represents an 8.5 percent increase in population density over the county's 1980 density level. In comparison with the population densities of the commonwealth and surrounding counties, Snyder County recorded the second lowest density, typifying its predominantly rural character. However, all surrounding counties recorded lower population densities than Pennsylvania, which exemplifies the region's rural character.

The U.S. Census Bureau has classified Snyder County's existing population as being either rural (rural and rural nonfarm) or urban. As shown in Figure 1-4, most of Snyder County's


**Table 1-3
Population Density and Land Area Comparisons , 1980-1990**

Jurisdiction	Land Area (Sq. Mi.)	Persons Per Square Mile		% Change 1980-1990
		1980	1990	
Snyder County	331.2	102.0	110.7	8.5%
Juniata County	391.6	49.0	52.7	2.2%
Mifflin County	410.7	113.7	112.5	(1.1%)
Northumberland County	459.9	217.9	210.4	(3.4%)
Union County	316.8	103.7	114.2	10.1%
Pennsylvania	44,819.6	264.3	265.1	.3%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

population is classified as rural, with the majority of this population being rural nonfarm. In comparison with surrounding counties, Snyder County is second only to Juniata County in total rural population. Only 14.7 percent of the county's total population is classified as urban. Of the county's 21 municipalities, only Selinsgrove Borough is classified by the U.S. Census Bureau as having a 100 percent urban population. The heaviest concentration of urban areas are located in the eastern portion of the county, specifically, in the Selinsgrove and Shamokin Dam area. Small urban-like areas exist throughout the county in places such as McClure, Middleburg, Beavertown, and Beaver Springs.

Figure 1-4
Population Distribution by
Urban/Rural Land Areas, 1990
 Source: U.S. Census Bureau



EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, EXPENDITURES AND ENROLLMENTS

Table 1-4 compares the years of school completed by Snyder County residents, 25 and over, with neighboring counties and Pennsylvania. This information reflects upon the skills and abilities of the labor force to compete in the labor market.

Of the jurisdictions surveyed in Table 1-4, Snyder County had the lowest educational attainment rate for the "High School Graduate or Higher" category. In comparison with all counties in the commonwealth, Snyder County's attainment rate for this category was higher only to Philadelphia (64.3 percent) and Fulton (64.0 percent) Counties.

However, Snyder County's educational attainment rate for persons 25 years and older who have obtained a bachelor's degree or higher ranked third of the jurisdictions surveyed. In comparison with the commonwealth's 67 counties, Snyder County's educational rate for this category ranked as the 20th lowest.

A historic comparison reveals that Snyder County's educational attainment levels have improved. From 1980 to 1990, the percentage of persons 25 years and older that have completed high school or a higher education (not including a Bachelor's degree) has increased from 59.2 percent to 64.4 percent. Also during this period, the percentage of persons 25 years and over that have attained a Bachelor's degree or higher has increased from 9.7 percent to 10.6 percent.

Public school dropout rates reported by the Pennsylvania Department of Education for the school year 1997-98, reveal that Snyder, Juniata, and Union Counties had dropout rates between 1.5-2.0 percent. The rates for these counties are lower than Pennsylvania's

**Table 1-4
Educational Attainment Comparisons, Percentage of Population 25 Years and Older,
for
Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1990**

Jurisdiction	Number of Persons 25 Years and Over	Percent of Persons 25 Years and Over	
		High School Graduate or Higher	Bachelor's Degree or Higher
Snyder County	22,638	64.4	10.6
Juniata County	13,418	65.2	7.3
Northumberland County	66,177	68.5	8.6
Mifflin County	30,339	68.2	8.7
Union County	22,019	73.1	17.5
Pennsylvania	7,872,932	74.7	17.9

* Includes Equivalency
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990.

average dropout rate of 2.6 percent. The total number of dropouts per Snyder County's male and female populations are almost equal with 27 and 24 students, respectively. Of all 67 counties in the state, only five had dropout rates higher than the state average, which included both Mifflin (2.7 percent) and Northumberland (2.9 percent) Counties. State trends show that both urban and rural counties appear on the lists of counties with low and high rates. However, the largest number of dropouts are located in the larger, more urban areas.

Expenditures

Snyder County is divided into two school district areas—Selinsgrove Area and Mid-West School Districts. According to the Pennsylvania Department of Education, the unadjusted 1996-97 expenditures per average daily membership (ADM) for both the Selinsgrove Area and Mid-West School Districts were \$6,677 and \$6,161, respectively. In comparison, the expenditures per ADM for the commonwealth were higher at \$7,483.

Enrollments

As shown in Table 1-5, enrollments for both the Mid-West and Selinsgrove School Districts increased by 3.1 percent and 8.8 percent, respectively, from the 1990-91 to the 1998-99 school years.

Historic enrollment trends for the county's two school districts are presented in Figure 1-5. As shown, the two district's enrollments have steadily increased throughout the decade; however, Mid-West experienced a slight decline in its 1998-99 enrollments over its 1997-98 total. The average annual percentage growth rate during the surveyed period for the Selinsgrove Area School District was 1.1 percent, which was higher than Mid-West's growth rate of 0.4 percent.

**INCOME AND
POVERTY LEVELS**

Snyder County's income levels are closely related to its educational achievement, and educational levels are usually reflected by income levels. Income also reflects the


**Table 1-5
Selected Statistics for Snyder County School Districts**

School District	Total Enrollment			Percent Low Income (1997-98)	Expenditures Per Student*		
	1990-91	1998-99	Percent Change		1989-90 Expenditures in 1998 Dollars	1997-98 Expenditures in 1998 Dollars	Percent Change
Mid-West	2,622	2,703	3.1%	31.8%	\$5,664	\$5,704	0.7%
Selinsgrove	2,743	2,985	8.8%	27.9%	\$6,179	\$6,082	-1.6%

* Adjusted by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the 1990 and 1998 calendar years. Inflation Index for this period equals 1.247
 Sources: Pennsylvania Department of Education, Pennsylvania System of School Assessment, 1997-98.
 Snyder County School District Enrollments, 1998-99.
 National Center for Education Statistics, 1990 Expenditures Per Pupil.

**Figure 1-5
Historic School District Enrollment Trends in Snyder County for School Years
1990-91 through 1998-99**

Source: PA Department of Education, 1999.



relative influence of an area and its ability to support needed public facilities and utilities. Income statistics are presented in Tables 1-6, 1-7, and 1-8. Income statistics are grouped into three (3) main categories by the U.S. Census Bureau and are defined as follows:

1. Per Capita Income - Calculated by dividing the aggregate income for persons 15 years and over by the total number of persons in the group.

2. Family Income - A median income value representing the family household units.
3. Household Income - The median income value representing all households and unrelated individuals.

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index (CPI) was used to adjust Snyder County's 1980 income levels for inflation to 1990 dollars. The CPI represents changes in

prices of all goods and services purchased for consumption by urban households. User fees (such as water and sewer service) and sales and excise taxes paid by the consumer are also included. Income taxes and investment items (like stocks, bonds, and life insurance) are not included.

As shown in Table 1-6, 1980 and 1990 household income comparisons are made for Snyder County, the commonwealth, and surrounding counties. The results show that Snyder County's 1990 median household income exceeded inflation (i.e., experienced real growth). For example, an equivalent amount of the county's unadjusted 1980 median household income dollars (i.e., \$15,542) equals \$24,650 in 1990 dollars. In comparison, the county's 1990 median household income of \$25,864 exceeded the 1980 adjusted median household income by approximately 5 percent; thus, demonstrating real growth in median household income. Furthermore, these results show that Snyder County's 1990 median household income is competitive with those of surrounding counties', however, it still remains below the state's level.

The CPI was also used to adjust 1980 income levels shown in Tables 1-7 and 1-8. As shown, both Snyder County's 1990 per capita and median family incomes experienced real growth by exceeding the adjusted 1980 income levels by 19 percent and 11 percent, respectively. Furthermore, these results show that Snyder County's 1990 per capita and median family incomes are competitive with the income levels of Juniata, Mifflin, and Northumberland Counties, but are below the levels for Union County and the commonwealth.

Personal Income by Major Industry

Information provided in Table 1-9 compares Snyder County's adjusted (CPI) personal income levels by major industry for years 1990 and 1997. As shown, real income decreased for the manufacturing, wholesale trade, and service industries. The greatest increases in real income were experienced by the FIRE (i.e., Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate industries) government, and retail trade industries.

**Table 1-6
Unadjusted and Adjusted Median Household Income Comparisons for
Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980 - 1990**

Jurisdiction	1980 Median Household Income (Unadjusted)	1980 Median Household Income in 1990 Dollars	1990 Median Household Income in 1990 Dollars	Percent Change in Median Household Income
Snyder County	\$15,542	\$24,650	\$25,864	4.9%
Juniata County	\$15,659	\$22,018	\$25,359	15.2%
Mifflin County	\$17,012	\$22,137	\$22,778	2.9%
Northumberland County	\$15,862	\$20,509	\$22,124	7.9%
Union County	\$18,083	\$25,167	\$27,622	9.8%
Pennsylvania	\$19,995	\$26,772	\$29,069	8.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

Table 1-7
Unadjusted and Adjusted Per Capita Income Comparisons for
Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980 - 1990

Jurisdiction	1980 Per Capita Income (Unadjusted)	1980 Per Capita Income in 1990 Dollars	1990 Per Capita Income in 1990 Dollars	Percent Change in Per Capita Income
Snyder County	\$5,754	\$9,126	\$10,859	19.0%
Juniata County	\$5,703	\$5,703	\$10,759	88.7%
Mifflin County	\$5,812	\$9,218	\$10,609	15.1%
Northumberland County	\$5,682	\$9,012	\$10,819	20.1%
Union County	\$5,789	\$9,181	\$11,679	27.2%
Pennsylvania	\$7,077	\$11,224	\$14,068	25.3%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

Table 1-8
Unadjusted and Adjusted Median Family Income Comparisons for
Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980 - 1990

Jurisdiction	1980 Median Family Income (Unadjusted)	1980 Median Family Income in 1990 Dollars	1990 Median Family Income in 1990 Dollars	Percent Change in Median Family Income
Snyder County	\$17,218	\$27,308	\$30,302	11.0%
Juniata County	\$15,659	\$24,835	\$28,781	15.9%
Mifflin County	\$17,012	\$26,981	\$27,502	1.9%
Northumberland County	\$15,862	\$25,157	\$27,669	10.0%
Union County	\$18,083	\$28,680	31,776	10.8%
Pennsylvania	\$19,995	\$31,715	\$34,856	9.9%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

Poverty Levels

Table 1-10 includes poverty level data for Snyder County and surrounding counties and Pennsylvania. As shown, all counties, with the exception of Juniata and Mifflin, experienced slight increases in their poverty levels from 1980 to 1990. According to the 1990 census data, poverty status was determined for 34,402 residents. Some 3,789 persons or 11.0 percent of the total population were considered below the poverty level. In addition, of the county's 9,692 families in 1990, 744 or 7.7 percent were below the poverty level.

**HOUSEHOLD AND
 GROUP QUARTER
 CHARACTERISTICS**

Of key interest are the type of households in Snyder County. The Census Bureau defines three basic types of households: a married couple family, a family headed by either a male or female without a spouse,

**Table 1-9
Snyder County Adjusted Personal Income by Major Industry, 1990 and 1997**

Major Industry	1990 Personal Income (Unadjusted)	1990 Personal Income in 1997 Dollars	1997 Personal Income in 1997 Dollars	Percent Change in Personal Income
Manufacturing	\$123,484	\$151,638	\$147,115	-3.0%
Transportation and Public Utilities	\$24,807	\$30,463	\$31,328	0.003%
Wholesale Trade	\$13,739	\$16,871	\$16,634	-1.4%
Retail Trade	\$46,557	\$57,172	\$62,238	8.9%
FIRE	\$6,528	\$8,016	\$12,970	61.8%
Services	\$52,335	\$64,267	\$62,943	-2.1 %
Government	\$60,587	\$74,401	\$83,623	12.0%

Source: Regional Economic Information System: 1969-1997.

**Table 1-10
Percent of Total Persons Below Poverty for
Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1980 and 1990**

Jurisdiction	1980		1990		Change in Percentage Points 1980-1990	
	Percent of Persons	Percent of Families	Percent of Persons	Percent of Families	Persons	Families
Snyder County	10.7%	7.5%	11.0%	7.7%	0.3	0.2
Juniata County	12.7%	10.4%	9.8%	6.9%	(3.0)	(3.5)
Mifflin County	13.1%	9.7%	13.4%	9.5%	0.3	(0.2)
Northumberland County	11.4%	8.1%	11.6%	8.4%	0.2	0.3
Union County	9.4%	6.4%	10.3%	6.5%	0.9	0.1
Pennsylvania	10.5%	7.8%	11.1%	8.2%	0.6	0.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

and non-family households, which is an individual living alone or with a non-relative.

Table 1-11 presents 1980 and 1990 household information for Snyder County. Household formation far exceeded the county's overall population increase between 1980 and 1990. During this period, the county experienced a net gain of 2,083 households, or a 19.5 percent increase, while the population growth rate for the same period was only 9.2 percent.

This is similar to national and state trends and is the result of long term decrease in household size.

Snyder County's fastest growing living arrangements are non-family households, while the traditional married-couple family is the slowest. Snyder County's average household size in 1990 was 2.70 according to

**Table 1-11
Snyder County Population by Household and Marital Status, 1980 and 1990**

Household Type	Number of Households				
	1980	% of All Households	1990	% of All Households	% Change 1980-1990*
Total Family Households (Two or More Persons)	8,579	80.3%	9,697	76.0%	13.0%
Married Couple Family	7,696	72.1%	8,471	66.4%	10.1%
Male (No Wife)	197	1.8%	379	3.0%	92.4%
Female (No Husband)	686	6.4%	847	6.6%	23.5%
Total Non-Family Households	2,102	19.7%	3,067	24.0%	45.9%
Householder Living Alone	1,833	17.2%	2,576	20.2%	40.5%
Householder 65 Years or Older	NA	NA	1,311	10.3%	NA
All Households (Total Family + Total Non-Family)	10,681	100.0%	12,764	100.0%	19.5%

* Represents the percent change in actual numbers.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990

the Census Bureau. This represents a decrease from 2.90 persons per household reported in the 1980 Census. These trends also are representative of national, state, and local trends, which are in response to the increase in the number of household formations. Group quarter formations are categorized by the U.S. Census Bureau into Institutionalized (i.e., correctional institutions, nursing homes, mental hospitals, juvenile institutions, and other institutions) and Other Persons in Group Quarters, which includes college dormitories, military quarters, emergency shelters, visible street locations, and other non-institutional group quarters. In 1990, college dormitories (i.e., Susquehanna University) accounted for the largest (1,184) group quarter category in Snyder County. Nursing homes (226 persons) and other institutions (698 persons) comprised the next largest category.

**LABOR FORCE
CHARACTERISTICS**

According to the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, Snyder County's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate for

March 1999, was 5.9 percent. This figure is higher than the state's March 1999 seasonally adjusted unemployment rate of 4.4 percent. Since 1995, Snyder County's unemployment rates have fluctuated from 5.5 percent in 1995 to 6.3 percent in 1996 to 4.9 percent in 1997 to 4.5 in 1998. With the exception of Union County (4.2 percent), Snyder County had the second lowest unemployment rate posted for March 1999 among surrounding counties. Mifflin and Juniata Counties had the highest unemployment rates at 8.0 percent and 7.9 percent, respectively, while Northumberland was at 6.7 percent unemployment.

Snyder County's total labor force increased from 15,326 in 1980 to 17,969 in 1990, or by 17.2 percent. From 1990 to 1997, the county's total labor force increased by another 2,243 persons or 12.5 percent.

As shown in Table 1-12, manufacturing has remained Snyder County's largest employer throughout the period 1980 through 1997. However, after experiencing an increase in employment from 1980 to 1990, the manufacturing industry suffered almost an 8.5

**Table 1-12
Snyder County Full-Time and Part-Time Employment
By Major Industry, 1980, 1990, and 1997**

Industry	1980	1990	1997	Change 1980-90		Change 1990-97	
				#	%	#	%
Farm Employment	1,149	978	934	-171	-17.5%	-44	-4.5%
Ag, Service, Farming and Fishing	130	194	--	64	33.0%	--	--
Mining	15	-	--	-15	--	--	--
Construction	548	762	820	214	28.1%	58	7.6%
Manufacturing	3,714	5,504	5,040	1,790	32.5%	-464	-8.4%
Transportation, Utilities, Comm.	661	769	791	108	14.0%	22	2.9%
Wholesale Trade	378	582	649	204	35.1%	67	11.5%
Retail Trade	2,869	3,879	4,470	1,010	26.0%	591	15.2%
FIRE	602	649	821	47	7.2%	172	26.5%
Services	2,086	3,575	3,553	1,89	41.7%	-22	-0.6%
Government	2,609	2,649	2,675	40	1.5%	26	1.0%

Source: Regional Economic Information System, 1980, 1990, 1997.

percent decrease in employment between 1990 and 1997. Snyder County's second leading employer has consistently been the retail trade industry. While its employment growth remains strong, the county's percentage increase in employment growth dropped from 26.0 percent to 15.2 percent for the periods 1980 to 1990 and 1990 to 1997, respectively.

Since 1990, the county's third largest employer has been the service industry, beating the government industry as the county's previous third largest employer. However, during the period 1990 to 1997 the service industry's employment levels decreased by 6 percent after experiencing an approximate 42 percent increase in employment during the period 1980 to 1990.

Although agriculture is one of the county's largest land use, employment levels for the farm industry have steadily decreased during the period 1980 to 1997. However, this decreased slowed from 17.5 percent to 4.5 percent for the periods 1980 to 1990 and 1990 to 1997, respectively.

While the proportion of males in the labor force has decreased from 59.4 percent in 1980 to 56.1 percent, the proportion of females has increased from 40.6 percent in 1980 to 44.0 percent in 1990. These trends reflect the increased number of females entering the workforce, which indicates that the number of two income families is rising steadily in Snyder County as it is elsewhere in the region and state. This shift in the sex composition of the labor force will mean increased needs for child care facilities and services, and recreational and related facilities and services for families during the planning period.

Of the 16,846 Snyder County workers who reported their place of work in 1990, 11, 865, or 70.4 percent, worked in the county. This represents a slight decrease of the 71.3 percent of persons who worked in the county in 1980. A mean travel time to work of only 17.0 minutes means that most residents work in Snyder County or adjacent municipalities. In 1990, approximately 1.0 percent of residents worked outside Pennsylvania. An interesting fact reported by the Pennsylvania State Data Center shows that in 1990, Snyder County was the most common place of work

for a reported 2,679 Northumberland County residents.

In 1990, 11, 865 (41 percent) residents drove to work alone, while 2,831 (10.0 percent) carpooled. From 1980 to 1990, the number of workers driving to work alone increased by 35.0 percent, while the number of workers carpooling decreased by 12.4 percent.

With the increased advancement and use of technology (e.g., Internet, e-mail, etc.) and home based occupations, the number of workers working at home dramatically increased from 615 in 1980 to 1,026 in 1990, or 67.0 percent.

Employment in Snyder County is nearly divided between blue collar (47.7 percent) and white collar (52.3 percent) jobs. This indicates that the employment base is fairly diverse and that there is a wide range of occupational types in the region. The proportion of white collar occupations is projected to increase as trade and professional service industries increase in the region.

A critical issue facing both Snyder County and the commonwealth is the brain drain syndrome. According PSDC, "Brain drain migration is the loss of highly educated and skilled workers, notably young people, through the exchange of migrants with other states." In the mid-1990s Pennsylvania had a net migration loss of 20,000, people ages 20 through 29 with college and graduate or professional degrees, and a net migration gain of nearly 16,500 migrants across all age groups with only high school or less educational attainment. Consequently, Pennsylvania is both losing its young, highly educated population and gaining low educational attainment migrants.

Chapter 2 - Housing Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Attractive housing and well maintained residential neighborhoods are one of the most important assets of any community. Good housing creates a sound tax base that will continue to appreciate in value and will assure that residents are living in an environment conducive to healthful and safe living.

The existing and future quality of housing is extremely important to the prosperity of Snyder County. An analysis of existing housing conditions and projected population levels and characteristics is necessary when identifying housing needs for the future. Another important feature of the local housing market is the variety of housing types and prices. A variety of styles and prices provides housing opportunities for people interested in entering the housing market.

HOUSING INVENTORY


According to U.S. Census Bureau, the total number of housing units in Snyder County increased by 16.7 percent, from 11,683 units in 1980 to 13,629 units in 1990 (Table 2-1). This rate of increase surpassed the population increase of 9.2 percent for the same time period. The percentage increase in dwelling units during the past few decades has been greater than the percentage increase in population, demonstrating the effect of declining household size. It is noted that year round housing units consist of all occupied units plus vacant units available or intended for year round use. From 1970 to 1990, the county's housing supply has increased by 54.5 percent, with an annual average increase of approximately 15.5 percent.

As indicated in Figure 2-1, the total housing supply in the County has increased during the 1980 to 1990 period by a greater percentage than all the surrounding counties. During this

period, all municipalities in the county experienced housing unit gains of five percent or greater (Table 2-1). The greatest percentage increases in total housing units occurred primarily in the eastern tier portion of the County; specifically, in Penn, Jackson, Center, Middlecreek, and Washington Townships. Trends in housing unit gains in these municipalities coincide with their population increases.

Figure 2-1
Percent Change in Total Housing Units, 1980-1990

Source: U.S. Census Bureau



Housing trends for the period 1990 through 1999 were analyzed using information gathered from the Snyder County Assessment Office. As shown in Figure 2-2, from 1990 to 1999, the number of improved single family residential parcels in the county increased from 10,530 to 11,349, or by 7.8 percent. This growth translates into an approximately one percent average annual increase. Table 2-2 presents the total number of improved residential parcels by municipality for assessment years 1990 through 1999.

**Table 2-1
Total Housing Unit Growth by Municipality, 1980-1990**

Municipality	Total Housing Units		
	1980	1990	% Change
Snyder County	11,683	13,629	16.7%
Adams Township	288	331	14.9%
Beaver Township	171	188	9.9%
Beavertown Borough	326	379	16.3%
Center Township	567	682	20.3%
Chapman Township	373	429	15.0%
Franklin Township	756	847	12.0%
Freeburg Borough	240	252	5.0%
Jackson Township	396	504	27.3%
McClure Borough	395	426	7.8%
Middleburg Borough	526	602	14.4%
Middlecreek Township	534	650	21.7%
Monroe Township	1,387	1,605	15.7%
Penn Township	661	962	45.5%
Perry Township	571	664	16.3%
Selinsgrove Borough	1,677	1,839	9.7%
Shamokin Dam Borough	654	754	15.3%
Spring Township	574	675	17.6%
Union Township	487	519	6.6%
Washington Township	383	484	26.4%
West Beaver Township	382	436	14.1%
West Perry Township	335	401	19.7%


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

**Table 2-2
Number of Improved Residential Parcels, Snyder County 1990 to 1999**

Tax	Municipality	2/15/1990	2/13/1991	2/14/1992	2/19/1993	2/14/1994	3/1/1995	2/19/1996	2/21/1997	2/17/1998	3/10/1999	Municipal
1	Adams Township	253	256	256	258	263	266	269	272	277	281	2,651
2	Beaver Township	155	157	159	162	163	164	164	164	164	166	1,618
3	Beavertown Borough	312	318	324	328	328	327	333	334	337	339	3,280
4	Center Township	490	504	512	523	538	540	550	562	572	579	5,370
5	Chapman Township	306	303	312	316	318	322	324	323	326	327	3,177
6	Franklin Township	713	712	720	727	676	693	710	721	721	727	7,120
7	Freeburg Borough	227	227	227	227	227	227	229	227	228	229	2,275
8	Jackson Township	365	370	371	376	373	375	373	376	377	381	3,737
9	McClure Borough	356	354	355	358	358	362	365	366	365	366	3,605
10	Middleburg Borough	441	439	440	444	444	442	444	444	443	444	4,425
11	Middlecreek	559	549	555	564	571	590	598	612	620	630	5,848
12	Monroe Township	1,442	1,452	1,482	1,507	1,518	1,525	1,543	1,559	1,564	1,581	15,173
13	Penn Township	781	812	830	855	894	916	940	968	999	1,021	9,016
14	Perry Township	447	460	468	473	472	481	493	495	505	512	4,806
15	Selinsgrove Borough	1,209	1,203	1,205	1,206	1,206	1,206	1,202	1,202	1,198	1,201	12,038
16	Shamokin Dam	610	605	605	607	608	607	607	608	609	610	6,076
17	Spring Township	486	474	478	486	486	482	488	488	490	495	4,853
18	Union Township	388	380	388	387	387	388	398	399	403	406	3,924
19	Washington Township	338	348	349	358	358	360	374	376	377	380	3,618
20	West Beaver	329	313	314	321	320	319	320	323	327	327	3,213
21	West Perry Township	323	326	327	331	331	328	334	344	345	347	3,336
County Total		10,530	10,562	10,677	10,814	10,839	10,920	11,058	11,163	11,247	11,349	109,159

Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999

Figure 2-2
Snyder County Residential Assessed Parcels, 1990-99
 Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999



As shown, Monroe Township and Selinsgrove Borough experienced the highest percentage of total improvements during the period, comprising 13.9 percent and 11 percent of the county's total for the entire surveyed period. Furthermore, the total number of improvements for the county steadily increased throughout the period.

OCCUPANCY AND TENURE STATUS

The tenure and occupancy characteristics for occupied dwellings in 1990, as compared to that which existed in 1980, are summarized in Table 2-3. The total number of occupied dwellings increased by 1,946, or 16.7 percent, with owner occupied units increasing by 2,083 (19.5 percent) and renter occupied units increasing by 673 (30.0 percent).

The vacancy rate serves as a measure of the housing market. According to *The Practice of Local Government Planning* (So, 1988), "Vacancy is an important housing indicator because it indicates the degree of choice

available. Too high a vacancy rate can be disastrous for owners trying to sell or rent. Too low a vacancy rate can force up prices. Vacancies between four and five percent are usually considered healthy." In Snyder County, the number of vacant units increased by 244 (39.3 percent), thus increasing the gross vacancy rate from 5.3 percent in 1980 to 6.3 percent in 1990.

HOUSING TYPES

The predominant residential unit design in Snyder County is the single family detached dwelling, which comprises 71.0 percent (9,677 units) of all housing units in 1990. From 1980 to 1990, the number of single family residential units increased from 8,823 units to 9,677 units or by approximately 10.0 percent. As previously stated, the county's total housing growth during this period was 16.7 percent, which indicates that the majority of the growth is predominantly rural based rather than urban.

**Table 2-3
Occupancy and Tenure of Housing Units in Snyder County
1980-1990**

Characteristic	1980	1990	Change	
			#	%
Total Housing Units	11,683	13,629	1,946	16.7%
Occupied Dwelling Units	10,681	12,764	2,083	19.5%
Owner Occupied	8,438	9,848	1,410	16.7%
Renter Occupied	2,243	2,916	673	30.0%
Vacant Units	621	865	244	39.3%
Gross Vacancy Rate	5.3%	6.3%	---	---

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1980-1990.

Multi-family units comprised 11.3 percent of all housing units. Since 1970, the total number of multi-family units has increased only by approximately 4.0 percent, which indicates a slow rate of urban growth.

HOUSING CONDITIONS

The age of a structure can be useful in the evaluation of structural conditions. Although the age of a structure does not necessarily imply its condition, it does point to areas where repairs, heating costs, and inadequate plumbing and electrical systems could be a problem. The age ranges of dwelling units in Snyder County are illustrated in Figure 2-3. As shown, the majority of the County's housing units were constructed since 1950, with approximately 35.6 percent having been constructed between 1960 and 1979. The median housing unit construction year is 1964. This surge in housing construction is in response, in part, to the post World War II housing boom. An equally large percentage (30.0 percent) of the County's housing stock was built prior to 1940, which is an indication of the number of structures that may require rehabilitation. Furthermore, these structures may require additional maintenance to insure their structural stability.

**Figure 2-3
Age of Housing Structures
in Snyder County**

Source: U.S. Census Bureau




Table 2-4 contains a summary of housing condition characteristics in Snyder County and for Pennsylvania. As shown, most of Snyder County's municipalities had the

**Table 2-4
Housing Condition Characteristics for Snyder County and Pennsylvania**

Jurisdiction	Year Housing Unit Built									Source of Water (1990)			Sewerage Disposal (1990)		
	Total Units	Percent of Total Housing Units								Public System / Private Co.	Dug or Drilled Well	Other	Public System	On-lot	Other
		1939 or Earlier	1940-49	1950-59	1960-69	1970-79	1980-84	1985-90	Median Year Built						
Pennsylvania	4,938,140	35.1	9.7	14.6	12.4	15.8	5.4	7.0	1954	78.1	19.8	2.1	74.3	24.5	1.2
Snyder County	13,629	30.1	5.0	9.7	13.4	22.2	10.4	9.2	1964	44.6	50.7	4.7	46.2	50.1	3.7
Adams Township	324	31.2	4.0	4.6	17.6	22.5	11.1	9.0	1966	20.7	64.2	15.1	-	90.7	9.3
Beaver Township	195	34.4	1.5	3.6	16.4	22.1	7.7	14.4	1966	16.4	76.9	6.7	2.1	94.4	3.6
Beavertown Borough	379	46.2	9.0	7.1	14.8	13.5	3.4	6.1	1944	99.5	0.5	-	99.2	0.8	-
Center Township	682	26.0	2.5	5.4	13.2	31.7	7.9	13.3	1971	27.6	67.4	5.0	26.8	69.6	3.5
Chapman Township	429	32.9	5.4	6.3	14.5	21.7	10.5	8.9	1964	3.3	87.6	9.1	3.3	79.3	17.5
Franklin Township	847	25.5	5.7	13.7	15.6	25.0	5.7	8.9	1963	13.6	80.9	5.5	9.0	86.3	4.7
Freeburg Borough	254	49.2	5.5	4.7	15.7	11.0	8.3	5.5	1941	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	-
Jackson Township	504	28.0	2.0	9.3	11.9	25.8	11.5	11.5	1969	28.4	69.0	2.6	3.2	93.7	3.2
McClure Borough	426	47.2	11.3	9.2	13.4	9.6	6.3	3.1	1943	83.6	14.8	1.6	84.5	14.8	0.7
Middleburg Borough	602	46.7	8.3	8.6	9.0	11.5	14.6	1.3	1944	99.0	0.7	0.3	96.7	3.3	-
Middlecreek Township	650	22.0	2.9	4.5	17.1	30.6	10.6	12.3	1971	33.5	62.3	4.2	36.5	60.9	2.6
Monroe Township	1,622	15.0	5.0	10.1	8.5	38.2	10.5	12.6	1973	28.2	71.3	0.6	55.5	43.9	0.6
Penn Township	945	24.0	2.5	13.4	11.4	19.6	13.1	15.9	1969	27.4	67.9	4.7	43.9	52.9	3.2
Perry Township	664	27.0	3.8	9.0	14.3	27.7	10.8	7.4	1967	13.4	79.2	7.4	11.3	82.2	6.5
Selinsgrove Borough	1,839	37.9	7.2	14.5	12.6	9.6	14.9	3.3	1953	98.9	1.1	-	98.6	1.4	-
Shamokin Dam Borough	754	15.9	3.7	17.1	22.7	17.6	15.8	7.2	1966	96.0	3.2	0.8	93.2	6.5	0.3
Spring Township	675	40.9	4.0	5.2	14.4	18.8	6.5	10.2	1960	44.0	48.4	7.6	39.6	53.6	6.8
Union Township	519	41.4	4.4	8.5	11.4	21.2	5.4	7.7	1955	1.9	87.5	10.6	1.2	91.3	7.5
Washington Township	482	27.4	5.6	4.6	9.8	30.9	8.5	13.3	1971	1.9	83.2	14.9	1.5	91.3	7.3
West Beaver Township	436	29.1	4.6	5.7	12.6	25.9	5.5	16.5	1968	0.2	83.5	16.3	-	84.6	15.4
West Perry Township	401	28.7	5.0	11.5	17.5	19.7	10.0	7.7	1963	14.0	74.3	11.7	0.5	94.0	5.5

Source: PA State Data Center. "1999 Pennsylvania County Data Book: Snyder County."

greatest percentage of their total housing units constructed prior to 1940. The exceptions are Middlecreek, Monroe, Washington Townships, and Shamokin Dam Borough. These municipalities had the greatest percentage of their total housing units constructed between 1960 and 1979.

Almost an equal percentage of Snyder County's total housing units obtain their water from either a public system/private company or dug/drilled well system. At the local level, however, the township households obtain the majority of their water supplies from dug or drilled well systems, while the boroughs obtain all or the majority of their water from public/private company systems.

Of the county's total housing units, approximately 54.0 percent lacked public sewer service. Most rural township households utilize on-lot septic systems, while the boroughs and a few urban-like townships (i.e., Monroe and Penn Townships) are serviced by public sewer systems.

However, from 1980 to 1990, the number of housing units lacking complete plumbing facilities declined from 4.4 percent to 3.7 percent. This indicates that a larger percentage of the rural housing units have gained access to public utility services over the decade.

Another indicator of deficient housing is the number of dwellings having more than one person per room. This is an indication of overcrowding. Based on this standard, in 1990 the County contained 231 (1.7 percent) dwelling units with an occupancy ratio of more than one person per room. This represents a decline from the 1980 percentage where 2.6 percent of dwelling units had more than one person per room. This indicates that overcrowding is less common.

HOUSING VALUE AND RENTAL COSTS

Housing price data over time can be used to measure the socioeconomic level of a local area, provided that it is properly adjusted for inflation. The median value of housing for owners and the median contract rent are the most simple and concise presentation of pricing trends. Table 2-5 shows the range of values for owner-occupied housing units in Snyder County. As shown, approximately 92 percent of the county's owner-occupied housing units are valued between \$50,000 to \$99,999. The 1990 median value of owner occupied housing units in Snyder County is \$56,700 versus Pennsylvania's median value of \$69,700. The county's 1990 real median value increased by 7.0 percent over the adjusted 1980 median value of \$52,972.

Table 2-6 shows the county's range of contract rent values. As shown, the majority (97.9 percent) of the contract rent values were between \$250 and \$499. The median contract rent value in 1990 is \$234, as compared to the 1980 value of \$207. When adjusted to 1990 values, the 1980 median contract rent equals \$328; thus, demonstrating that rent values are actually decreasing.

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

The availability of affordable housing is important for several reasons. Entry level employees in certain job sectors cannot afford to live near where they work, forcing them to commute longer distances. This adds to both traffic congestion and air pollution. Quality of life is affected through the loss of leisure time and time spent with family. Employers have a harder time filling positions in retail and service sector jobs as housing in the area becomes less affordable to those who might fill these positions. A wider range of housing

Table 2-5
Value Intervals for Specified Owner-Occupied
Housing Units, 1990

Value of Owner-Occupied Units	Number of Units	Percent of Total
<\$50,000	2,691	40.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	3,443	51.4%
\$100,000 to \$149,000	390	5.8%
\$150,000 to \$199,000	84	1.3%
\$200,000 to \$299,999	67	1.0%
≥\$300,000	19	0.3%
Total	6,694	100.0%

Median Value: \$56,700

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990.

Table 2-6
Contract Rent Intervals for Specified
Renter-Occupied Units Paying Cash Rent,
1990

Rent Interval of Renter-Occupied Units	Number of Units	Percent of Total
<\$250	1,380	57.6%
\$250 to \$499	965	40.3%
\$500 to \$749	46	1.9%
\$750 to \$999	2	0.1%
≥\$1000	3	0.1%
Total	2,396	100.0%

Median Rent: \$234

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990.

types, densities, and rental options can help to alleviate some of these affordable housing problems.

Coupled with housing price information, affordability is used to measure the burden of monthly housing expenditures relative to the residents' income. Housing affordability is based upon two factors housing values and household incomes. A comparison of these two factors can derive a proportional index of housing affordability. This process helps to

determine if the typical family can afford to purchase a house.

Snyder County's 1990 median owner-occupied housing value of \$56,700 divided by the county's 1990 median household income of \$25,864 equals a purchasing ratio of 2.19 (Table 2-7). This means that the average household in Snyder County will spend over two times their yearly income for the purchase of a home. Snyder County's purchasing value is less than Pennsylvania's purchasing ratio of

**Table 2-7
Housing Affordability Indexes for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1990**

Jurisdiction	1990 Median Household Income in 1990 Dollars	Median Housing Values	Purchasing Index
Pennsylvania	\$29,069	\$69,700	2.40
Snyder County	\$25,864	\$56,700	2.19
Juniata County	\$25,359	\$51,700	2.03
Mifflin County	\$22,778	\$41,900	1.84
Northumberland County	\$22,124	\$39,500	1.79
Union County	\$27,622	\$66,800	2.42

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1990.


2.4 [$\$69,700$ (1990 median housing value) / $\$29,069$ (1990 median household income)]. As a result, Snyder County’s housing market is more affordable than the commonwealth’s. However, in comparison with surrounding counties, Snyder County’s housing market is less affordable than those of surrounding municipalities, except for Union County.

PROJECTED HOUSING GROWTH

The increase in the number of housing units in a municipality can be projected through the use of several factors. These factors include historic trends, vacancy rate, population projections, and the number of persons per household. Projections of the number of households can be used to estimate future utility, facility, and service needs, and the amount of area that will be taken by residential development in the next 20 years. Figure 2-4 shows that Snyder County is expected to have approximately 19,000 occupied housing units in 2020. This represents an average annual increase of 10.1 percent for the period 1990 to 2020, which is less than the increase of 15.4 percent experienced for the period 1970 to 1990. A review of historic housing unit

**Figure 2-4
Total Occupied Housing Units 1970-1990, and Projected Housing Units 2000-2020**

Source: U.S. Census Bureau



increases by municipality can be used to determine the likelihood of where new housing construction will take place. As shown in Table 2-1, the greatest percentage increases in total housing units occurred primarily in the eastern tier of the county; specifically, in Penn, Jackson, Center, Middlecreek and Washington Townships. These outlying municipalities will continue

to experience increased growth pressures from the county's main growth areas of Selinsgrove and Shamokin Dam Boroughs. Furthermore, the future implementation of the Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation project will likely increase development pressures in Monroe Township and surrounding municipalities. As a result, the number of projected housing units may be altered depending upon the project's total economic impact.

PUBLIC/ASSISTED HOUSING AND OTHER ELDERLY HOUSING

The Snyder County Housing Authority, located in Middleburg, provides affordable, decent, safe, and sanitary housing for low income families, the elderly, and disabled persons in Snyder County. The authority currently provides two types of housing programs. The first program is Public Housing Program, which provides housing units in the Shade View Apartment complex in Middleburg. This 40-unit complex contains spacious and modern one to four bedroom apartments that provide water, sewer, and trash removal services. Households are required to pay 30 percent of their adjusted monthly income toward contract rent. Allowances for tenant-paid utility costs are used as deductions from the tenant's share of the rent. The second program is the Section 8 Housing Choices Voucher Program. This program is a federally subsidized program designed to provide rental assistance to low income households, which can include elderly, handicapped, disabled, and other qualified single individuals. As in the Public Housing Program, households are required to pay 30 percent of their adjusted monthly income toward contract rent. Allowances for tenant-paid utility costs are used as deductions from the tenant's share of the rent. The difference between the household's share

and the unit rent is the subsidy provided by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through the local Housing Authority. All housing units must meet fair market rents and pass a HUD Housing Quality Standard (HQS) Inspection.

In addition to its public housing units, the Authority also manages 25 units of elderly housing known as "High Street Manor" in Selinsgrove.

Currently, the authority's waiting lists are low. However, the authority is anticipating a future need for additional elderly housing or assisted care units. Other needs or deficiencies identified by the authority include additional office space, shortage of transit services for clients, and expanded work hours to better service clients.

The authority is currently implementing a Family Self Sufficiency Program as a joint effort with the Union County Housing Authority. This program is available to Section 8 Rental Assistance families and provides housing assistance as part of a coordinated program of housing, child care, transportation, personal and career counseling, adult education, and job training and placement to low-income persons. Families agree to sign a Contract of Participation with a limit of five years to become self sufficient. If the family's rental payment increases due to increased employment income, the authority's payment does not decrease. However, the portion of the authority's payment that would essentially decrease is escrowed for the family. If the family successfully fulfills their Contract of Participation, the escrow account funds are given to the family with the intention of it being used for education related activities or towards the purchase of a home.

Finally, the authority wishes to begin a computer learning center. Although computers and space are readily available, trainers are needed to instruct the program.

In addition to the housing administered through the authority, Snyder County's population is served by two nursing homes located in SelinsgroveBPenn Lutheran Village and Loving Care Nursing Center, Incorporated. The Penn Lutheran Village is a 197 licensed bed nonprofit nursing home. Loving Care Nursing Center is a profit nursing home and offers 44 licensed beds.

Homeowners over the age of 65 comprise a significant portion of the county's households. In 1990, this group totaled 3,225 households or approximately 23 percent of the county's total households, which is below the state's percentage of 26.2 percent.

From 1980 to 1990, the number of households with persons age 65 and over increased by 784 or by 32.1 percent, which is greater than the state's percentage increase of 16.8 percent. This trend demonstrates the growth in the county's elderly population.

Chapter 3 - Environmental Resources Analysis

INTRODUCTION

To assist in providing orderly, intelligent, and efficient growth for Snyder County, it is essential that appropriate features of the natural environment be described and that this information be integrated with other applicable planning tools and procedures. The purpose of this chapter is to provide a practical compilation of available environmental data as an aid to county planning efforts.

The presence of high quality environments and environmental amenities has a strong positive impact on property values and the local tax base. As growth continues, quality environments will be in greater demand. The benefits of protecting the county's natural resources not only go directly to the affected, but also spill over to adjoining undeveloped and developed parcels and neighborhoods. Therefore, it is important that government decision makers and the public be aware of the constraints that the natural environment may impose upon future development in the county.

STEEP SLOPES AND EROSION HAZARD AREAS

Steep slopes and erosion hazard areas represent both environmental and economic threats. Snyder County is located in the tightly folded and faulted Ridge and Valley Province of the Appalachian Physiographic Region. As a result, much of the County contains sizeable areas of steep slopes in areas located along Jacks Mountain and Shade Mountain.

Slopes between 5 and 15 percent cover approximately 57.8 percent of the County, which constitute the majority of the County's total land area. Slopes between 15 and 20 percent account for only 6.4 percent of the

total land area, while slopes greater than 20 percent comprise 21.2 percent.

Slopes between 15 and 25 percent are suitable only for low density residential development, while very steep slopes in excess of 25 percent are rarely suitable for development. The Future Land Use Map identifies those portions of Snyder County having slopes greater than 25 percent. Mitigation techniques must be incorporated into the development of areas with slopes in excess of 15 percent to ensure that adverse long-term impacts do not occur. According to the Soil Survey of Snyder County (1985), "A large percentage—about 53 percent—of the soils in Snyder County is well drained. Most of the well-drained soils are sloping or steep, and many are shallow or moderately deep to bedrock".

Steep slopes are extremely vulnerable to erosion, particularly when natural vegetation has been disturbed. The four factors influencing soil erosion are vegetation, soil type, slope size and inclination, and the frequency and intensity of rainfall. On most surfaces, vegetation is the single most important erosion control factor. The higher the cover density, the lower the soil loss to runoff. Rapid soil erosion is often very difficult and expensive to control once it has started. As the erosion of steep slopes spreads both upstream and along slope sides, large areas and different land uses may be impacted by these processes.

FLOODPLAINS

The Natural Features Map shows the locations of Snyder County's 100-year floodplains. Regulations of floodplains helps to reduce the threat to human life and property caused by periodic flooding. For regulatory purposes, a floodplain is defined by the 100-year or base flood, which has a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in a given year. A floodway is the channel of a stream, river, or

other body of water, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment so the 100-year flood can be carried without increasing flood heights by more than one foot at any point, and without creating hazardous velocities.

The Pennsylvania Floodplain Management Act (Act 166 of 1978) requires municipalities identified as being flood-prone to enact floodplain regulations which, at a minimum, meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The NFIP is a federal program that allows property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding.

The NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) was implemented in 1990 as a program for recognizing and encouraging community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP standards. The National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 codified the CRS in the NFIP. Under the CRS, flood insurance premium rates are adjusted to reflect the reduced flood risk resulting from community activities that meet the three goals of the CRS: (1) reduce flood losses; (2) facilitate accurate insurance rating; and (3) promote the awareness of flood insurance. There are ten CRS classes—Class 1 requires the most credit points and gives the largest premium reduction while Class 10 receives no premium reduction. The CRS recognizes 18 creditable activities, organized under four categories numbered 300 through 600—Public Information, Mapping and Regulations, Flood Damage Reduction, and Flood Preparedness.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA), NFIP Community Status Book, all municipalities in Snyder County are participating in the NFIP program, and have adopted floodplain ordinances. However, no municipalities in

the county are participating in the CRS program.

SCENIC VISTAS

One of the highly-praised natural features of Snyder County is its scenery. Its wooded slopes, well-maintained farms, views from numerous high points, creek valleys, and the Susquehanna River are all valuable county assets. Unique and scenic attributes of Snyder County, worthy of preservation, extend beyond the natural environment to include the man-made environment as well. The county's historical pattern of development, consisting of well defined and functioning villages surrounded by fertile farmland and open space uses, provide not only visual uniqueness and beauty, but also a clear sense of community. The current trends toward sprawl development patterns result in the loss of both human interaction in traditional community settings and distinctive rural landscapes. The Natural Features Map illustrates the county's numerous overlook locations and positional directions for viewing the county's scenic vistas.

WETLANDS

Wetlands are complex ecosystems, which serve as an integral component in the environment's composition. By definition, all wetlands have three basic characteristics, which include the presence of: (1) water on the surface during all or part of the year; (2) hydrophytic vegetation; and (3) hydric soils.

The importance of wetlands in providing wildlife habitat, floodplain protection, surface and ground water quality improvement, and a variety of other important functions are often overlooked in land use planning practices. Laws such as the federal Clean Water Act, and similar state and local laws have led to the enforcement of wetland protection. In Pennsylvania, development in wetland areas is

strictly regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. Therefore, any development of these areas is subject to both federal and state permitting processes. The location of Snyder County's wetland features are shown on the Natural Features Map. The majority of the county's wetlands may be found along the Susquehanna River, and throughout such stream corridors as Middle Creek, Mahantango Creek, and Penns Creek.

WATER QUALITY AND SUPPLY

Surface Waters and Drainage

Surface waters include rivers, streams and ponds, which provide aquatic habitat, carry or hold runoff from storms, and provide recreation and scenic opportunities. Surface water resources are a dynamic and important component of the natural environment, but ever-present threats such as pollution, construction, clear-cutting, and overuse have required the protection of these valuable resources.

Snyder County is located in the Lower Central Susquehanna Watershed (Subbasin 6), which has a total drainage area of 1,449 square miles. This watershed includes the Susquehanna River from the West Branch Susquehanna River to the Juniata River and encompasses most of Snyder County, and portions of Northumberland, Dauphin, Union, Centre, Mifflin, Juniata, Perry, Schuylkill, Columbia, Huntingdon, and Montour Counties. The western most portion of Snyder County, however, is located within the Lower Juniata River Watershed.

The Pennsylvania Stormwater Management Act, Act 167 of 1978, requires counties to prepare stormwater management plans on a watershed-by-watershed basis. These plans must be prepared in consultation with the affected municipalities. Standards for control

of runoff from new development are a required component of each plan, and are based on a detailed hydrologic assessment. A key objective of a stormwater management plan is to coordinate decisions of the watershed municipalities. A plan is implemented through mandatory municipal adoption of ordinance provisions consistent with the plan. According to records maintained by the PA Department of Environmental Protection, no Act 167 Phase I plans have been prepared for the county's watersheds.

Groundwater Quality and Supply

The county's underlying geologic formations shape the topography of the landscape and determine the water-bearing characteristics of aquifers. Geologic formations can also restrict the nature and extent of surface development. In addition, the underlying rock is subject to forces that erode its original shape and form soils. The resultant soils possess distinct characteristics that often impact land use decisions.

Geology is also a primary determinant of groundwater quality and quantity. Certain rock types and structures convey water better and serve as more abundant well sources. Rock type and structure can affect the degree of groundwater filtration that takes place. Chemical composition of the rock can also contribute to the chemical properties of its groundwater.

The geology of Snyder County consists primarily of sedimentary rock layers, which cross the county in an east to west fashion. The county's geologic formations are shown on the Geology Map. Table 3-1 shows the engineering characteristics of these

**Table 3-1
Engineering Characteristics of Snyder County's Geologic Formations**

Formation	Description	Porosity	Permeability	Ease of Excavation	Foundation Stability	Quality of Groundwater	Quantity of Groundwater (Median Yield)
Bald Eagle Formation	Fine to coarse grained, crossbedded sandstone	Low to moderate	Moderate	Difficult	Good	N/A	Median = 10 gpm
Bloomsburg Formation	Predominantly reed shale and siltstone	Low to moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Good	Hydrogen sulfide has been noted in some wells.	Median = 45 gpm
Buddy's Run Member*	Grayish-red to brownish-red shale, siltstone, and fine-grained sandstone.	Low to moderate	Low to moderate	Moderate	Excellent	Generally good; some areas show high hardness and dissolved solids.	10 to 12 gpm
Duncannon Member*	Interbedded red and gray sandstone, red siltstone, and red mudstone.	Low to moderate	Low	Moderate	Excellent	Generally good; except for occasional high sulfur content.	7 to 40 gpm
Irish Valley Member*	Alternating beds of olive-gray sandstone, siltstone, and shale with red siltstone, mudstone, and shale.	Low	Low	Moderate	Good	Salty water and hydrogen sulfide	2 to 380 gpm
Sherman Creek Member*	Interbedded grayish-red silty mudstone, sandy siltstone, and reddish-gray to light-olive-gray, very medium-grained, silty sandstone.	Moderate	Moderate	Difficult	Good	Generally good, but water may be high in iron.	(Unknown)
Clinton Group	Fossiliferous sandstone; hematitic sandstone and shale	Low	Low	Moderate	Good	N/A	12 gpm
Hamilton Group	Fossiliferous siltstone and shale; oolitic hematite; conglomerate	Low to moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Good	Water may have high iron and sulfur content; hydrogen sulfide gas is common.	1 to 300 gpm
Juniata Formation	Brownish-red, fine-grained to conglomerate, quartzitic sandstone	Low	Low	Difficult	Good	Usually good.	Median = 17 gpm
Keyser Formation	Dark gray, highly fossiliferous limestone	Moderate	Moderate to low	Difficult	Good	Water is frequently hard; some iron problems	Median = 30 gpm
Mifflintown Formation	Greenish-gray shale interbedded with medium-gray fossiliferous limestone	Low	Low to moderate	Moderate to Difficult	Good	Hydrogen sulfide has been noted in some wells; water quality decreases with increased depth.	Median = 20 gpm
Old Port Formation	Includes sandstone, chert, shale, and limestone	Moderate to high	Moderate to high	Difficult	Good	Water quality is good.	1 to 100 gpm
Onondaga Formation	Medium-gray limestone and calcareous shale	Moderate	Moderate to low	Difficult	Good	Water from shale frequently contains iron and hydrogen sulfide.	Median = 30 gpm
Reedsville Formation	Dark-gray shale	Low	Low	Moderate	Good	Presence of some iron and hydrogen sulfide.	Median = 15 gpm
Trimmers Rock Formation	Fine-grained sandstone and siltstone	Moderate	Moderate to low	Moderate	Good	Very soft water; may contain hydrogen sulfide	Median = 30 gpm
Tuscarora Formation	Sandstone and quartzite	Low to moderate	Low	Difficult	Good	Water quality is usually good; soft water.	Median = 23 gpm
Wills Creek Formation	Greenish-gray shale containing local limestone and sandstone	Low	Low	Moderate	Good	Hydrogen sulfide has been noted in some wells; iron may be a problem.	Median = 32 gpm

Note: Formations containing limestone should be investigated thoroughly for solution openings.

Sources: Alan R. Geyer and J. Peter Wilshusen, Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania. 1992. (Pennsylvania Geological Survey, Harrisburg, PA).

formations, which are important to consider when allocating and planning land use activities. This information is only intended as an overall reference and should be used to determine general characteristics of the formation type.

Portions of Snyder County's landscape is underlain by limestone based geologic formations (Geology Map). Limestone, which is a carbonate rich material, is highly soluble and susceptible to the formation of solution caverns and sinkholes (i.e., karst topography). Karst refers to any terrain where the topography has been formed chiefly by the dissolving of rock. Landforms associated with karst include sinkholes, caves, sinking streams, springs, and solution valleys. Because of the unique geologic and hydrologic features associated with highly developed subterranean networks, the scope of problems related to the karst environment is large. A karstic landscape is particularly sensitive to environmental degradation, with the depletion and contamination of groundwater supplies being among the most severe.

Furthermore, karst landforms are valuable for various reasons including serving as areas for endangered species of flora and fauna, may contain cultural resources (i.e., historic and prehistoric), contain rare minerals or unique landforms, and provide scenic and challenging recreational opportunities.

The Pennsylvania Topographic & Geologic Survey maintains records on geologic hazards in the commonwealth; specifically, the Sinkhole Inventory Database. According to this database, no sinkhole activity has been recorded in Snyder County. However, due to the existence of limestone formations in the county, the opportunity exists for karstic activity.

FOREST LAND

Forest land is valued for many reasons. It provides recreational opportunities for nature study, hunting, hiking, horseback riding and scenic views. In addition to recreational activities, the county's forests are used for firewood harvesting, commercial timbering, and as land use buffers and boundaries. Many plant and animal species depend on large, unbroken wooded tracts for survival. The forests also mitigate environmental stress by reducing stormwater runoff, increasing groundwater recharge filtration, improving erosion and sedimentation control, regulating local climates, and purifying air.

Snyder County contains approximately 101,435 acres of forest land. The most prominent, contiguous areas of woodlands in the county are the Jacks Mountain and Shade Mountain regions. According to the USDA Forest Service, hardwoods by volume are the most prevalent species group in the county. The most common hardwood species are white oak, red oak, ashes, hickory, maple, black walnut, and basswood. Softwood species, particularly pine and hemlock, also exist in the county.

SOILS

The 1985 Snyder County soil survey combines soils into soil associations, which emphasize how soil depth, slope, and drainage affect potential land use. The associations are helpful in attaining a general idea of soil quality, comparing different sections of the county, and locating large areas suited certain uses.

On-lot Septic Suitability

The soil survey provides insight on the suitability of the county's soils for use in septic tank absorption fields. According to this soil survey, approximately 73 percent of

Chapter 3 - Environmental Sensitive Resources

the County's soils have a *severe* degree of soil suitability for septic tank absorption fields. Soils having a severe degree of limitation have one or more properties that seriously limit their use. Using soils with a severe limitation increases the probability of failure and adds to the cost of installation and maintenance. It is important to note that analysis at this scale, based upon available data, is no substitute for site testing. This analysis should be used only as a general indication of those areas that may not be suitable for on-lot systems.

According to 1990 Census data, approximately 54 percent of the county's total housing units are served by on-lot sewage disposal systems. Therefore, it is appropriate to examine the areas that lack public sewer service and to evaluate their potential for on-lot systems.

The Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act of 1966 as amended, commonly referred to as "Act 537", is the primary law controlling individual and community sewage disposal systems. Act 537 requires that every municipality in the state prepare and maintain an up-to-date sewage facilities plan. Act 537 requires municipalities to review their official plans at five-year intervals and perform updates, as necessary. Municipalities can apply to the PADEP for up to 50 percent reimbursement of the cost of preparing an Act 537 plan.

As part of a municipal sewage plan update, developing a sewage management program should be considered by the municipality. It may be required when sewage malfunctions are widespread in an area and endanger public health by discharging onto a public area or private property, or threaten to contaminate drinking water supplies. The management program ensures that sewage systems are properly operated and maintained. Properly designed and installed systems function better

and longer with maintenance. Without proper operation and maintenance, systems may either fail completely or function well below their capabilities, quickly jeopardizing the efforts and resources devoted by a municipality to assure proper design and installation of these systems.

Important Farmland Soils

Prime farmland, as defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, is the land that is best suited to producing food, feed, forage, and fiber and oilseed crops. It has the soil quality, growing season, and water supply needed to economically produce a sustained high yield of crops when it is treated and managed using acceptable farming methods. According to the Department of Agriculture, prime farmland soils are usually classified as capability Class I or II.

Farmland soils of statewide importance are soils that are predominantly used for agricultural purposes within a given state, but have some limitations that reduce their productivity or increase the amount of energy and economic resources necessary to obtain productivity levels similar to prime farmland soils. These soils are usually classified as capability Class II or III.

Snyder County's prime farmland soils and soils of statewide importance are listed in Table 3-2 and their general locations area illustrated on the Future Land Use Map.

**Table 3-2
Important Farmland Soils in Snyder County**

Prime Farmland Soils	Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance
Albrights silt loam, 3-8% slopes, AbB	Allenwood and Washington soils, 8-15% slopes, AoC
Allenwood gravelly silt loam, 0-3% slopes, AnA	Alvira silt loam, 0-3% slopes, ArA
Allenwood and Washington soils, 3-8% slopes, AoB	Alvira silt loam, 3-8% slopes, ArB
Basher Soils, Bc	Alvira silt loam, 8-15% slopes, ArC
Bedington silt loam, 3-8% slopes, BeB	Basher soils, frequently flooded, Bd
Buchanan gravelly loam, 3-8% slopes, BuB	Bedington silt loam, 8-15% slopes, BeC
Edom complex, 3-8% slopes	Berks shaly silt loam, 3-8% slopes, BkB
Elliber cherry silt loam, 3-8% slopes, EsB	Berks shaly silt loam, 8-15% slopes, BkC
Elliber very cherty silt loam, 3-8% slopes, EtB	Buchanan gravelly loam, 8-15% slopes, BuC
Hagerstown silt loam, 3-8% slopes, HaB	Calvin-Klinesville shaly silt loams, 3-8% slopes, CaB
Hartleton channery silt loam, 3-8% slopes, HtB	Calvin-Klinesville shaly silt loams, 8-15% slopes, CaC
Kreamer cherry silt loam, 3-8% slopes, KmB	Edom complex, 8-15% slopes, EdC
Laidig gravelly loam, 3-8% slopes, LaB	Elliber cherty silt loam, 8-15% slopes, EsC
Leck Kill shaly silt loam, 3-8% slopes, LnB	Elliber very cherty silt loam, 8-15% slopes, EtC
Linden silt loam, Lw	Evendale cherty silt loam, 3-8% slopes, EvB
Meckesville silt loam, 3-8% slopes, MkB	Hagerstown silt loam, 8-15% slopes, HaC
Monongahela silt loam, 0-3% slopes	Hartleton channery silt loam, 3-8% slopes, HtC
Washington silt loam, wet substratum, 3-8% slopes, WaB	Hartleton channery silt loam, 8-15% slopes, HtC
Watson silt loam, 0-3% slopes, WbA	Holly silt loam, Hv
Watson silt loam, 3-8% slopes, WbB ¹	Holly silt loam, rarely flooded, Hz
Wheeling soils, 0-3 percent slopes, WsA	Kreamer cherty silt loam, 8-15% slopes, KmC
Wheeling soils, 3-8% slopes, WsB ¹	Laidig gravelly loam, 8-15% slopes, LaC
	Lakin loamy fine sand, 3-8% slopes, LkB
	Leck Kill shaly silt loam, 8-15% slopes, LnC
	Meckesville silt loam, 8-15% slopes, MkC
	Monongahela silt loam, 3-8% slopes, MoB
	Opequon silty clay loam, 3-8% slopes, OpB
	Rushtown very shaly silt loam, 3-8% slopes, RwB
	Unadilla silt loam, 3-8% slopes, UnB
	Unadilla silt loam, 8-15% slopes, UnC
	Watson silt loam, 8-15% slopes, WbC
	Weikert shaly silt loam, 3-8% slopes, WeB
	Wheeling soils, 8-15% slopes, WsC
	Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 0-3% slopes, WyA
	Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 3-8% slopes, WyB

¹ Some non-prime farmland areas are included in this mapping unit. However, it is the USDA, NRCS's best judgment that in Snyder County, over 50 percent of this unit have slopes of less than 5.4 percent and this soil qualifies for prime farmland.

Source: United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resource Conservation Service, 1981.

Chapter 4 - Land Use Analysis

INTRODUCTION

An important component of any comprehensive plan is an analysis of existing land use. This type of analysis takes a look at the county at a static point in time allowing for the examination of past and present development trends and giving light to the positive and negative factors which have shaped the county into its present form. This process will help the county avoid duplicating past land use problems while capitalizing on those factors which have proven to be successful. The existing land use survey should be consulted in conjunction with other background studies dealing with environmental features, population, economics, as well as the county's goals, objectives, and policies in formulating a future land use plan for Snyder County.

OVERVIEW

Snyder County is located in the Valley and Ridge physiographic province and predominantly occupies the valley area between Jacks and Shade Mountains. The county's topographic features have greatly influenced past and current land uses. For example, forested hillsides and fertile valleys provided adequate opportunities for profitable lumbering and agricultural activities. Today, Snyder County's land area is still primarily used for these activities. Despite the decreasing number of farms, land in agricultural uses has continued to play a predominant role in Snyder County, occupying approximately 50 percent of the total land area. Most woodland is situated on steep mountain slopes and is part of the large state forest holdings.

In response to transportation improvements throughout past decades, which has led to greater mobility, Snyder County has experienced significant increases in residential, commercial, and industrial land

uses. Greater mobility provides access to employment centers located in the county and throughout the central Pennsylvania region. Furthermore, transportation improvements have caused many commercial areas to extend into corridors of highway commercial strips and have produced areas of high density industrial development.

A study of Snyder County's land use was previously conducted in 1971. This study represented the county's first effort to establish a land use record.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section serves as a general guide designed to estimate the current uses of land in Snyder County. Information presented in this section is based upon data collected from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's 1986 Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics (MLRC) satellite imagery. This data was then subsequently updated using information and local input gathered from the Snyder County Planning Department.

The land use classifications presented in Table 4-1 were derived by categorizing the USGS Level II classifications contained in the MLRC database. The Land Use/Cover Map illustrates the spatial distribution of the county's existing land use.

Residential

Residential land uses range from high density (typically, >2 dwelling units/acre) multiple-unit structures of urban cores, to low density (typically, <2 du/acre) single family housing, which include areas of sparse residential land use, such as farmsteads. Furthermore, rural residential and recreational subdivisions are

**Table 4-1
Existing Land Use, 2000**

Land Use Category	Acres	% of Total
Agriculture	105,783.3	49.87%
Forest	101,435.2	47.82%
Residential	2,052.0	0.97%
Water Features	1,205.1	0.57%
Commercial	733.0	0.35%
Public/Semi-public Areas	547.0	0.26%
Industrial	191.6	0.09%
Transportation, Communication, and Utilities	119.3	0.06%
Open Space	46.9	0.02%
Total	212,113.5	100.00%

Sources: U.S. EPA 1986 MRLC Satellite Imagery

also included in this category since the majority of the land is committed to residential use, even though it may contain areas of forest cover. Small institutional units, such as Susquehanna University is also included in this category.

As shown in Table 4-1, residential land use is the third largest category comprising 2,052 acres, or approximately one percent of the county's total land area. The highest concentrations of residential uses are located in the county's various boroughs, towns, and villages, such as Selinsgrove, Shamokin Dam, Middleburg, Freeburg, Beavertown Beaver Springs, McClure, Kreamer, Troxelville, Benfer, Paxtonville, and Penns Creek. These and other residential areas are shown on the Land Use/Cover Map.

Agriculture

Comprising approximately 105,783 acres, agriculture is the county's largest land use classification covering almost half of the county's total land area. This category includes lands presently or recently used for various farming activities, such as cropland,

pasture, confined feeding operations, nurseries and horticultural areas. As shown on Land Use/Cover Map, agricultural areas are located throughout the limestone-based valleys. Many of the county's active agricultural communities are inhabited by the Plain Sect population, thus making these areas unique in both their cultural and architectural settings.

Forest

The county's forested areas represent the second largest category and comprise 101,435.2 acres, or approximately 48 percent of the total land area. According to the USGS, "forest lands have a tree-crown areal density (i.e., crown closure percentage) of 10 percent or more, are stocked with trees capable of producing timber or other wood products and exert an influence on the climate or water regime" (1976). Forest areas include land which is covered by deciduous and/or evergreen vegetation and timberland. The heaviest concentration of this land use is found along steeply sloped hillsides, particularly throughout Jacks and Shade Mountains. Approximately 46,282 acres or 46 percent of this category is owned by the

commonwealth, such as Bald Eagle State Forest and the various state game lands.

Commercial

Comprising only 733 acres, commercial areas are those used primarily for the sale of products and services. Components of this category include urban central business districts, shopping centers, and commercial strip developments along major highways. The main buildings, secondary structures, and areas supporting the specific components include office buildings, warehouses, parking lots, and landscaped areas.

Although various forms of commercial uses are found throughout the county, the heaviest concentrations are located in proximity to the high density residential areas. As illustrated on the Land Use/Cover Map, the areas of Selinsgrove and Shamokin Dam contain the majority of these uses and are supported by their higher population densities.

Industrial

This category includes a wide variety of land uses from light to heavy manufacturing to quarrying and mining operations. This category comprises approximately 192 acres of the county's total land area and is predominantly located in the central to eastern portion of the county along the U.S. Route 11/15 and 522 corridors and the Norfolk Southern Rail line. This category is highly dependent upon both truck and rail transportation services, both of which are highly developed in the county's eastern tier.

Transportation

Land uses included in this category include various transportation networks and support systems, as well as communication and utility rights of way. Many of these land uses are characterized by areas of activity

interconnected by linear patterns. The county's transportation network greatly influences other land uses. For example, many land use boundaries are essentially defined by transportation systems. Furthermore, the extent of a transportation system in an area defines the level of access, which when coupled with other public infrastructure services (e.g., water and sewer) impacts the present and future use of the land. This land use category comprises approximately 120 acres.

Public and Semi-public Areas

Land uses within this category typically sustain establishments or properties that provide educational, cultural, or social services for the community, and include uses such as municipal buildings, churches, schools, fire companies, cemeteries, parks and recreational facilities, and other similar civic uses. These uses are located near the county's higher population centers such as Shamokin Dam, Selinsgrove, and Middleburg. This category comprises only 547 acres of the county's total land area.

Open Space

This category defines those areas which are in transition from one land use activity to another. For example, a transitional area occurs when forest lands are cleared for agriculture or when any type of land use ceases and becomes temporarily bare prior to the development of such future uses as commercial and industrial centers, and residential subdivision. This category occupies very few areas in the county and comprises less than 50 acres.

Water

Water as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau includes all areas within the land mass of the United States that are persistently covered by water, provided that, if linear they are at least 1/8 of a mile (656 ft./200 m) wide and if extended, cover at least 40 acres (16 hectares). Specific uses included in this category include streams, lakes, and reservoirs. According to the MRLC data, this category covers approximately 1,205 acres, which is less than one percent of the total land area.

DEVELOPMENT TRENDS

A comparison of existing land use with the county's 1971 study proved difficult, primarily due to differences in land use classifications and quantifying techniques. However, data collected from the Snyder County Assessment Office, the U.S. Census Bureau, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) provides the opportunity to analyze the county's development trends.

The USDA reported that from 1982 to 1992, Snyder County experienced a three percent average annual percentage decrease in farm land acreage. However, the USDA reported that from 1992 to 1997, the amount of land in farms in Snyder County increased by six percent from 87,253 acres to 92,751 acres. This upward trend is attributed to a change in the inventorying methods implemented by the Department of Agriculture. Specifically, the USDA now includes other types of farming practices, such as horse husbandry and Christmas tree silvaculture.

Data collected from the Snyder County Planning Department also provides insight on the county's development trends. Table 4-2 shows the total number of lots created from subdivisions within each municipality during the period 1989 to 1999. As illustrated in Figure 4-1, the majority of the county's

subdivision activity has occurred within the northeastern tier municipalities of Penn and Monroe Townships. During this period, Penn Township experienced, by far, the greatest number of lots created from subdivisions with 975.5 lots while Monroe Township experienced the second greatest number of lots created with 394 lots. Combined, these two municipalities comprised 37.5 percent (1,369.5 lots) of the total lots created in Snyder County during this period.

Other municipalities within Snyder County, such as Perry, Center, and Middlecreek Townships also experienced significant levels of subdivision activity during the period 1989 to 1999. Combined, these municipalities had a total of 893 lots created, which constitutes 24.4 percent of the county's total.

Data collected from the Snyder County Assessment Office supplements the county's subdivision activity trends. Tables 4-3, 4-4, and 4-5, respectively show the number of improved residential, industrial, and commercial parcels for each municipality for the period 1990 to 1999. During this period, Monroe Township experienced the highest number of improved¹ residential parcels with 15,173, or 13.9 percent of the total. However, Selinsgrove Borough experienced the second highest number of improved residential parcels with 12,038, or 11.0 percent of the total. Overall, residential land development activity during this period was experienced by all municipalities, however, the level of activity increased from the western to eastern tier municipalities. Furthermore, the county's

¹ According to the Assessment Office, "improved" encompasses all phases of land development from new construction to building/facility enhancements.


Table 4-2
Subdivision Statistics, Number of Lots Created from Subdivisions
1989 - 1999

Municipality	Reporting Year											Total
	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	
Adams	7	2	4	6.5	6	6.5	13	13	8	3	1	70
Beaver	2	3	5	5	4	-	6	1	2	7	5.5	40.5
Beavertown	2	7	1	6	4	6	-	-	-	-	1	27
Center	27	22	24	23	51	14.5	21	25.5	67	18	27.5	320.5
Chapman	12	17	15	2	6	7	4	2	6	19	8	98
Franklin	15	16	12	5	14	33	13	10.5	30	14	52.5	215
Freeburg	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	4
Jackson	15	6	1	11.5	9	9	10	9	13	22	9	114.5
McClure	1	4	-	-	-	3	3	-	4	-	3	18
Middleburg	5	1	6	9	3	1	-	1	-	1	4.5	31.5
Middlecreek	14	26	18	27.5	8.5	7	24	56	18	10	36	245
Monroe	68	31	19	71	42	50	39	24	15	25	10	394
Penn	106	260	18	94	151.5	45	140	20	52	43	46	975.5
Perry	20	32	12	9	9.5	53	22	27	113.5	14	15.5	327.5
Selinsgrove	1	2	2	2	4	7	6	4	2	6	9	45
Shamokin Dam	1	5	-	3	-	-	5	-	48	4	2	68
Spring	16	12	8	19.5	9.5	15	18	20	18	20	12	168
Union	16	4	18	10	7	8	4	3	10.5	9.5	4	94
Washington	9	15	26	15	19.5	12	22	18	23	11.5	32.5	203.5
West Beaver	12	8	14	7	4.5	-	5	5	12	20	20	107.5
West Perry	9	7	13	10	7	21	7	7	3	2	5	91
Total	358	482	216	336	360	299	363	246	445	249	304	3,658

Source: Snyder County Planning Department, March 2000.

Figure 4-1
Snyder County Subdivision Activity,
Total Lots Created by Municipality, 1989 - 1999

Source: Snyder County Planning Department, March 2000



**Table 4-3
Number of Improved Residential Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999**

Tax District	Municipality	2/15/1990	2/13/1991	2/14/1992	2/19/1993	2/14/1994	3/1/1995	2/19/1996	2/21/1997	2/17/1998	3/10/1999
1	Adams Township	253	256	256	258	263	266	269	272	277	281
2	Beaver Township	155	157	159	162	163	164	164	164	164	166
3	Beavertown Borough	312	318	324	328	328	327	333	334	337	339
4	Center Township	490	504	512	523	538	540	550	562	572	579
5	Chapman Township	306	303	312	316	318	322	324	323	326	327
6	Franklin Township	713	712	720	727	676	693	710	721	721	727
7	Freeburg Borough	227	227	227	227	227	227	229	227	228	229
8	Jackson Township	365	370	371	376	373	375	373	376	377	381
9	McClure Borough	356	354	355	358	358	362	365	366	365	366
10	Middleburg Borough	441	439	440	444	444	442	444	444	443	444
11	Middlecreek	559	549	555	564	571	590	598	612	620	630
12	Monroe Township	1,442	1,452	1,482	1,507	1,518	1,525	1,543	1,559	1,564	1,581
13	Penn Township	781	812	830	855	894	916	940	968	999	1,021
14	Perry Township	447	460	468	473	472	481	493	495	505	512
15	Selinsgrove Borough	1,209	1,203	1,205	1,206	1,206	1,206	1,202	1,202	1,198	1,201
16	Shamokin Dam	610	605	605	607	608	607	607	608	609	610
17	Spring Township	486	474	478	486	486	482	488	488	490	495
18	Union Township	388	380	388	387	387	388	398	399	403	406
19	Washington	338	348	349	358	358	360	374	376	377	380
20	West Beaver	329	313	314	321	320	319	320	323	327	327
21	West Perry Township	323	326	327	331	331	328	334	344	345	347
County Totals		10,530	10,562	10,677	10,814	10,839	10,920	11,058	11,163	11,247	11,349

Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999

**Table 4-4
Number of Improved Industrial Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999**

Tax	Municipality	2/15/1990	2/13/1991	2/14/1992	2/19/1993	2/14/1994	3/1/1995	2/19/1996	2/21/1997	2/17/1998	3/10/1999
1	Adams Township	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Beaver Township	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Beavertown Borough	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2
4	Center Township	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
5	Chapman Township	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Franklin Township	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
7	Freeburg Borough	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
8	Jackson Township	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	McClure Borough	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
10	Middleburg Borough	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7
11	Middlecreek	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
12	Monroe Township	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	Penn Township	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	6
14	Perry Township	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
15	Selinsgrove Borough	17	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	15
16	Shamokin Dam	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
17	Spring Township	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
18	Union Township	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
19	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
20	West Beaver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	West Perry Township	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
County Totals		80	79	78	75	74	72	73	75	74	76

Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999

**Table 4-5
Number of Improved Commercial Parcels, Snyder County, 1990-1999**

Tax District	Municipality	2/15/1990	2/13/1991	2/14/1992	2/19/1993	2/14/1994	3/1/1995	2/19/1996	2/21/1997	2/17/1998	3/10/1999
1	Adams Township	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	8
2	Beaver Township	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	Beavertown	31	30	31	31	31	31	31	32	32	32
4	Center Township	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	18	18	18
5	Chapman Township	14	14	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	17
6	Franklin Township	27	27	27	27	27	26	26	26	28	29
7	Freeburg Borough	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	14
8	Jackson Township	19	15	15	15	15	14	14	14	14	14
9	McClure Borough	21	21	21	20	20	19	19	19	20	20
10	Middleburg	67	71	71	72	73	73	73	72	72	72
11	Middlecreek	25	26	25	24	24	24	25	27	27	28
12	Monroe Township	125	125	127	130	131	131	134	136	137	151
13	Penn Township	53	53	53	54	54	55	53	54	54	57
14	Perry Township	39	38	40	41	41	41	41	43	47	48
15	Selingsgrove	126	128	128	128	127	126	129	128	124	125
16	Shamokin Dam	61	62	62	60	60	60	63	63	63	66
17	Spring Township	37	40	41	39	38	38	41	40	40	39
18	Union Township	25	24	23	22	22	21	22	22	22	22
19	Washington	4	4	5	5	6	6	5	5	6	7
20	West Beaver	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
21	West Perry	13	13	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
County Totals		736	741	750	749	750	746	757	764	769	794

Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999

total residential development activity has steadily increased from 10,530 improved parcels in 1990 to 11,349 in 1999. This equals an eight percent increase overall or an average annual percentage increase of approximately one percent.

In terms of industrial development activity, Selinsgrove Borough experienced the greatest number of improved industrial parcels during the period 1990 to 1999. During this period, the borough had 156 improved industrial parcels which comprises 21.0 percent of the county's total activity. Other municipalities that experienced a significant level of industrial improvement activity were Franklin Township (70), Middleburg Borough (64), Freeburg Borough (60), Spring Township (60), and Union Township (60). In contrast to the residential development trends, the county's greatest level of industrial development activity—except for Selinsgrove Borough—was concentrated in the central and western portions of the county. From 1990 to 1995, the total number of improved industrial parcels decreased by 10 percent. However, since 1995 the number of improved parcels has increased by 5.6 percent.

During the period 1990 to 1999, Snyder County experienced 7,556 improved commercial parcels, with the greatest number of improvements occurring in Monroe Township (1,327) and Selinsgrove Borough (1,269). Combined, these municipalities comprised 34.3 percent of the county's total improved commercial parcels. Overall, the greatest level of commercial development activity occurred in the central and eastern tier municipalities. From 1990 to 1999, the level of improved commercial parcels has increased steadily from 736 to 794, which equals an overall increase of approximately eight percent or an average annual percentage increase of one percent.

Overall, these analyses indicate that the majority of Snyder County's development activity is occurring in the rural-based, eastern tier municipalities that are in proximity to existing public infrastructure (i.e, sewer and water) and major transportation corridors, such as U.S. Routes 11/15 and 522. Growth in general provides benefits to a community, such as an expanded tax base and increased employment opportunities and services. However, if unregulated or poorly regulated, suburban growth often produces negative impacts on a community and the environment.

In recent years, such suburban growth has been defined as sprawl, which is essentially the inefficient and unsustainable use of land. The rate at which land is developed in Pennsylvania far outpaces the growth of its population. There are various problems associated with sprawl, such as loss of a sense of place, consumption of farmland, increased costs to local governments, increased dependence on the automobile, public health impacts, and loss of wildlife habitat and wetlands.

The future growth and development of many of Snyder County's municipalities is largely dependent upon the existing market demands for rural land holdings. For example, Chapman Township officials are not anticipating a substantial increase in growth unless farmland is sold for development purposes. In Union Township, the majority (60 percent) of the existing large tract land holdings are owned by members of the Plain Sect population. Therefore, the stability and continued presence of this population is not only vital in preserving productive agricultural land, but also their unique way of life.

Infrastructure improvements will also impact future growth and development in Snyder County, particularly in the eastern portion of the county. For example, one of the biggest impacts on future growth in Monroe

Township and its adjoining municipalities is the Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CVST) project. The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PADOT) has recommended to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) the Design Alternative Modified (DAMA) (Section 1) and River Crossing #5 (RC5) (Section 2) be designated as the Preferred Alternatives in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). These Preferred Alternatives were recommended because they had the least impacts on the surrounding social, cultural, and environmental features. A few of these reasons are as follows:

- ▶ **DAMA:**
 - Lowest number of residential displacements (30).
 - Least impact to existing travel patterns.
 - Lowest total project cost.
 - No floodplain impacts.
 - Least impact on high probability archaeology areas.

- ▶ **RC5:**
 - Least impact to residences (25) and businesses (0).
 - Best avoids areas of high probability archaeology.
 - Does not require placing a river bridge pier on a geological formation prone to sink holes.
 - Best interchange design for the east side of the river.

LAND USE REGULATIONS

The Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC) provides the legal framework for local governments to enact, administer and enforce both zoning, and subdivision and land development regulations. Zoning is a method

a community may use to regulate the use of land and structures and is designed to protect public health, safety, and welfare, and to guide growth. In contrast, subdivision and land development regulations do not control which uses are established within the municipality nor where a use or activity can or cannot locate; rather, it controls how a use or activity relates to the land upon which it is located.

As shown in Table 4-6, five municipalities in Snyder County have enacted a zoning ordinance while 13 have adopted (or are in the process of adopting) a subdivision and land development ordinance. In addition, only four municipalities have adopted a comprehensive plan and only six have an active planning commission board. Although the majority of municipal land use regulation implementation and planning activity is occurring mostly in the county's more actively developing municipalities, other municipalities experiencing growth in population and development are lacking these important measures.

AGRICULTURAL AND OPEN SPACE LAND PRESERVATION

Agricultural Area Security Law

To combat the losses of agricultural land throughout the commonwealth, the Agricultural Conservation Easement Program was developed in 1988 under an amendment to the Agricultural Area Security Law, Act 43 of 1981. This amendment—Act 149—allows local governments to purchase easements, (i.e., development rights) from owners of prime farmland. Prior to being purchased, the selected parcels must be included within an Agricultural Security Area (ASA). The ASA program was first created under Act No. 43 and it allows farmers, who collectively own 500 or more acres of viable farmland, to protect their land from nonagricultural uses

**Table 4-6
Inventory of Land Use Regulations and Planning Activity
for Snyder County and Municipalities**

Municipality	Comprehensive Plan	Zoning Ordinance	Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance	Planning Commission
Snyder County	X	--	X	X
Adams Township	--	--	--	--
Beaver Township	--	--	--	--
Beavertown Borough	--	--	X	X
Center Township	--	--	--	--
Chapman Township	--	--	X*	--
Franklin Township	--	--	X	--
Freeburg Borough	--	--	X	--
Jackson Township	--	--	--	--
McClure Borough	--	--	X	--
Middleburg Borough	--	X	X*	--
Middlecreek Township	--	--	X	X
Monroe Township	X (1)	X	X	X
Penn Township	X	X	X (2)	X
Perry Township	--	--	--	--
Selinsgrove Borough	X	X	X	X
Shamokin Dam Borough	X	X	X	X
Spring Township	--	--	X	X
Union Township	--	--	X*	--
Washington Township	--	--	--	--
West Beaver Township	--	--	--	--
West Perry Township	--	--	X*	--

* Regulated by the Snyder County Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

Note: Municipalities which have no subdivision and land development ordinance are subject to the provisions contained within Snyder County's Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (See PA Municipalities Planning Code, as amended, Section 502).

- (1) Current comprehensive plan will be updated once the Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CVST) preferred alignment is announced.
- (2) Currently updating their Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance.

Source: Snyder County Planning Department, 1999

and obtain special considerations under local ordinances and state regulations. Unlike the conservation easement program, parcels included in an ASA are reevaluated every seven years and new parcels may be incorporated at any time.

During the late 1980's, the Snyder County Commissioners appointed the Snyder County Agricultural Land Preservation Board in accordance with Act 149. This seven member board is responsible for preserving the county's productive farmland, and providing leadership and support to agricultural land preservation efforts.

The state agricultural easement program is currently funded by a two-cent tax per pack of cigarettes sold in the state, which annually generates approximately \$20 million. County matching funds are also used to supplement state and possible local funds available for the county's preservation program. However, both the state and county contributions are inadequate, which hinders the boards purchase of development rights. This issue is reflected in Table 4-7 where since 1992, only 783 acres have been purchased.

Growing Greener

On December 15, 1999, Governor Tom Ridge signed the "Growing Greener" program into law. This program will invest nearly \$650 million for farmland preservation and open space protection initiatives, as well as eliminate the maintenance backlog in state parks, cleaning up abandoned mines and restoring watersheds, providing funds for recreational trails, helping communities address land use, and providing communities with the opportunity to implement new and upgraded water and sewer systems.

Growing Greener will spend \$645.9 million over five years, \$105.9 million the first year, and \$135 million a year for the next four. It

will come from about \$473.4 million in new money from the General Fund and \$172.5 million in funds redirected from the Recycling and Hazardous Sites Cleanup funds, and the Landfill Closure Accounts.

Through "Growing Greener," a total of \$100 million will be made available for farmland preservation, starting with the first \$20 million being made available on February 17, 2000.

Local Protection Measures

Snyder County enjoys a rich history of agriculture and citizens cherish the county's scenic and beautiful countryside. In accordance with the MPC, those municipalities in Snyder County that have enacted zoning ordinances have provided for agricultural use districts. However, these regulations lack the ability to "effectively" preserve agricultural land. The goal of effective agricultural zoning is to prevent fragmentation of agricultural land and to ensure the long term viability of farming in an area.

Effective agricultural zoning designates those areas where farming is the desired land use, generally on the basis of soil quality, as well as on a variety of social, cultural, and economic factors. Zoning regulations designed to effectively preserve agricultural lands vary in the types of uses permitted in agricultural zones. The most restrictive regulations prohibit any uses that might be incompatible with commercial farming and the density of residential development is limited. Typically, maximum densities range from one dwelling per 20 acres in the eastern United States to one residence per 640 acres in the West.

Table 4-7
Snyder County Agricultural Land Easement Purchases,
1992-98

Year	Acres Purchased	Price	Township(s)	Price Per Acre
1992	100.5	\$ 90,450.00	Middlecreek	\$ 900.00
1993	55.055	\$ 34,412.50	West Beaver	\$ 625.00
1996	171.541	\$ 111,501.65	Middlecreek	\$ 650.00
1997	168.4	\$ 158,650.00	Monroe	\$ 942.00
1997	81.5	\$ 46,449.30	Adams & Spring	\$ 570.00
1998	133.699	\$ 106,959.20	Jackson	\$ 800.00
1998	72.364	\$ 65,127.60	Jackson	\$ 900.00
Total	783.059	\$ 613,550.25		

Source: Snyder County Agricultural Land Preservation Board, 1999

Chapter 5 - Transportation Analysis

INTRODUCTION

The transportation network of a community is the backbone for its development and prosperity. It serves to help attract business development opportunities and new citizens and is the overall foundation for community growth. The advancement and success of a community is often influenced by its transportation network, and if poorly planned or maintained, it can constrain development and overshadow a community's amenities.

EXISTING ROADWAY NETWORK

Functional Classification

Streets and roadways are classified according to their function. The functional classification of a roadway depends upon the particular role the roadway section has in providing mobility or access. Roadway functional classifications are established in the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PADOT) Highway Design Manual. The classification system is divided into two parts--Urban Area Systems and Rural Area Systems. Each of these systems is further divided into the following roadway classes:

Freeways: These are fully controlled access highways, with no at-grade intersections or driveway connections. Freeways are arterials that do not have standard intersections requiring traffic control devices such as stop signs and traffic signals.

Arterials: This system carries long-distance major traffic flows between major activity centers such as towns and large shopping/employment centers. Arterials allow travel between regions and therefore, form the backbone of a roadway network. This class of road is designed to carry large volumes of traffic as efficiently as possible.

Collectors: This system links local streets with the arterial street system. Collectors do what their name implies; they collect traffic from local roads and streets.

Local Roads: This system serves shorter local trips. Local roads primarily function to provide access to abutting land uses. These roads generally have low speed limits and low traffic volumes.

Snyder County's roadway functional classification system is shown on Road Classification and Deficiency Areas Map. According to the PADOT's County Mileage by Functional Classification database, Snyder County has 852.69 total roadway miles. Of this total, 632.49 miles, or 74.2 percent, are classified as local roadways. The second largest classification, collector roads, comprises 130.83 miles or 15.3 percent of the total. Arterials roads comprise 86.91 miles and freeway systems total only 2.46 miles. Based upon this analysis, Snyder County can be considered a Rural Area System.

Traffic Volumes

Roadway traffic volumes are defined by a general unit of measure called annual average daily traffic (AADT). AADT volume is the total annual traffic volume divided by the number of days in the year. AADT volumes are useful for planning because they are an average for the year and are not affected by daily changes, and can be used to make comparisons between roadway segments.

The AADT for Snyder County's roadway system are illustrated on Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes Map. As shown, the highest traffic volumes occur along U.S. Route 11/15 between Selinsgrove and Shamokin Dam. Other high traffic volume areas are concentrated along various segments of U.S. Routes 11 and 15, and the portion of

U.S. Route 522 east of Kreamer. Roadway segment areas that experience substantial traffic volumes are U.S. Route 522 west of Kreamer and State Route 104 north of Mount Pleasant Mills.

Problem Areas

A field survey of Snyder County's transportation network was performed in conjunction with the PADOT Engineering District 3-5. The purpose of this survey was to assess roadway conditions and assess significant problems areas. The Road Classifications Map identifies the areas having deficiencies. In addition, the following summarizes the information collected during the field survey.

- ▶ U.S. Routes 11/15 and 522 are the county's major transportation corridors.
- ▶ Cable guide rail is prevalent along most roadways in Snyder County.
- ▶ The county's transportation network is significantly impacted by local terrain, resulting in numerous steep grades on roadways.
- ▶ Many local road alignments are defined by sharp curves; 90 degrees or greater. However, none appeared to have accident problems, which may result from their low traffic volumes.
- ▶ Improvements to U.S. Routes 11/15 have been essential in reducing travel times to points south and north.
- ▶ Drainage problem areas have occurred along State Route 1023 just east of U.S. Route 11/15.
- ▶ Flooding along major roadways has not been a major problem. Improvements made to U.S. Route 11/15 have alleviated flooding problems that used to occur from Port Trevorton south to the Snyder/Juniata County line.

- ▶ Many of the county's minor state roads have very narrow to no shoulders.

Crash Analysis

The *1998 Pennsylvania Crash Facts and Statistics* booklet is a report published by the PADOT, Bureau of Highway Safety and Traffic Engineering. This publication is a statistical review of reportable motor vehicle crashes in the commonwealth for the calendar year 1998. The figures are compiled from the traffic crash reports that are submitted to the PADOT by state, county, municipal, and other law enforcement agencies, as specified in the Pennsylvania Vehicle Code (75 Pa. C.S., Chapter 37, Subchapter C). When accident patterns exist at a particular location, improvements can sometimes be implemented to minimize their occurrence based on an assessment of the probable cause.

Crash statistics collected from PADOT's publication entitled *Pennsylvania Crash Facts and Statistics*, reveal that in 1998, a total of 421 crashes occurred in Snyder County. This total represents only 0.3 percent of Pennsylvania's total recorded crashes of 140,972. Of Snyder County's total crashes, six were classified as fatal, 242 were injury, and 173 were property damage only (PDO). Table 5-1 compares Snyder County's crash statistics with those of Pennsylvania and surrounding counties. As shown, Snyder County recorded the second highest fatal crashes, which are well below the number recorded in Northumberland. Overall, Snyder County's crash statistics are more similar to those recorded for Mifflin County. This correlates to their relative similarities in population levels, traffic volumes, and highway miles.

Figure 5-1 illustrates Snyder County's five-year crash trends. Overall, crash rates for the


Table 5-1
Reported Crash Statistics for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties and Pennsylvania, 1998

Location	Population	Fatal Crashes	Injury Crashes	PDO Crashes ¹	Total Crashes
Pennsylvania	12,001,451	1,358	88,291	51,323	140,972
Northumberland	94,017	20	499	276	795
Snyder	38,226	6	242	173	421
Mifflin	46,961	3	254	177	434
Union	40,897	3	199	158	360
Juniata	22,101	2	163	81	246

¹ Property Damage Only: A reportable crash where no one was killed or injured, but damage to the vehicle required towing.
 Source: Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, 1999.

Figure 5-1
Snyder County Five-Year Crash Trends, 1994-1998

Source: PADOT 1998 Pennsylvania Crash Facts and Statistics



1994-1998 period experienced an overall increase. Similar to other counties in Pennsylvania, Snyder County continues to experience a steady increase in the number of crashes, which is attributed to increased traffic volumes. This is particularly true along U.S. Routes 11 and 15, and 522.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Snyder County Planning Department, under the advisement of the County Board of Commissioners, participates in the SEDA-COG Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) transportation project prioritization program. This program identifies potential transportation projects for inclusion in PADOT's 12-Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TIP is a requirement of the planning process as described in the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21), P.L. 105-178, Title I, Subtitle B, Section 1204. The Federal Department of Transportation defines the TIP as "a staged, multiyear, intermodal program of transportation projects, which is consistent with the metropolitan transportation plan." Table 5-2 shows projects which are listed on PADOT's 2000 TIP for Snyder County. The Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CSVT) project¹ represents Snyder County's single major transportation

¹ For current information regarding the CSVT project, click on <http://www.csvt.com/index.html>.

**TABLE 5-2
NON-BINDING 2001 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA**

Project	Route	Title/Sponsor	Improvement	Period	Costs (\$000)						
					PE	FD	UTL	ROW	CON	PRA	Total
MODE: HIGHWAY											
58689		Selinsgrove Streetscape	Transportation Enhancement	1					30		30
50528	11	SR 11 SB Overlay	Highway Restoration	1					2,316		2,316
7588	15	Central Susq. Valley Study	New Alignment	1		21,504		23,638	50		45,192
				2				125,000		125,000	
				3				125,000		125,000	
50224	522	Intersection Improvement at SR 3008	Safety Improvement	1					690		690
47300	522	Selinsgrove 522&204	Highway Restoration	1				130	600		730
MODE: BRIDGE											
58484		Aline Covered Bridge	Bridge Restoration	1	70						70
61788	T-600	T-600 Covered Bridge	Bridge Restoration	1	70						70
6878	522	PA 522/Middle Creek Br.	Bridge Replacement	1				44	841		885
6881	1003	SR 1003/Penns Creek	Bridge Replacement	1					591		591
6889	3005	SR 3005/Mahantango Cr.	Bridge Replacement	1					350		350
6860	9900	T-481 Tuscarora Creek	Bridge Replacement	1					320		320
MODE: AIRPORT											

Note: The 2001 TIP did not provide airport improvements. All current improvements should be obtained from the PennDOT Bureau of Aviation.

MODE: RAIL

No Rail project improvements provided by the 2001 TIP.

- Notes:
- FD = The cost of the Final Design of the project development in thousands of dollars
 - UTL = The cost of the utility changes (electric, telecommunications, mechanical) in thousands of dollars
 - ROW = The cost of the right-of-way phase of the project in thousands of dollars.
 - CON = The cost of the construction phase of the project in thousands of dollars.
 - PRA = The costs of planning and research or administrative projects in thousands of dollars.
 - TOTAL = The total project cost in thousands of dollars.

Adopted by the State Transportation Commission: August 10, 2000.

Source: Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, "Transportation Improvement Program, 2001."

improvement project. The goal of this project is to address the current and future transportation needs of the Central Susquehanna Valley in a corridor that is roughly five miles wide and twelve miles long, stretching from the end of the Selinsgrove Bypass north to PA Route 147. Improvements will address congestion and safety problems on existing Routes 11/15 and 147. The Future Land Use Map delineates the preferred alignment of U.S. Route 11/15.

The upgrade of PA Route 147 from a two-lane to a four-lane limited access facility is being advanced as a separate project. The construction of the additional lanes on this section of roadway should begin in 2000 and be completed in 2001. Currently, the PADOT is preparing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for this project.

OTHER MODES OF TRANSPORTATION

Rail Freight Transportation

Rail transportation played a critical role in the development of Snyder County by connecting logging camps, mills, and industries with their respective markets. In addition, rail passenger transportation also proved to be a significant mode of travel for local residents.

Today, rail systems still play a significant role in the county's transportation system, but are limited to freight service, which is provided by Norfolk Southern. Norfolk Southern's Bridge Route Line provides service to business and industry in Creamer, Selinsgrove, and Shamokin Dam. The Bridge Route Line serves as a principle connection between Harrisburg and Sunbury, and points north in upstate New York, Canada, and New England. Norfolk Southern plans to invest more than \$11 million in the Sunbury line to enable it to handle domestic doublestacks and

heavy freight. Grain, lumber and coal traffic will be the principal commodities handled.

Rail Passenger Transportation

No commuter service or inner-city passenger service is available within the county. However, the closest Amtrak facilities are available at stops in Lewistown and Harrisburg.

Air Transportation

The Penn Valley Airport, which is located near Selinsgrove, is Snyder County's main aviation facility. The airport is operated by the Penn Valley Airport Authority and is classified as a general aviation facility by the PADOT Bureau of Aviation. The airport is situated approximately 30 miles south of Williamsport and is accessible by U.S. Route 11/15 and U.S. 522.

The Penn Valley Airport has approximately 40 based aircraft and experiences over 26,000 annual operations. The airport's sole runway—Runway 17/35—is 3,800 feet by 75 feet with a gross weight rating of 12,500 pounds. The runway is outfitted with medium intensity runway lighting and is equipped with a nonprecision circling approach. Major services include major/minor repair, hanger rental, air taxi, charter, instructional services, and rental services. Accommodations include an administration building, restrooms, a restaurant, taxi service, car rental, and public phone; it is also in close proximity to nearby hotels.

The Penn Valley Airport serves as an integral component in both the county and region's economic system. For example, many local businesses rely on the airport to transport their employees, products, and supplies in a timely and efficient manner. The airport is also used by various law enforcement agencies, such as prisoner transport. Other constituents,

services, and uses provided through the airport include, but are not limited to, the following:

- ✓ Civil Air Patrol
- ✓ Local TV and radio organizations
- ✓ Funeral directors
- ✓ Aerial photography/surveying
- ✓ Environmental patrol
- ✓ Aerial advertising
- ✓ Real estate tours
- ✓ Community events

The importance of the Penn Valley Airport is recognized by the local communities. For example, through local efforts, the airport will soon experience expansion upgrades worth approximately one million dollars. Furthermore, the Penn Valley Airport Authority is currently in the process of updating their master plan, which was scheduled for completion in November 1999.

According to the Bureau of Aviation in their 1994 study entitled, "The Economic Impact of Aviation in Pennsylvania", the total economic impact of the Penn Valley Airport, which includes both on-airport tenants and general aviation visitors, was approximately \$2 million in 1994. The airport's economic impact in terms of employment is approximately 39 persons, with a total annual payroll of roughly \$563,300.

In addition to the Penn Valley Airport facility, there are several privately owned airfields in Snyder County.

Bus Transportation

Daily passenger bus services are provided by Greyhound, Susquehanna Trailways, and Rohrer Bus Service through stops at Shamokin Dam and Selinsgrove.

Public Transit

The Union/Snyder Transportation Alliance (USTA) is responsible for providing public transit services to the citizens of both Snyder and Union Counties. Established in 1979, USTA is designated by the Union and Snyder County Commissioners as the area's shared-ride transportation provider. Based in Lewisburg, USTA operates within 63 air miles from Penns Creek, which is the geographic center for Snyder and Union Counties.

USTA provides coordinated, door-to-door transportation to many local agencies including the Union/Snyder Area Agency on Aging, Union/Snyder Foster Grandparent Program, Columbia/Montour/Snyder/Union Mental Health/Mental Retardation Agency, Suncom Industries, Office of Vocational Rehabilitation, Mentor, Penn Lutheran Village, and Riverwoods Nursing Homes. In addition to providing service in Union and Snyder Counties, USTA also provides services to medical facilities out of the county, such as Geisinger Medical Center in Danville (Montour County).

The Lottery and Medical Assistance Transportation Program funds the program for both Snyder and Union Counties. These programs serve to assist residents with fare fees. For example, the Shared-Ride Program Lottery funds allow citizens ages 65 and over to utilize USTA's services at an 85 percent discount. In addition, citizens with an Access card may ride USTA at no charge for medical appointment related trips.

As shown in Table 5-3, USTA's ridership levels for all categories during fiscal years 1990/91 through 1998/99 have varied for several reasons. For example, in 1990/91, the 65 and over trips were relatively high in comparison to levels reported for the remaining surveyed period. This reflects a

**Table 5-3
USTA Ridership Trends,
FY 1990/91 - 1999/00**

Year	Total Trips	65 and Over Trips	General Public Trips
1990/91	100,353	71,074	1,400
1991/92	98,604	63,446	1,793
1992/93	99,424	63,372	1,577
1993/94	98,211	58,723	1,797
1994/95	106,050	62,668	2,323
1995/96*	103,351	57,912	1,649
1996/97	106,714	57,990	1,735
1997/98	103,338	55,741	2,387
1998/99*	101,322	56,771	1,602
1999/00 (projected)	106,210	61,000	1,600

* Implemented fare increases.
Source: Union/Snyder Transportation Alliance, 1999.

statewide trend in decreasing senior trips to senior centers. In addition, the inclement weather conditions experienced during the 1993/94 and 1995/96 periods also contributed to the decline in ridership levels. However, as ridership levels to senior centers dropped, demand for medical related trips increased.

Overall, ridership levels for the 1999/2000 year are overall projected to be above the levels for 1998/99. This projected increase is attributed to increased trips to the newly opened facility in Penns Creek, Snyder County, that provides adult respite care. Currently, 1999/2000 ridership is averaging 430 trips per day.

Other factors impacting USTA's ridership levels involve implementing fare increases. According to USTA, "When implementing fare increases, we are advised to expect up to a four percent drop in ridership." This phenomenon was experienced during the 1995/96 and 1998/99 reporting years when USTA experienced a respective 2.5 percent and 2.0 percent decrease from previous year levels.

Currently, USTA maintains a fleet of 27 vehicles. With the exception of three vehicles (two station wagons and one four-wheel drive vehicle), USTA's fleet is wheelchair accessible.

In June 1999, USTA implemented a Y2K (Year 2000) compliant computer system. This new system called "Rides Unlimited" is a Microsoft Windows computer software package that allows staff to schedule riders by service zones. In addition to the office component, this system allows USTA to provide scheduling services within the transit vehicles. USTA was selected by the PADOT to be included in a four county system user group of the new software. The project is being funded through a PADOT Research and Development grant to develop a software system that will be affordable and practical for small to medium-sized rural transportation systems.

Non-motorized Transportation

Many of the elements that make the human scale of movement possible, such as

pedestrian and bike pathways, are becoming a popular and useful means of transportation and recreation. These links can provide non-motorized movement between residential areas, employment centers, commercial areas, recreation areas, and transit services. As a result, they reduce congestion and air pollution, conserve energy and provide a sense of community. Furthermore, these corridors or potential links can cross a variety of development settings, including urban, suburban and rural settings.

Various types of recreational trail programs exist throughout the commonwealth including the Rails-to-Trails program. This program was implemented by the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (PADCNR) in response to the Pennsylvania Rails-to-Trails Act, (P.L. 748, No. 188 of 1990). This act was established to facilitate the conversion of abandoned railroads into public recreational trails. According to PA Rails-to-Trails database, no formally developed rail-trails exist in Snyder County. However, there exists five abandoned rail line segments in the county stretching from McClure Borough to Kreamer and from the Steam Electric Station at Shamokin Dam to the Snyder-Union County line. In total, these abandoned segments constitute over 15 miles of potential rail-trail projects.

Other trail systems, such as the numerous hiking, biking, and cross-country skiing trail located within Bald Eagle State Forest and the State Game Lands, provide area residents with adequate opportunities for outdoor recreation.

The Susquehanna River provides many recreational opportunities for area citizens. Further south of Snyder County, a portion of the river has been designated as the Susquehanna River Trail, which is Pennsylvania's first formal water trail. This water trail extends from Sunbury to

Harrisburg. Water trails emphasize low-impact use and promote stewardship of the resource. The mission of the Susquehanna River Trail project is to promote environmentally responsible recreation on the Susquehanna River and its islands. The trail will encourage resource awareness, stewardship and conservation. Spearheaded by the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, the Susquehanna River Trail will be the first modern water trail in the Chesapeake Bay watershed and one of a growing number of water trail projects in North America.

Chapter 6 - County Facilities and Finances Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Implementation of the Comprehensive Plan is the responsibility of the administrative body of Snyder County. The purpose of this section is to review the responsibilities delegated to Snyder County under the Pennsylvania County Code. The county's financial structure, which is an important element for the implementation of a Capital Improvement Program (CIP), will also be summarized. Finally, a review of the county's existing facilities will be identified and inventoried. The information reviewed in this section will establish baseline data to facilitate both plan design and implementation.

FORM OF GOVERNMENT

Based on its 1990 population of 36,680, Snyder County is classified as a Seventh Class County. Section 210, Act of August 9, 1955 (P.L. 323), as amended, known as "The County Code," divides counties into nine classes based on decennial population counts. Seventh Class Counties are those having a population of 20,000 and more, but less than 45,000 inhabitants. It also includes those counties have a population of 35,000 and more, but less than 45,000 inhabitants, which have not elected to be a county of the sixth class.

There are 12 elected office positions in Snyder County government, which include positions in the executive, legislative, and judicial branches. Of these three branches, the executive and legislative are pertinent to the planning process. Figure 6-1 illustrates the organizational structure of Snyder County government. The following section introduces the various county elected and appointed positions directly responsible for implementing this comprehensive plan.


Board of Commissioners

The Snyder County Board of Commissioners serve as the County's chief elected officials. The board is comprised of three members, each elected to a four-year term. Their responsibilities are numerous and include the preparation of an annual budget, establishment of millage rates, appointment of planning commission members, and hiring of county employees. They also oversee the construction and maintenance of county facilities, and administration of welfare, elections, and county health services. The administration of the county can be delegated to committees, but the responsibility for finances, decisions, and legal action is the ultimate and sole responsibility of the commissioners. The exception to this is subdivision regulations, which according to the County Code, is the sole responsibility of the nine member planning commission. The board of commissioners meets regularly each week in the Snyder County Courthouse to conduct official business.

Chief Clerk

The highest appointed office in Snyder County government is the position of chief clerk. The chief clerk is responsible for the daily operation of county business. Responsibilities may include the keeping of accurate records of commissioners' meetings and accounts, acting as a liaison between the commissioners and various county departments and other governments, preparing budgets, supervising county election procedures, and implementing commissioners' decisions. Furthermore, the chief clerk's office plays a vital coordinative role in the implementation of the comprehensive plan.

**SNYDER COUNTY GOVERNMENT
ORGANIZATIONAL CHART
FIGURE 6-1**



* Snyder County Board of Commissioners appoints one (1) voting member

January 2, 2001
Source: Snyder County Planning Dept.

Chapter 6 - County Facilities and Finances Analysis

Planning Functions

Planning activities for the county are provided by the Snyder County Planning Commission and the SEDA Council of Governments. Their primary function is to advise the commissioners on planning policies and encourage coordinated land use and transportation planning through recommendations to the municipalities. These recommendations are based on the county's goals and objectives established by the comprehensive plan.

Snyder County Planning Commission

The Snyder County Planning Commission was established on August 16, 1965. The commission's authorities and responsibilities are set forth in the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC), as amended, and reenacted by Act 170 of 1988. The commission consists of nine members who are appointed by the board of commissioners to a four year term. All members must meet county resident requirements.

The Snyder County Planning Commission created and has maintained its own planning department since 1965. The planning department is currently staffed by a full-time planner and an administrative position. Staff responsibilities include reviewing subdivision and land development plat applications, and newly proposed local zoning and subdivision ordinances, and amendments thereto, for advisory action by the planning commission.

SEDA-Council of Governments (SEDA-COG)

SEDA-COG is a regional multi-county development agency which, under the guidance of a public policy board, provides leadership, expertise and services to communities, businesses, institutions, and

residents. Counties included in the SEDA-COG Local Development District (LDD) include Centre, Clinton, Columbia, Juniata, Lycoming, Mifflin, Montour, Northumberland, Perry, Snyder, and Union. SEDA-COG's goal is to enhance growth opportunities in an environmentally sensitive manner while retaining the region's predominantly rural character. The organization is both a direct service provider and a link to other resources that can be applied to a wide range of community and economic needs, including the implementation of the comprehensive plan.

COUNTY FACILITIES

An inventory of Snyder County's facilities regarding type of facility, type of construction, year built and improved, and general overall condition is presented in Table 6-1. The county currently has no plans to construct any new facilities. However, this comprehensive planning process has commenced an initial effort to perform a preliminary evaluation of its existing courthouse facility space and technology needs. Many of the county's office facilities are inadequate in terms of space and technology.

COUNTY FINANCES

The success of any county planning program is to a great extent dependent upon the financial resources allocated by the county. Article XVII of the County Code authorizes counties to finance their operations. The commissioners must prepare and adopt a fiscal year [i.e., an accounting period of 365 days (366 in leap years), starting on January 1] budget. This budget anticipates revenues from all sources and expenditures for the coming year. The budget is one of the most important documents a county government prepares because it identifies the services to be

Chapter 6 - County Facilities and Finances Analysis

**Table 6-1
Snyder County Facilities, 1999**

Facility	Location	Status		Type of Construction	Year Built	Year Improved
		Owned	Leased			
Courthouse	9-11 West Market St. Middleburg, PA	X	-	Masonry and wood frame	1855	1976-1978
Old Snyder County Jail	Main Street Middleburg, PA	X	-	Masonry (stone and brick)	1886	1979-1980
District Magistrate Office	U.S. Route 522 Penn Township	X	-	Wood frame with masonry façade	1985	-
Selinsgrove Senior Citizens Center	8 N. Water Street Selinsgrove, PA	X	-	Masonry	Unknown	-
Penns Creek Senior Citizen	100 Richard Road, Penns Creek	X	-	Wood frame with masonry (brick)	1998	-
Snyder County Prison	600 Colony Road Penn Township	X	-	Masonry (brick)	1940	1992
Courthouse Annex and Storage	27-29 W. Market St., Middleburg,	X	-	Wood frame	1800s	1990
Block Communications	Shade Mountain	X	-	Masonry (cement block)	1978	-
Civil War Monument	East Market St., Middleburg	X	-	Masonry (cement)	1902	-
Faylor Lake	Spring Township, PA Route 636	X	-	N/A	1985	-
Senior Citizens Center*	Middleburg, PA	X	-	Masonry	Unknown	-
JTPA and OHR Offices	315 N. Walter St. Selinsgrove, PA	-	X	Masonry and wood frame	Unknown	-
Beaver Springs Senior Citizen Center	U.S. Route 522, Spring Township	-	X	Masonry and wood frame	Unknown	-
County Bridge No. 6	Village of Middlecreek,	X	-	Prestressed concrete spread	1989	-
County Bridge No. 3	Beaver Township, T-600	X	-	Prestressed concrete spread	1979	-
Aline Covered Bridge	Perry Township, formerly carried T-	X	-	Wood	Unknown	-
Dreese's Covered Bridge	Beaver Township, formerly carried T-	X	-	Wood	Unknown	-

* To be sold

Source: Snyder County Planning Department, 1999.

Chapter 6 - County Facilities and Finances Analysis

provided and how they are to be financed (Culotta, 1999). The purpose of this section is to examine the county's revenues and expenditures.

County Budget

Snyder County's budget rose from \$14.4 million in 1997 to \$15.8 million in 1998. Both years the county's tax rate was 12.0 mills. As a result, a person who owned a house assessed for \$100,000 paid \$1,200 in taxes [assessed value x millage rate = tax].

County Revenues

Snyder County's estimated general fund revenues for fiscal year 1999 are approximately \$8.1 million. Revenues are derived from the various sources shown in Table 6-2. As shown, the majority of the county's revenues are derived from taxes, and departmental fees and commissions. Federal and state grant programs also contribute a significant amount of revenue.

From 1997 to 1999, Snyder County's general fund revenues increased by over 5 percent from \$7.7 million to \$8.1 million, respectively. As shown in Table 6-2, the county's major sources of revenue remained consistent in terms of the percentage of total general fund revenues. Furthermore, all categories of revenues increased, except for departmental reimbursements and per capita taxes. The most dramatic change occurred in the beginning balance transfer.

Tax Revenues


The levy of local taxes applied by Snyder County must be accomplished within the limits set forth by the Pennsylvania Constitution, Pennsylvania Legislature, and the County Code. According to the Pennsylvania County Commissioners

Association's 1999 Taxation Survey, Snyder County's tax rate is 12.0 mills on each dollar of assessed valuation. Of this total, 10.615 mills was the tax rate for general county purposes, 0.175 mills for special library purposes, and 1.210 mills for county debt purposes. Snyder County's last full reassessment was conducted in 1973.

In comparison with surrounding counties, and the average for Pennsylvania, Snyder County's millage rate was the second lowest (Figure 6-2). This adds to the county's various amenities as an attractive place to live or start a business in the central Pennsylvania region.

Figure 6-2
1999 Taxation Survey for Snyder County, Surrounding Counties, and Pennsylvania

Source: Pennsylvania County Commissioners' Association, February 1999



County Expenditures

Snyder County's expenditures are funded by either the general fund (i.e., money coming from conventional revenue sources) or special funds (i.e., money coming from other sources plus a county contribution). The county's

Table 6-2
Snyder County General Fund Revenues, FY1997 and 1999

Receipts	1999 General Fund Receipts	Percent of Total	1997 General Fund Receipts	Percent of Total	Percent Change 1997-1999
Real Estate Taxes	\$ 3,105,000	38.4%	\$ 2,983,000	38.9%	4%
Departmental Reimbursements	\$ 2,730,900	33.7%	\$ 2,782,700	36.2%	-2%
Federal & State Grants	\$ 1,630,005	20.1%	\$ 1,479,646	19.3%	10%
Miscellaneous Receipts	\$ 207,900	2.6%	\$ 203,765	2.7%	2%
Beginning Balance	\$ 200,000	2.5%	\$ 8,650	0.1%	2212%
Per Capita Taxes	\$ 118,000	1.5%	\$ 121,100	1.6%	-3%
Payment in Lieu of Taxes	\$ 52,789	0.7%	\$ 52,203	0.7%	1%
Library Tax	\$ 45,000	0.6%	\$ 42,000	0.5%	7%
Licenses and Permits (Bingo)	\$ 4,500	0.1%	\$ 4,200	0.1%	7%
Total	\$ 8,094,094	100.0%	\$ 7,677,264	100%	5%

Source: Snyder County Commissioners' Office, 1999.

general fund expenditures are classified under various categories to simplify the budgeting and accounting process. For the Snyder County 1999 Fiscal Year budget, the allocated expenditures are divided into 10 categories as shown in Table 6-3.

Assessed Valuation

Table 6-4 shows increases in real estate assessments for the period 1995 through 1999. However, the increase between 1996 and 1997 was significantly higher than all years surveyed, which is attributed to a ratio change (i.e., ratio of assessed value to market value) from 25 percent to 75 percent between 1995 and 1996. To accommodate for this change, the commissioners lowered the county's millage rate to 12.0 mills.

Liquid Fuels Tax

Chapter 449 of the Pennsylvania Code establishes a permanent allocation of a portion of the liquid fuels and oil company franchise tax proceeds to cities, boroughs, incorporated towns and townships for their maintenance and new construction of locally owned roads,

streets and bridges. The allocation amount is based upon a specific formula that accounts for the total number of local highway miles in each municipality.

A problem faced by many rural municipalities is the fact that transportation maintenance and construction expenditures consistently exceed liquid fuel tax revenues. For example, Snyder County's 1998 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund received a \$66,200 state grant, but had a total expenditure of \$138,475. In 1999, the state grant increased to \$71,000, but expenditures still exceeded revenues at \$109,325. As a result of this statewide trend, the County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania recently adopted a resolution that supports a revision of the county liquid fuels tax distribution formula (CCAP, 1999). Snyder County has 505.27 local highway miles. Since these funds are used for a specific purpose, municipalities are required to place them in a separately established fund.

Chapter 6 - County Facilities and Finances Analysis

**Table 6-3
Snyder County General Fund Expenditures, FY1997 and 1999**




Expenditure Category	1999 General Fund Expenditures	Percent of Total	1997 General Fund Expenditures	Percent of Total	Percent Change 1997-1999
Public Safety	\$ 2,394,307	29.6%	\$ 2,155,709	28.1%	11%
Human Services	\$ 1,561,624	19.3%	\$ 1,535,271	20.0%	2%
Miscellaneous	\$ 1,326,250	16.4%	\$ 1,333,275	17.4%	-1%
General Government - All Other	\$ 1,159,488	14.3%	\$ 1,077,432	14.0%	8%
General Government - Judicial	\$ 1,012,172	12.5%	\$ 967,151	12.6%	5%
Transfer to Sinking Fund	\$ 316,303	3.9%	\$ 323,810	4.2%	-2%
Conservation and Development	\$ 184,925	2.3%	\$ 165,116	2.2%	12%
Culture and Recreation	\$ 63,575	0.8%	\$ 45,250	0.6%	40%
Ending Balance	\$ 50,000	0.6%	\$ 50,000	0.7%	0%
Public Works	\$ 25,450	0.3%	\$ 24,250	0.3%	5%
Total	\$ 8,094,094	100.0%	\$ 7,677,264	100.0%	5%


Source: Snyder County Commissioners' Office, 1999.

**Table 6-4
Assessed Valuation Trends for Snyder County
1995-1999**

Year	Real Estate Assessment	Increase Per Year	Percent Increase	County Millage	Total Parcels	Ratio of Assessed Value to Market Value
1995	\$ 77,802,200	--	--	32.25	17,565	25%
1996	\$ 80,234,160	\$ 2,431,960	3%	34.25	16,644	25%
1997	\$ 246,467,910	\$ 166,233,750	207%	12.00	16,604	75%
1998	\$ 251,116,380	\$ 4,648,470	2%	12.00	16,642	75%
1999	\$ 261,318,520	\$ 10,202,140	4%	12.00	16,799	75%

Source: Snyder County Assessment Office, 1999.

- Scenic Views
-  Major Scenic View
 -  Minor Scenic View
 -  Potential Scenic View
- Natural Land and Water Features
-  Wetlands
 -  100 Year Floodplains
 -  Forest Land
 -  Open Water
 -  Reservoirs and Wetlands



**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Natural Features Map

BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files).
Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area;
Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.


Wetland data from National Wetland Inventory. Floodplain data from The Pennsylvania State University digitally converted FEMA mapping.



map prepared 4/13/99

Geologic Formations

- Bald Eagle Fm
- Bloomsburg Fm and Mifflintown Fm Undiv
- Buddys Run Member of Catskill Fm
- Clinton Group
- Duncannon Member of Catskill Fm
- Hamilton Group
- Irish Valley Member of Catskill Fm
- Juniata Fm
- Keyser Fm and Tonoloway Fm Undiv
- Keyser Fm-Mifflintown Fm Undiv
- Onondaga Fm and Old Port Fm Undiv
- Reedsville Fm
- Sherman Creek Member of Catskill Fm
- Trimmers Rock Fm
- Tuscarora Fm
- Wills Creek Fm




10000 0 10000 20000 Feet

**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files).
Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area;
Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.

Surface Geology (1994) publication of ERRI - The Pennsylvania State University



GEOLOGY MAP




map prepared 8/10/99

Land Use / Cover

- Residential
- Commercial Services
- Industrial
- Transportation
- Public / Semi Public Areas
- Agriculture
- Forest
- Water Features
- Open Space

- Look Out Tower
- Water Supply Source or Water Tank
- Church
- Gravel Pit or Quarry
- Schools
- Cemeteries
- Airports
- Courthouse
- Police Station
- Sewer Treatment Plant
- Golf Course
- Park or Recreation Area



**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**


SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA














Land Use / Cover Map



BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files).
Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area;
Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.

Land Use/Cover data from U.S. EPA 1986 MRLC Satellite Imagery



- Road Functional Classifications**
-  Rural Principal Arterial Interstate
 -  Rural Principal Arterial Other
 -  Rural Minor Arterial
 -  Rural Major Collector
 -  Rural Minor Collector
 -  Rural Local
 -  Urban Principal Arterial Interstate
 -  Urban Principal Arterial Other Freeways
 -  Urban Other Principal Arterial
 -  Urban Minor Arterial
 -  Urban Collector
 -  Urban Local
 -  Traffic Deficiency Areas




Figure 6-1

**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**


SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

**Road Classifications
and Deficiency Areas**

10000 0 10000 20000 Feet

BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files).
Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area;
Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.

Road Classification from PADOT digital centerline files.




map prepared 8/10/99

- Look Out Tower
- Water Supply Source or Water Tank
- Church
- Gravel Pit or Quarry
- Schools
- Cemeteries
- Airports
- Courthouse
- Police Station
- Sewer Treatment Plant
- Golf Course
- Park or Recreation Area


Average Annual Daily Traffic

- 0 - 970
- 971 - 3256
- 3257 - 6716
- 6717 - 13432
- 13433 - 21573



**SNYDER COUNTY
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**
SNYDER COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
SNYDER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BASE MAP DATA: Derived from Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (road files) and Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (hydrology and political boundary files). Map projection: Albers Conical Equal Area; Standard Par. 40, longitude -78, latitude 39, false easting and westing = 0, Datum NAD27, meters.



Average Daily Traffic Volumes



map prepared 10/28/99

Appendices

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

ASA:	Agricultural Security Area
CAC:	Community Advisory Committee
DCED:	Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development
FEMA:	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA:	Federal Highway Administration
FRA:	Federal Railroad Administration
GIS:	Geographic Information Systems
HAZ-MAT:	Hazardous Materials
IS:	Information System
IU:	Intermediate Unit
JCWP:	Juniata Clean Water Partnership
JRA:	SEDA-COG Joint Rail Authority
LEPC:	Local Emergency Planning Committee
LGAC:	Local Government Advisory Committee
MPC:	Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code
NFIP:	National Flood Insurance Program
NRCS:	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NRPA:	National Recreation and Park Association
OLDS:	On-Lot Disposal System
QRS:	Quick Response Service
PAC:	Planning Advisory Committee
PADEP:	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
PADOT:	Pennsylvania Department of Transportation
PEMA:	Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency
PSATS:	Pennsylvania State Association of Township Supervisors
PSAB:	Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs
PSP:	Pennsylvania State Police
PSU:	Pennsylvania State University
SARA:	Superfunds Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986
SEDA-COG:	SEDA-Council of Governments
TDR:	Transfer of Development Rights
TEA-21:	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 st Century
TIP:	Transportation Improvement Program
TSA:	Township Supervisors Association
USDA:	United States Department of Agriculture
USDOJ:	United States Department of Justice

Agriculture: The production, keeping, or maintenance, for sale, lease, or personal use, of plants and animals useful to man, including but not limited to; forages and sod crops; grains and seed crops; dairy animals and dairy products, poultry and poultry products; livestock, including beef cattle, sheep, swine, horses, ponies, mules, or goats or any mutations or hybrids thereof, including the breeding and grazing of any or all of such animals; bees and apiary products; fur animals; trees and forest products; fruits of all kinds, including grapes, nuts, and berries; vegetables; nursery, floral, ornamental, and greenhouse products; or lands devoted to a soil conservation or forestry management program.

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

Agricultural Operation: An enterprise that is actively engaged in the commercial production and preparation for market of crops, livestock and livestock products and in the production, harvesting and preparation for market or use of agricultural, agronomic horticultural, silvicultural and aquacultural crops and commodities. The term includes enterprise that implements changes in production practices and procedures or types of crops, livestock, livestock products or commodities produced consistent with practices and procedures that are normally engaged by farmers or are consistent with technological development within the agricultural industry.

Arterial Highway: A Principal Arterial provides land access while retaining a high degree of thru traffic mobility and serves major centers of urban activity and traffic generation. They provide a high speed, high volume network for travel between major destinations in both rural and urban areas. A Minor Arterial gives greater emphasis to land access with a lower level of thru traffic mobility than a principal arterial and serves larger schools, industries, hospitals and small commercial areas not incidentally served by principal arterials.

Center for Local Government Services: The Governor's Center for Local Government Services located within the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Collector Road: A Collector Road serves dual functions—collecting traffic between local roads and arterial streets and providing access to abutting properties. It serves minor traffic generators, such as local elementary schools, small individual industrial plants, offices, commercial facilities, and warehouses not served by principal and minor arterials.

Commercial Land Use: Land uses type that generally includes those establishments engaged in retail trade or services.

Community Park: Focuses on meeting community-based recreation needs, as well as preserving unique landscapes and open spaces; usually serves two or more neighborhoods and a ½ to 3-mile radius; and has an optimal size of between 20 and 50 acres, but should be based on the land area needed to accommodate the desired uses.

County: Any county of the second class through eighth class.

County Comprehensive Plan: A land use and growth management plan prepared by the county planning commission and adopted by the county commissioners which establishes broad goals and criteria for municipalities to use in preparation of their comprehensive plan and land use regulation.

Dwelling Unit: A building or structure designed for living quarters for one (1) or more families, including manufactured homes which are supported either by a foundation or are otherwise permanently attached to the land, but not including hotels, boarding/rooming houses or other accommodations used for transient occupancy.

Flood, 100-year: A flood which is likely to be equaled or exceeded once every 100 years (i.e., that has a one (1%) percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year). A study by the Federal Insurance Administration, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the United States Department of Agriculture's Soil Conservation Service, the United States Geological Survey, the

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

Susquehanna River Basin Commission, the Department of Environmental Protection, or a licensed professional registered by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to perform such a study is necessary to define this flood.

Flood Fringe: That portion of floodplain outside the floodway.

Floodplain: A flood plain may be either/or a combination of: (a) a relatively flat or low land area which is subject to partial or complete inundation from an adjoining or nearby stream, river or watercourse, during a 100-year design frequency storm; or (b) any area subject to the unusual and rapid accumulation of runoff or surface waters from any source.

Floodway: The channel of a stream, river, or other body of water, and any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order that the 100-year flood can be carried without increasing flood heights by more than one (1) foot at any point and without creating hazardous velocities.

Forestry: The management of forests and timberlands when practiced in accordance with accepted silvicultural principles, through developing, cultivating, harvesting, transporting and selling trees for commercial purposes, which does not involve any land development.

Freeway: Limited access roads designed for large volumes of traffic between communities of 50,000 or more to major regional traffic generators (such as central business districts, suburban shopping centers and industrial areas); freeways should be tied directly to arterial roads, with accessibility limited to specific interchanges to avoid the impediment of through traffic.

Household: A family living together in a single dwelling unit, with common access to and common use of all living and eating areas and all areas and facilities for the preparation and serving of food within the dwelling unit.

Housing Unit: A room or group of rooms used by one or more individuals living separately from others in the structure, with direct access to the outside or to a public hall and containing separate bathroom and kitchen facilities.

Hydric Soil: A soil that is saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part.

Industrial Land Use: This land use category generally includes: (1) establishments engaged in transforming raw materials into new products, usually for distribution to other regions and not on sale on-site, and (2) establishments engaged in wholesale trade, storage or distribution with little or no retail trade or service.

Local Roads: Those that are local in character and serve farms, residences, businesses, neighborhoods and abutting properties.

Minerals: Any aggregate of mass or mineral matter, whether or not coherent. The term includes, but is not limited to, limestone and dolomite, sand and gravel, rock and stone, earth, fill, slag, iron ore,

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

zinc ore, vermiculite and clay, anthracite and bituminous coal, coal refuse, peat, crude oil and natural gas.

Municipalities Planning Code (MPC): The Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code, Act of July 31, 1968, P.L. 805, No. 247, as amended and reenacted , 53 P.S. ___10101 et seq.

Natural Resource Production Uses: A lot of land or part thereof used for the purpose of, but not limited to, mineral extraction and forestry operations. (See also definitions for **Minerals** and **Forestry**.)

Open Space: Any parcel or area of land or water essentially unimproved and set aside, dedicated, designated, or reserved for the public or private use or enjoyment or for the use and enjoyment of owners and occupants of land adjoining or neighboring such open space.

Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code (MPC): See definition of *Municipalities Planning Code (MPC)*.

Prime Farmland Soils: Prime farmland, as identified by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, is the land that is best suited to producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops. It has the soil quality, growing season, and water supply needed to economically produce a sustained high yield of crops when it is treated and managed using acceptable farming methods. Prime farmland produces the highest yields with minimal inputs of energy and economic resources, and farming it results in the least damage to the environment.

Prime Agricultural Soils: Prime farmland has the soil quality, growing season, and moisture supply needed to produce sustained high yields of crops economically when treated and managed with modern farming methods. It can be farmed continuously or nearly continuously without degrading the environment and will produce the most with the least amount of energy. This land is the most responsive to management and requires the least investment for maximum productivity.

Principal Arterial Highway: Principal Arterials provide land access while retaining a high degree of thru traffic mobility and serve major centers of urban activity and traffic generation. They provide a high speed, high volume network for travel between major destinations in both rural and urban areas.

Public/Institutional Use: Land use category that typically involves establishments or properties that provide educational, cultural, or social services for the community. This category includes uses such as public and private schools, municipal offices and grounds, churches, and cemeteries.

Public/Quasi-Public Land Use: Areas or buildings where the public is directly or indirectly invited to visit or permitted to congregate.

Recharge: The addition to, or replenishing of, water in an aquifer.

Recreational Land Use: This land use category typically includes public and private parks and recreation areas.

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

Retail: The selling of goods or merchandise to the public for personal or household consumption and rendering services incidental to the sale of such goods. [Comment: An important characteristic of a retail trade establishment is that it buys goods for resale.]

Single Family Residential: Consists of the following types:

1. **Dwelling, Single Family, Attached (Row):** A dwelling designed, occupied or used by one family, having two (2) party walls in common with other buildings and no side yards, commonly called row houses or townhouses.
2. **Dwelling, Single Family, Detached:** A dwelling used by one (1) family, having one (1) side yard, one (1) party wall in common with another dwelling.
3. **Dwelling, Single Family, Semi-Detached:** One building arranged or designed for dwelling purposes where two dwelling units exist, separate from each other by a party wall and having two (2) side yards.

Slope: The deviation of a surface from the horizontal, usually expressed in percent degrees. (Comment: Slope percent is calculated by dividing the vertical distance by the horizontal distance times 100.)

Sustainable Agriculture: Sustainable agriculture was addressed by Congress in the 1990 "Farm Bill" [Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 (FACTA), Public Law 101-624, Title XVI, Subtitle A, Section 1603 (Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1990) NAL Call # KF1692.A31 1990]. Under that law, "the term sustainable agriculture means an integrated system of plant and animal production practices having a site-specific application that will, over the long term:"

- satisfy human food and fiber needs
- enhance environmental quality and the natural resource base upon which the agricultural economy depends
- make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources and integrate, where appropriate, natural
- biological cycles and controls
- sustain the economic viability of farm operations
- enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole.

Wastewater: Water carrying waste from homes, businesses, and industries that is a mixture of water and dissolved or suspended solids; excess irrigation water that is runoff to adjacent land.

Watershed Stormwater Management Plan: Defined in the context of Pennsylvania Act 167, it provides the framework for improved management of the storm runoff impacts associated with the development of land. The purposes of the Act are to encourage the sound planning and management of storm runoff, to coordinate the stormwater management efforts within each watershed, and to encourage the local administration and management of a coordinated stormwater program.

Appendix A - Acronyms and Definitions

Wetlands (Freshwater): An area that is inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

Appendix B - References

- Alan R. Geyer and J. Peter Wilshusen, Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania. 1992. (Pennsylvania Geological Survey, Harrisburg, PA).
- County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania. *Legislative Bulletin*. No. 20: 24 Sept 1999.
- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Department of Community and Economic Development. Governor's Center for Local Government Services. *Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code*. Fourteenth Ed. August 2000.
- . Department of Health. Online. Internet: WWW.health.state.pa.us.
- . Department of Education. "PA Schools and IU Directory." Online. Internet: WWW.pde.psu.edu/.
- . Department of Environmental Protection. Online. Internet. WWW.dep.state.pa.us/.
- . — . Bureau of Watershed Conservation. *Index of Designated Watersheds (Stormwater Management)*. Online. Internet. 22 May 1999. Available <http://www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/deputate/watermgmt/WC/Subjects/StormwaterManagement.htm>.
- . — . Department of Environmental Protection. Bureau of Water Supply Management. *The State Water Plan: Subbasin 12, Upper Juniata River*. June 1977.
- . Department of Transportation. "Highway Statistics Home Page." Online. http://www.dot.state.pa.us/bpr/bprinternet2/highway%20statistics/highway_statistics_reports.htm. 9 Sept. 1999.
- . — . Bureau of Planning and Research. Performance Monitoring Division. *1998 Pennsylvania Crash Facts and Statistics*.
- . — . "Highway Safety and Traffic Engineering Homepage." Online. <http://www.dot.state.pa.us/bhste/datamain.htm>. September 27, 1999.
- . — . Bureau of Aviation. "Airport Directory. 1997 Ed.
- . — . ---. *The Economic Impact of Aviation in Pennsylvania: Technical Report*. 1994.
- . — . "Central Susquehanna Valley Transportation (CVST) Project." Online. <http://www.csvt.com/>. September 27, 1999.
- Culotta Jim. "County Budget Overview." *Pennsylvania County News: County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania*. February 1999: 10.
- Marsh, William M. *Landscape Planning: Environmental Applications*. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1991.

Appendix B - References

- Norfolk Southern Corporation. Online. <http://www.nscorp.com/>. September 27, 1999.
- Snyder County, Pennsylvania. Snyder County Planning Commission. "Comprehensive Development Plan." 1972.
- . —. "Population Study: 1980."
- . —. "Population Study: 1990."
- . —. "Background Study for Snyder County: Population, Analysis and Projections."
- . —. "Background Study for Snyder County: Natural Features."
- . —. "Background Study for Snyder County: Government and Finance."
- Pennsylvania State Data Center. "1999 Pennsylvania County Data Book: Snyder County."
- Union/Snyder Transportation Alliance. Letter of Correspondence. 8 November 1999.
- United States Dept. of Agriculture. Natural Resource Conservation Service. Soil Survey of Juniata and Mifflin Counties, Pennsylvania. April 1981.
- United States Department of Agriculture. National Agricultural Statistics Service. Online. <http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/>. October 4, 1999.
- . Natural Resource Conservation Service. "Soil Survey of Snyder County, Pennsylvania." April 1985.
- . —. Prime Farmland Soils of Pennsylvania. March 1981.
- United States Dept. of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. *1990 Census of Population and Housing; Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, Pennsylvania*. Washington: GPO, 1991.
- . ----. *County and City Data Book, 1983*. 10th ed. Washington: GPO, 1983.
- . ----. *1990 Census Lookup*. "1990 Census Summary Tape File 3 (STF3A)." Online. Internet. <HTTP://venus.census.gov/cdrom/lookup/ov/>.
- . Bureau of Economic Analysis.
- United States Department of Health. Centers for Disease Control/National Center for Health Statistics. "Some Trends and Comparisons of United States Life Table Data: 1900-1991." Vol.1: No. 3.

Appendix B - References

U.S. Department of the Interior. U.S. Geological Survey. "Land Use and Land Cover Classification System for Use with Remote Sensor Data: Geological Survey Professional Paper 964." Washington: GPO, 1976.

Oregon State University. "Government Information Sharing Project." Online. Internet. [HTTP://govinfo.kerr.orst.edu/](http://govinfo.kerr.orst.edu/).

APPENDIX C

Snyder County Community Survey

Snyder County Community Survey

Introduction

As a basis for the development of the Snyder County Comprehensive Plan, the Snyder County Community Survey was developed and mailed to 4,000 randomly selected Snyder County households. These randomly selected household addresses were retrieved from the Snyder County Assessment Office's database.

The survey was designed by qualified staff members from Gannett Fleming, Inc., and Community Planning Consultants, and provided citizens with the opportunity to express their views and concerns on the existing conditions and future direction for the County. The survey posed a series of short questions on a variety of issues and concerns facing the County, including quality of life, land use and environment, economic development, community facilities and transportation. Completed survey forms were returned to the Snyder County Planning Commission and forwarded to Gannett Fleming, Inc., for compilation and analysis. Of the 4,000 surveys mailed, 1,533 surveys were returned, which equals a 38 percent response rate!

Results of this survey were used to further identify community issues and concerns, and ultimately used in developing the goals and objectives necessary to achieve the County's preferred future vision.

The results of all submitted responses are included in the following survey questionnaire.

SNYDER COUNTY COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

APRIL 2000

Dear Citizen of Snyder County:

The Snyder County Planning Commission, under the direction of the Board of Commissioners, is preparing a new Comprehensive Plan which prescribes a set of goals and actions designed to make our communities better places to live. Public participation and involvement are important components in the planning process. Therefore, a random sample of adults in the County has been selected to participate in this important project. As part of that selected group, your response is very important to us!

The enclosed Community Survey provides you with an opportunity to express your views and concerns on the existing conditions and future direction for the County. This survey poses a series of short questions on a variety of issues and concerns facing the County. Please spend the short amount of time needed to answer all of the questions and kindly return the completed survey within two weeks to the Snyder County Planning Commission by using the enclosed postage paid envelope. Completed survey forms will be compiled and analyzed, and the results will be shared with the community at a future public meeting. *Please be assured that all of your individual answers and written comments will remain confidential.*

Thank you in advance for your time and participation. Should you have any questions regarding this survey, please do not hesitate to contact the Snyder County Planning Commission staff at (570) 837-4257.

Please fill out this questionnaire if you are an adult (age 18 or over) in the household. Again, please be assured that all of your individual answers and written comments will remain confidential.

QUALITY OF LIFE:

FOR QUESTIONS 1 THROUGH 3, CIRCLE THE NUMBER THAT BEST REPRESENTS YOUR OPINION.

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
1. Taking all things into consideration, how would you rate your quality of life in Snyder County?	17.7%	66.0%	14.2%	1.3%

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
2. How would you rate the quality of your neighborhood?	22.6%	59.7%	14.5%	2.3%

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
3. In general, how well does Snyder County government operate?	3.3%	53.6%	33.6%	5.3%

4. Why do you choose to live in Snyder County? (Please circle all numbers that apply.)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Hometown (50%) | 7. School systems (19.6%) |
| 2. Family here (57.8%) | 8. Good place to raise children (36%) |
| 3. Happened to find a house (16.4%) | 9. Affordable cost of living (34.1%) |
| 4. Employment (33.2%) | 10. Outdoor activities (19.6%) |
| 5. Away from large cities (36.9%) | 11. Near larger urban areas (11.5%) |
| 6. Small-town, rural atmosphere (56%) | 12. Other (7.9%) |

Snyder County Community Survey

5. How great a problem do you feel each of the following is in Snyder County? (Please circle one answer for each item.)

	<u>Severe Problem</u>	<u>Moderate Problem</u>	<u>Minor Problem</u>	<u>Not a Problem</u>
a. Drinking water quality	6.7%	25.6%	32.8%	31.9%
b. Storm water runoff or flooding	9.5%	27.9%	37.4%	20.8%
c. Illegal trash dumping	9.5%	28%	35.3%	22.6%
d. Loss of agricultural land to development	27.5%	33.3%	22%	12.1%
e. Stream pollution	9%	32%	36.7%	15.7%
f. Unemployment	7.9%	23.9%	38.6%	23%
g. Quality of housing	2.2%	16.4%	38.4%	35.9%
h. High property taxes	36.1%	33.9%	18.3%	7.3%
i. Intensive Agricultural Operations (e.g., hog farms)	11.7%	18.8%	36.3%	27.7%
j. Loss of Rural Setting	16%	29.6%	31.5%	17.7%

6. How would you rate Snyder County in regard to each of the following characteristics? (Please circle one answer for each item.)

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
a. The environment (air, water, etc.)	11.4%	66.5%	18.5%	1.5%
b. Scenic beauty	37.2%	50.9%	8.5%	1.0%
c. Employment opportunities	3.2%	35.7%	41.6%	15.4%
d. Recreational opportunities	6.1%	39.5%	41%	9.8%
e. Historical and cultural attractions	3.8%	32.2%	47.4%	12.3%
f. Health care facilities and services	7.4%	49.5%	32.8%	7.4%
g. Freedom from crime	7.4%	47.2%	39%	3.3%
h. Small town/rural atmosphere	20.4%	58.8%	17.1%	1.0%
i. Highway and road maintenance	2.6%	31.6%	42.1%	21.2%
j. Business climate	2.5%	38.1%	46.8%	8.8%
k. Cost of living	4.2%	38%	48.1%	7.7%

7. How would you rate your municipality (and surrounding area) in regard to each of the following? (Please circle one answer for each item.)

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
a. Employment opportunities	3.5%	34.4%	40.6%	18.1%
b. Shopping opportunities (clothing, hardware, etc.)	21.9%	48%	17.7%	10.6%
c. Highway and road maintenance	3.5%	33.5%	42.3%	19.2%
d. Police protection	6.7%	46.1%	36.3%	8.9%
e. Fire protection	19.4%	61.7%	15.6%	1.5%
f. Ambulance services	16.9%	60.4%	17.4%	3.3%
g. Public library services	10.4%	51.5%	29.2%	6.3%

Question 7 (contd.)

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>
h. Public education	10.8%	53.9%	25%	6.3%
i. Recreational opportunities	5.4%	41.6%	39.5%	9.5%
j. The environment (i.e., air, water, scenic beauty)	13.5%	60.1%	21.3%	1.8%

8. As you look ahead to the next five (5) years, do you expect Snyder County will become a more or less desirable place to live. (Please circle one answer.)

1. More Desirable (10.4%) 2. Will Stay About the Same (53.3%) 3. Less Desirable (20.7%) 4. Don't Know (11.8%)

9. What priority do you think should be given the next five (5) years to housing programs that: (Please circle one answer for each statement.)

	<u>High Priority</u>	<u>Moderate Priority</u>	<u>Low Priority</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>
a. Promote housing for the elderly.	34.4%	46.7%	10.2%	5.5%
b. Promote affordable housing.	32.2%	42.4%	16.5%	5.6%
c. Promote new rental housing.	12.7%	34.7%	38.7%	9.1%
d. Improve the quality of housing through enforcement of local codes.	26.6%	39.5%	22.8%	7.2%
e. Provide assistance to low income home-owners to rehabilitate their homes.	22.8%	39.3%	27.6%	7.3%

10. During the next five years, what priority do you believe should be given to each of the following issues in order to preserve and/or enhance the quality of life in Snyder County? (Please circle one answer for each statement.)

	<u>High Priority</u>	<u>Moderate Priority</u>	<u>I Am Against This Activity</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>
a. Requiring new subdivisions to assure adequate streets, storm drainage, and utilities	36.9%	36%	8.2%	14.8%
b. Channeling new development towards existing Boroughs and Villages	25.7%	44.8%	9.7%	15.1%
c. Protecting open spaces from development	51.4%	30.5%	6.8%	7.6%
d. Developing the County Airport	13.3%	46.3%	15.1%	21.1%
e. Improving existing highways	50.4%	41.6%	0.9%	3.5%
f. Developing paths for walking/biking	23.9%	48.9%	10.6%	12.8%
g. Developing new recreational facilities	22.1%	50.8%	9.6%	13.4%
h. Conserving the quality and character of existing communities	48.9%	38.7%	1.7%	6.5%
i. Diversifying the job market	36.3%	43.8%	2%	13.1%
j. Retaining and attracting young adults to the County	44.1%	41.4%	2.3%	8.9%
k. Promoting tourism	17.2%	52.1%	12.3%	14.8%

Question 10 (contd.)	High <u>Priority</u>	Moderate <u>Priority</u>	I Am Against <u>This Activity</u>	Don't <u>Know</u>
l. Implementing County-wide zoning	15.1%	33.2%	20.3%	26.7%
m. Expanding existing sewer and water services	20.1%	43.7%	15.9%	16.6%
n. Promoting local government cooperation and regional planning	26.9%	44%	5.7%	19.2%

FOR QUESTIONS 11 - 26, PLEASE CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH STATEMENT!

LAND USE/ENVIRONMENT:

11. I support those regulations which protect my property from uses which would threaten my quality of life or property values.

1. Agree Strongly (69.2%) 2. Agree Somewhat (20.1%) 3. Disagree (2.5%) 4. Not sure (4.6%)

12. I support regulations which would protect agricultural land from development.

1. Agree Strongly (57.3%) 2. Agree Somewhat (30.1%) 3. Disagree (5.9%) 4. Not sure (4.2%)

13. I support zoning and/or other land use regulations.

1. Agree Strongly (29.7%) 2. Agree Somewhat (43.6%) 3. Disagree (10.5%) 4. Not sure (12.8%)

14. The County should provide technical assistance to municipal officials in support of local planning efforts.

1. Agree Strongly (27.2%) 2. Agree Somewhat (44.9%) 3. Disagree (7.3%) 4. Not sure (17.1%)

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT:

15. Businesses which locate in Snyder County should receive a tax break from local governments.

1. Agree Strongly (17.2%) 2. Agree Somewhat (45.7%) 3. Disagree (25.1%) 4. Not sure (9.5%)

16. New businesses should be concentrated in or near areas having adequate infrastructure (i.e., public sewer and water, and access to highways), rather than at sites away from current development.

1. Agree Strongly (40.8%) 2. Agree Somewhat (38.4%) 3. Disagree (8.4%) 4. Not sure (9.1%)

17. The County should support the development of new industrial park facilities.

1. Agree Strongly (21.2%) 2. Agree Somewhat (42.3%) 3. Disagree (19.1%) 4. Not sure (14.3%)

COMMUNITY FACILITIES:

18. The County should provide assistance to existing business to retain and expand in the areas of manufacturing and retail/wholesale trade.

1. Agree Strongly (34.6%) 2. Agree Somewhat (41.9%) 3. Disagree (10.7%) 4. Not sure (10.2%)

19. The County should provide assistance to existing business to retain and expand health care and personal services.

1. Agree Strongly (35.7%) 2. Agree Somewhat (40.8%) 3. Disagree (11.7%) 4. Not sure (8.9%)

20. The County should establish a parks and recreation program.

1. Agree Strongly (23.4%) 2. Agree Somewhat (42.9%) 3. Disagree (17%) 4. Not sure (13.8%)

21. More active recreational programs and facilities are needed, such as athletic fields, pools, playgrounds, etc.

1. Agree Strongly (19.3%) 2. Agree Somewhat (37.3%) 3. Disagree (26.5%) 4. Not sure (14.4%)

22. More passive recreational opportunities are needed, such as hiking, fishing, bicycling, picnicking, etc.

1. Agree Strongly (23.9%) 2. Agree Somewhat (44.6%) 3. Disagree (17.6%) 4. Not sure (11.4%)

23. I support the development of waterways and waterfront districts for recreational purposes.

1. Agree Strongly (19.5%) 2. Agree Somewhat (47.7%) 3. Disagree (16.4%) 4. Not sure (13.3%)

24. The County should support a county-wide library system.

1. Agree Strongly (31.1%) 2. Agree Somewhat (42.1%) 3. Disagree (11.9%) 4. Not sure (12%)

25. Public water and sewer systems should be extended to areas which lack those services in Snyder County.

1. Agree Strongly (21.8%) 2. Agree Somewhat (37.5%) 3. Disagree (25.3%) 4. Not sure (12.9%)

TRANSPORTATION:

26. What should our transportation priorities be?

	<u>High Priority</u>	<u>Moderate Priority</u>	<u>Low Priority</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>
1. Public Transit Services	17.7%	36.7%	30.3%	11.6%
2. U.S. Route 522 improvements	31.7%	43.1%	16.0%	6.3%
3. Plain Folk transportation system improvements	15.5%	31.3%	30.7%	18.2%
4. Continued improvements to U.S. Route 11/15	52.1%	31.8%	8.5%	4.4%
5. Pedestrian and Bike Trail Systems	19.3%	31.8%	34.5%	10%
6. Penn Valley Airport	13.1%	35.5%	32.7%	15.3%
7. Other transportation priorities (Please specify):	7.2%	3.4%	2.9%	15.5%

Now, we want to ask you a few questions about yourself. *This information is confidential and will not be identified with your name.*
(Please circle or fill in your response as appropriate for questions 27 through 32).

27. How long have you lived in Snyder County?

1. Less than 5 years (5.2%) 2. 5 to 9 years (6.1%) 3. 10 to 14 years (7.3%) 4. 15 to 19 years (4.7%) 5. 20 years plus (75.7%)

28. Name of the borough or township in which you live? [SEE FIGURE 4 OF "SUMMARY OF RESULTS"]

- 29. Your age:** 1. Under 30 (4.5%) 2. 30 to 49 (35.7%) 3. 50 to 64 (28.4%) 4. Over 65 (30.6%)

- 30. Your gender:** 1. Male (61.4%) or 2. Female (35.9%)

31. Do you or your family own or rent your current dwelling?

1. Own (or are buying) (88.3%) 2. Rent (9.0%) 3. Other: (2%)

32. Check ALL that apply to you:

1. Employed Full-time (47.9%) 3. Employed Part-time (9.8%) 5. Homemaker (13.8%) 7. Student (0.7%)
 2. Retired (36.3%) 4. Unemployed (2.3%) 6. Self-employed/Business Owner (13%)

33. Additional Comments:

The Snyder County Planning Commission has compiled and published the written comments received from the Snyder County Community Survey. Copies may be obtained through the Planning Commission.

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey. Please return your completed survey to the Snyder County Planning Commission within **TWO WEEKS** of its receipt by using the enclosed self addressed envelope. Should you have any questions concerning this survey or the Comprehensive Plan, please contact the Snyder County Planning Commission at (570) 837-4257.

SNYDER COUNTY IN THE 21ST CENTURY

A STRATEGIC COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

**Snyder County Planning Commission
9 W. Market Street, P.O. Box 217
Middleburg, Pennsylvania 17842
Telephone: 570-837-4257**

Adopted: May 29, 2001

PREPARED FOR:

Snyder County Board of Commissioners

Steven L. Bilger, Chairman (R)
Rick L. Bailey, Vice Chairman (R)
Gregory L. Shambach, Secretary (D)

PREPARED BY:

**Snyder County Planning Commission &
Snyder County Planning Department**

Thomas R. Manley, Chairman
Joselyn O'Connor, Vice Chairman
Ned A. Decker
Kenneth O. Fladmark
Russell Hummel, Sr.
Clara Kovacs
George C. Saylor
Paula Snook
Robert C. Straub
Kevin P. Staschiak, Planning Director
Pat Roush, Adm. Asst.
Charles J. Ax, Jr. Solicitor

**Snyder County Comprehensive Plan
Planning Advisory Committee**

Ricky Weikel
Betty Weaver
Gary Sojka
George Robinson
Tom Pheasant
Carol Morgan
Clara Kovacs
Mary Kiel
Mike Kerstetter
George Keller
Ethel Ann Jones

Terry Heintzelman

Sam Graybill

Neal Fogle

Joel Cunningham

Neal Courtney

Paula Confer

Kathy Bingman

Charles Benner

Rev. Jonathan Bausman

Consultants

Gannett Fleming, Inc.
Community Planning Consultants

*Funding for this project was provided through a
Pennsylvania Department of Community and
Economic Development State Planning
Assistance Grant (SPAG), the Snyder County
Community Development Block Grant Program
(CDBG) and Snyder County General Fund.*